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## PREFACE

The first draft of this edition of the Pcace was begun and finished in 1896. I took Dr. Blaydes' edition and wrote a provisional commentary upon the play, trying to reach a more independent standpoint in matters of interpretation than it would have been possible to gain if I had then consulted a large number of special works. The later task of revision and research, which scantiness of leisure has extended somewhat unduly in time, was made more profitable and interesting by the publication of Mr. Starkie's Wasps (1897), Herr van Herwerden's Peace (i897), Herr Zacher's revision of Herr von Velsen's Kuights (i897), Messrs. Hall and Geldart's Oxford Aristophanes (1900-1901), the late Mr. Neil's Knights (1901), and much good work in various classical periodicals.

Of special editions of the play, I have used that of Blaydes continuously, and, like others who have gleaned after him, with constant admiration and gratitude. I have also freely consulted, at a later stage, the editions of Bothe (1828), Richter (1860), Paley (I873), Herwerden (1897), and Merry (i900). I much regret that I have been unable to obtain a copy of Mr. Rogers' famous work, and, in the few places where I have quoted him, it has been at second hand.

Wherever I have consciously borrowed from the works of these or other writers, even to the extent of a reference, the obligation has, I believe, been acknowledged in its place; but the most helpful and influential work is apt to defy local acknowledgment, and I should like to mention a few books to which I am particularly indebted: Cobet's Variae Lectiones, Rutherford's New Phrynichus, Babrius and Scholia Aristophanica (vols. i.--ii.), Zielinski's Gliederung der altattischen Komoedic, Zacher's Handschriften und Classen der Aristophanesscholinn and his critiques in Bursians Jahresbericht, 1 \$92 (pt. 1), Dörpfeld
and Reisch's Das griechische Theater, Haigh's Attic Theatre (ed. 2), Jebb's Sophocles, Bachmann's Coniecturarum observationumqque Aristophanearum specimen, Goodwin's Syntax of Greek Moods and Tenses, Meisterhans' Grammatik der attischen Inschriften (ed. 3, by E. Schwyzer), the recent works mentioned at the beginning of this preface, and, above all, Starkie's Wasps, to which I owe more than to any other book.

I have admitted into the critical notes (i) most of those readings of the Ravemas and the Venctus which differ from my printed text, (2) the more important of the readings of the defective Laurentianus $\Gamma$, wherever I was satisfied as to the correctness of the collation, (3) the more important of the readings of the Aldina, as being the most ancient and most authoritative extant member of a different family, (4) important variants found in other MSS., (5) such conjectures as seemed to possess very fair probability, (6) such conjectures as have, in spite of (what I believe to be) their demerits, met with some acceptance or raised some discussion. In order not to overburden this presentation of readings, I have given full lists from the inferior MSS. in Section IV. of the Introduction, which is designed (in part) as an appendix to the textual notes.

As the Leyden facsimile of the Ravemas was not published until my work upon the text was done, I had access to no collation of that MS. in which full confidence could be placed. In cases where Bekker and Herwerden disagree, I have, if unable to find other evidence, been guided almost always by the Oxford editors, who, over and above the scrupulous care which they have bestowed upon their text, have used two collations which I have not scen. In regard to the Venctus, my faith in Messrs. Hall and Geldart's readings (and in Mr. Hall's citations of less important passages in Class. Rev. xii. p. 165) has been greater still, since they carefully photographed the pages which contain the play; but 1 have often quoted, side by side with these, the readings given by Bekker or Cobet.

Owing to a personal dislike which I am not prepared to defend, the asterisk and the obelus have not been used in the text.

References to tragedy have been verified in Dindorf's Poctue

Scenici (1851); to the extant plays of Aristophanes in Messrs. Hall and Geldart's Aristophanis Comoediae (2 vols. 1900-1901), contributed to the Bibliotheca Oxomiensis; to the fragments of Comedy in Kock's Comicorum Atticorum Fragmenta (3 vols., 1880-1888).

My hearty thanks are due to Dr. Verrall, who, having had occasion to use my manuscript, enriched it with a codicil of searching criticisms and stimulating ideas; to Mr. A. Sidgwick, Fellow and late Tutor of Corpus Christi College, Oxford, and to Prebendary H. W. Moss, Headmaster of Shrewsbury School, both of whom, by reviewing portions of the first 300 lines, have added to the deep debt which I owe them for years of great teaching ; to Mr. J. C. Miles, Fellow of Merton College, Oxford, for much friendly encouragement and help ; and especially to Miss E. M. Sharpley, of Newnham College, Cambridge, who has read the whole commentary in proof, corrected several errors, and made many most helpful suggestions.

I have also much pleasure in thanking Messrs. William Blackwood and Sons for their unfailing courtesy and consideration, and the press reader for his care and watchfulness.

H. S.

Hereford, March 3rd, 1905.
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## INTRODUCTION

## I-The Play

The Peace was acted at the Great Dionysia, ${ }^{1}$ at Athens, in the year 42 I B.C., ${ }^{2}$ being the fifth in order of time of the extant plays of Aristophanes. The moment is singularly interesting, since a few days only can have elapsed between the production of the play and the ratification of the Peace of Nicias. ${ }^{3}$ The battle of Amphipolis, in which Cleon and Brasidas fell, had taken place eight months before. ${ }^{4}$

The play has never been a prime favourite. Of its popularity among the Athenians themselves we can tell nothing, except that it won the second prize ${ }^{5}$-as did the incomparable Birds seven years later. Certainly, since the revival of learning, although three of the ten Aristophanic specimens of the Old Comedy have been studiously neglected, no one of the ten-except, perhaps, the late Ecclesiazusae-has failed to rouse interest and admiration to the same extent as the Peace.

This neglect may be easily explained, and to a certain extent justified. The play has little of the surpassing brilliancy of the Birds and Frogs; it lacks the verve of the Acharnians; it does not centre round one special idea of enduring interest-political, social, intellectual-as do the Knights, the Wasps, and the Clouds; it shows neither the burlesque cleverness of the Thesmophoriazusae nor the

[^0]thoughtfulness and unity of the Lysistrata. Our play possesses, indeed, compensating merits which may be thought to put it on a level with more than one of these comedies ; but a detailed comparison of the works of Aristophanes would be out of place, and we may be content to admit that the Peace does not rank very high in the list.

Yet the master's hand is apparent throughout. It is true that a brilliant critic of Greek poetry, Mr. Gilbert Murray, condemns the Peace as "a weak rechauffe of the Acharnians, only redeemed by the parody of Euripides's Bellerophon with which it opens; ${ }^{1}$ but many students of Aristophanes, honestly unable to trace the similarity between the Acharnians and the Peace, will rather be inclined to marvel at the versatility and imagination of a poet who, writing two plays with the same moral and purpose, could make them utterly independent of one another in plot, scenery, characters, and composition.

There are three long passages in the Peace, each an example of a very different kind of comic writing, which seem to the present writer superior to any others of their class to be found in Aristophanes. The Bellerophon burlesque (11. 82-179) is even more elaborate and more witty than the parody of the Helen in the Thesmophoriazusae; and if we could know and see the mechanical apparatus employed, no doubt we should find it even more laughable than we do. The political and literary causeric of lines $603-705$ is a fine example of the poet's didactic manner, the interchange of sure strokes and light touches being admirably effective. And what country idyll does ancient comedy give us, which can compare with the Second Parabasis (ll. 1127-I190) of the Peace? Of this, surely, Mr. George Meredith must have been thinking when he claimed for Aristophanes "the homely song of a jolly national poet." ${ }^{2}$ The phrases and their setting are simple to the point of commonplace, but the merriment is so perfectly unaffected, the art so exquisitely concealed, that no Elizabethan ditty can be more idyllic or more true. For the rest, the plot is little poorer and the

[^1]by-scenes little more irrelevant than is usually the case in Aristophanes.

The political ideas which run through the play are those which we have learned to expect from the author. Extreme democracy is hateful to him, as ever ; the dead Cleon ${ }^{1}$ and the living Hyperbolus ${ }^{2}$ are alike lashed, and the memory of Pericles the Olympian does not escape. ${ }^{3}$ To oligarchs and their creed he makes no reference, nor is there in any of his plays a trace of oligarchic sympathies. ${ }^{4}$ Though no doubt he belonged to the "middle party," ${ }^{5}$ he does not trouble to mention a single statesman who agreed with him ; the name of Nicias, which must have loomed very large at the moment, does not occur once in the play. Aristophanes knows very well himself what he wants. He yearns to see the end of the narrow-minded bickerings between Hellene and Hellene, of the fratricidal war between Athens and Sparta, who might together rule Hellas in amity. ${ }^{6}$ The poet makes it plain from the first that the mission of Trygaeus is undertaken on behalf of all the Greeks, $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (93), 'E $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho \iota \dot{\iota \pi \alpha} \xi_{\alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu}(105)$. Prayers for the future and regrets for the past alike have regard to Hellas, not to Athens. Thus in line 435 we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu{ }_{\alpha} \rho \xi \xi^{\alpha} \tau \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

in 1320
$\kappa \alpha ̀ \pi \epsilon \nu \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \theta є o i ̈ \sigma \iota \nu ~$
סıסóvaı $\pi \lambda$ oûtov тoîs " $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$,
 line 292, when the Chorus are summoned, the call is $\hat{\dot{\omega}} v \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ${ }^{\prime}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta \nu \in s$, altered ten lines below to the more expressive and sentimental address $\widehat{\omega}$ Пavé $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon$-a word sadly rare in our

[^2]extant Greek literature. But the climax of lofty patriotism is reached in that wonderful cry,
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \in i \xi \text { ov } \delta \text { ' } \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu a s ~
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

кє́paбo้ тò̀ ขoû̀ (996-999),
-words which, in a different key, breathe the spirit of Panhellenism as nobly as does the Aeschylean battle - cry at Salamis.

But the patriotism of Aristophanes is not all expended on this unattainable ideal. One who loved Attica so dearly could not but be a loyal Athenian, and the enemies of Athens come in for some hard blows. Yet even here the chief count against opposing cities is that they will not lend a hand to restore Peace. Except for their slackness in this respect ( $478-480$ ), the Spartans escape with a passing hit at their greed and narrowness, ${ }^{1}$ and a single oath suffices for the Boeotians (466). But our poet has no patience with the temporising neutrality of the Argives (475-478, 491-493), and neither Solon nor Pericles could have been more bitter against Megara. Against her, too, the definite charge is that she has sinned against Peace (500-502), but the political antipathy is more deeply rooted. Taking these lines in conjunction with $246-249$ and $481-483$, we can see that Aristophanes has not forgotten the base betrayal of five-and-twenty years before.

The plot of the play may be thus briefly analysed :-
Prologue ${ }^{2}$ ( $1-298$ ). Two slaves of the household of Trygaeus, an Attic vine-dresser, are busily supplying unsavoury food to a huge beetle, which is screened from the

[^3]spectators' sight. They soon give up the task in disgust, and, when one of them has retired after a little grumbling and badinage, the other proceeds (1.50) to describe his master's mad attempts to climb heavenwards. But Trygaeus is already mounted on his beetle-Pegasus, and at line 80 he rises into sight, and reveals to his alarmed servant his intention of interviewing Zeus. The servant calls his master's daughters from the house, and a tragic dialogue ensues between them and their father, who at last continues his journey and reaches the house of Zeus (i79). Hermes rushes out, indignant at the summons of a mortal, but is quickly pacified by a present, and acquaints Trygaeus with the absence of the other gods and its relation to the affairs of Greece. He has just spoken of the carte blanche given to War, and pointed out the pit in which Peace is buried, when War himself is heard approaching; Hermes makes off, and Trygaeus stands well out of sight. War stalks in (236), and proceeds to throw into an enormous mortar ingredients representing various Greek states. The lack of a pestle makes him call for his assistant Kudoimos, who is despatched first to Athens, then to Sparta, to fetch one ; but both the Cleon-pestle and the Brasidas-pestle have been lost, and War is forced to go indoors to make one himself (288). Trygaeus seizes the opportunity to call all Greeks of every country and trade to the rescue of Peace.

Parodos and Epeisodia (299-728). -The Chorus ${ }^{1}$ troop in with ropes and levers, and rapturously appoint Trygaeus their leader. With great difficulty he persuades them to give up dancing ; at last they quiet down in the course of a little ode on the hardships of war. Trygaeus is just preparing for his task, when Hermes, suddenly appearing (362), threatens him in stormy language with the doom decreed by Zeus. After some time the god yields to the entreaties of the Chorus, backed up by the promises and presents of Trygaeus, and is ready to direct the work with enthusiasm. After a solemn service of libation, which includes a few blessings and more cursings, the work of pulling begins (458). Unfortunately,

[^4]the various Greek states pull different ways, and little progress is made until their representatives, whom Hermes and Trygaeus have been freely abusing, retire from the work, leaving it to the farmers alone. Peace is then soon raised, with Opora and Theoria in attendance (519). After a scene of general rejoicing, the Chorus march in procession, ostensibly bound for the country, chanting the praises of Peace. At their request Hermes begins to explain in full the lengthy absence of the goddess, that is, the causes of the outbreak and continuance of the war (603), and to inform Peace herself of a few political and literary events which have occurred during her exile (66I). He then hands over Opora and Theoria to Trygaeus, the first to be his wife and the second to be restored to the Boule. The three descend to earth, leaving the beetle behind them for the service of Zeus.

First Parabasis (729-818).—In the anapaests the poet sets forth his claims to greatness and victory. He has never descended to the vulgar and witless tricks of his rivals, but has built up a lofty art with the materials of eloquence and cleverness and wit and humour. In satire he has not been content with attacking safe mediocrities, but has boldly faced the mighty Cleon on behalf of Athens and her empire. He has not been puffed up by former victories, and all-especially the bald-should join in helping him to win another. The Odes are crowded with abuse of certain dramatists whom Aristophanes disliked and despised.

Epeisodia (8I9-II26).-Trygaeus is welcomed by his servant, into whose care, after answering a few questions relating to heavenly matters, he entrusts his bride. The Chorus congratulate Trygaeus on his well-deserved happiness, and, on the servant's return, master and slave proceed to restore Theoria to the Boule. This short scene, which is followed by antistrophic congratulations, is frankly indecent to a degree perhaps unmatched even in the Old Attic Comedy. The installation of Peace (922) is then performed with full ceremonies, of which the climax is the sacrifice of a sheep. Attracted by the savoury smell of the roasting slices, Hierocles the soothsayer presents himself, to demand the cause and to claim his share from Trygaeus and the
servant (1043). He pours forth absurd oracles unfavourable to Peace, while Trygaeus spars with him in the oracular metre, until, the feast being now ready, the impostor can restrain his appetite no longer, and lays forcible hands on the viands. For this he is soundly thrashed, stripped of his fine clothes, and chased off with contumely.

Second Parabasis (1127-1190).-The Chorus draw a graphic picture of country merriment in time of peace. The war is over! Now for an abundant feast of wholesome country fare and simple hospitality! How much better than enduring the tyranny of a magnificent taxiarch, who is an utter coward in war and shows gross partiality in making out the service-lists at home!

Exodos (il9I-I357).-With the crowd which flocks to the wedding come two makers of agricultural implements, laden with presents, and two armourers, whom Trygaeus ridicules and teases unmercifully. Then the son of Lamachus sings warlike tags from Homer ( 1270 ), under a running fire of comment from Trygaeus, and the son of Cleonymus gives a line or two from the discreet Archilochus (1298). Trygaeus urges the guests to show themselves brave trenchermen, and the play ends with dance and revel and bridal songs.

## II-The Question of a Second Edition

At the beginning of the last section the production of our play was confidently assigned to the year 42 I B.C. The correctness of this date is now so generally recognised that a divergent view, which once found some favour, may be briefly dismissed.

It was Paulmier ${ }^{1}$ who first seriously argued in favour of the year 4 I 9 b.c., basing his theory almost entirely on lines
 actually converted Brunck and Fynes Clinton to his view. The words quoted (which are fully discussed below, pp. 12-14) are indeed very difficult to explain ; but the doubt attaching to them cannot for a moment outweigh the strong evidence,

[^5]external and internal, which goes to show that the play as (or at least almost as) we have it was produced in the year 421 . The reference to the deaths of Cleon and Brasidas (11. 269, 28I) would be pointless, and the almost certain reference to the Spartan prisoners (11. 479-480) would be impossible, at any later date; the attitude of the Greek cities, as sketched in lines 464-507, harmonises very well with what we know of them at the time of the Peace of Nicias, ${ }^{1}$ and in no way with their relative positions two years later ; ${ }^{2}$ and the exuberant rejoicings over the prospect of peace, which are scattered throughout the play, could never have been composed for any audience which had in some measure enjoyed those blessings for two years.

But, however certain we may be that our date is correct, the vexed question as to a second edition is not affected, and that question demands a brief discussion here.

The Third Argument runs as follows (but see variants ad loc.) :-







Eratosthenes, then, found mention in the didascaliae of two plays called Eipinn, attributed to Aristophanes, but was himself acquainted with only one ; it would appear that Crates knew both, although the omission of subject and verb in the words quoted from him leaves the drift of his sentence uncertain.

Four passages only have come down to us as quotations from the Peace, which are not found in the play as it stands. These are :-

[^6]




A. ${ }_{o ̈ \tau \iota}$; Г $\Gamma \omega \rho \gamma i a$.

Lines 556-557 of the Pax immediately follow without any gap. Stobaeus may, of course, be right as to the source from which he claims to take these lines, but the character $\Gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma_{i} a$ and the strong family likeness of $f r .109$ (from the Гew $\rho$ 保) make it highly probable that Eipions is a mistake for $\Gamma_{\epsilon \omega \rho \gamma} \omega \nu$.
2. Pollux, x. I88. èv yoûv тî 'Apıбтoфávous Eipívn $\gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha-$

$$
\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \alpha
$$


Most editors (wrongly, as I think) compare Pax 1228, \&c.
3. Schol. RV ad Nub. 699 and Suidas (s.v. тijuepos).


There are obvious parallels to this line in Pax 242, 246, 250 , and it might well be the product of an imitative scholiastic brain ; but Schol. Ald. ad Nub., l.c., assigns it to the 'О $\lambda_{\kappa}{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$.


Dobree would place this line after line 189 of our play, but that is a mere conjecture. The line may well be from another play, the occurrence of the rare word фitu (Pax, ir64) leading to the mistake.

It looks as if the passage from Pollux had to stand by itself, so far as satisfactory evidence from citation is concerned. In fact, the extant fragments rather make against the theory of revision. No other named play of Aristophanes (setting aside the problematic Navajós or $\Delta i s$ Navarós) is
cited so seldom as four times, and two at least of the four quotations are more than suspicious, while not one of them is referred to Eipivp á or $\beta^{\prime}$, as happens sometimes in the case of all the other revised plays, viz. Nє $\epsilon$ '́ ${ }^{\prime}$ aı $\dot{a}^{\prime}$ (Schol.
 (Schol. Ran.).

We must now discuss such indications of revision as the play itself has been thought to afford.

Taking first the less popular view (put forward by Droysen), viz. that our play of 42 I B.C. is the second edition, we find the following passages quoted in its support by Zielinski : ${ }^{1}$ -
(I) Lines 47-48-



The present $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta_{i}^{\prime} \epsilon$, says Zielinski, shows that Cleon was alive at the time of the first edition (placed by Zielinski in 422 B.C.), but lines $269,313,649$ prove him dead at the time of the $\delta$ เaбкєuń of 42 I B.c.
(2) In line $480 \dot{o} \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \epsilon u ́ s}$ is Cleon, and the present ${ }_{\epsilon}^{x} \bar{q}$ shows that he was alive.
(3) Lines 406 sqq. refer to the eclipses mentioned in $N u b .5^{81}$ sqq., where the heavens themselves protested against Cleon's election to the $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma^{\prime} \alpha$ : therefore the lines in the Pax cannot have been written as late as 42 I B.C.
(4) Between verses 48 and 50 the spectators ought to make guesses about the raison d'elre of the beetle, as they do about Philocleon's disease in Vesp. 74 sqq. Such a passage has been cut out of the edition of 42 I B.C., because after the death of Cleon it was inappropriate.
(5) In line 371 Hermes asks Trygaeus:-
$\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \rho^{\prime} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ єúpє $\theta_{\eta}$;
"Natürlich weiss er es nicht, und wir ebensowenig," for from line 195 sqq. he learned that Zeus had left Heaven.
${ }^{1}$ Die Gliederung der altattischen Komoedie (1885), pp. 65-70.

Again, in line 376 Hermes cries $\widehat{\dot{\omega}} \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{U}$ кєраvдоßpór $\tau$. These lines, taken in conjunction with the scholion on
 first edition Zeus played the part which in the following year was assigned to Hónє $\epsilon$ os.

Now I am far from accusing Dr. Zielinski of wresting the words of Aristophanes to his pre-conceived theory; but in regard to all the passages, and especially (2) and (3), which are fully discussed in the commentary, I submit that an impartial view will invalidate his arguments. In 1. 480 it is now generally admitted that $\dot{o} \chi^{a} \lambda \kappa \epsilon u$ 's is not Cleon, or any other individual who figures in history, but the jailer; in ll. 406 sqq. it is highly improbable that there is any reference to eclipses, and, even if there were, it is not impossible to mention a noticeable eclipse a year or eighteen months after its occurrence. A weaker argument than that of (4) cannot well be conceived; because Aristophanes has condescended to a wretchedly poor trick in the Wasps, he must forsooth repeat it in the following year. Nor is the treatment of 11.37 I and 376 much more fortunate. In 1.371 the words $\hat{c} \rho$ ' oî $\theta a$, though grammatically a question, logically introduce a statement of fact, as in 1.479 ; and the $\pi \rho o ́ \rho \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ of Zeus was of course pronounced before his departure, when he installed Hódemos in his place. As to 1. 376, the words $\hat{\dot{\theta}} Z_{\epsilon \hat{u}}$ кєраидoß $\rho_{0} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$, which Trygaeus understands as an appeal for help, are perhaps rather a cry of horror; or, if not, Hermes may surely invoke the lord of the thunderbolt, though far away.

The only passages, then, on which Zielinski can seriously rely are 11. $47-48$ and the scholion on 1.236 . The present $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta_{i}^{\prime} \epsilon t$ in 1. 48 would certainly be most naturally taken to refer to a living person, and any other view may therefore seem to be an explaining away; but as nothing else in the play favours the idea that a single line of the Pcace was written before Cleon's death, it is surely more reasonable to look a little further for a solution, and to embrace it if satisfactory (see Comm.), than to build up a dizzy superstructure on the foundation of a doubtful line. The
scholion on 1.236 is a distinct piece of evidence, to be carefully considered in conjunction with other indications of revision; but as these, such as they are, favour the view that the second edition was subsequent to the year 42 I , the scholion in no way supports the contention of Zielinski. ${ }^{1}$

Though the much-quoted $\tau$ pia каi $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa^{\prime}$ 'є́ $\tau \eta$ of 1.990 is adverse to the theory which we are considering, yet two separate scholia on the passage seem at first sight to support that theory. In Schol. I. are found the words- -oukudidns,
 Oaı $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu . . . \dot{u} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \grave{u} \tau \grave{u} \theta^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \tau \eta$, and in Schol. II.
 then, Ludwig argues, two separate calculations bring us to the year 422 B.C. But fortunately the value of such evidence can be gauged from Thucydides himself, who on the con-

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \varepsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \square \eta \mu \in ́ v o u s\left(\right.$ v. 20.3). ${ }^{2}$ The first scholiast, misquoting Thucydides, gives a length of nine years to the first period of war ; the second scholiast, taking this chronology as correct, subtracts six years (Ach. 266, to which Schol. I. refers) from nine, and gives the result as the interval between the Acharnians and the Peace. ${ }^{3}$

This part of the discussion may close with a very simple but cogent argument: as Richter ${ }^{4}$ says, the very words of
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \bar{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$, show that the lost Eipi $\quad$, which he found mentioned in the didascaliae, was of later date than the play which he knew.

We must now consider whether the play was reproduced at a date subsequent to 42 I B.C.

The lines which first claim consideration are 989-990,

[^7] words come into our play from a second edition of 419 or 418 b.c.?

It is plain at the outset that any interpolated lines may just as well have come from a totally distinct play as from a second edition of the Peace; for the idea of an elaborate contaminatio is out of the question. But there are two several explanations, either of which is more satisfactory than the theory of interpolation.
(r) The poet may, for reasons of his own, be throwing back the date of the war's outbreak to the time of the commencement of hostilities between Corinth and Corcyra. The great naval battle, in which the Corinthians were badly beaten, took place in 435 B.C., fourteen years before the production of the Peace (Thuc. i. 29). This possibility has long been recognised; but the question has naturally arisen: Why should Aristophanes date from 43 I B.C. in the Acharnians (11. 266, 890), and from 435 or $43+$ B.C. in the Peace? Ruppersburg ${ }^{3}$ gives a satisfactory answer, showing how different were the yearnings of Dicaeopolis and Trygaeus, and how important is the context of the passages in the Acharnians. The two visions which delight Dicaeopolis, $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \omega \varphi$ " $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota$, are the sight of his country deme (with $\Phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ therein) in 1.266 , and of the Copaic eel in 1.890. Now both of these joys were lost to him in 43 I B.C., neither before nor after, the first by the enforced removal from country to town (Thuc. ii. 14), and the second by the stopping of all trade with members of the Peloponnesian league after the declaration of war. But Trygaeus, as we have seen above (p.3), is concerned for all the states of Greece, and he may well date from the year in which two of them first came into open collision.
(2) Or the poet may be purposely exaggerating. The passages from the Acharnians, as we have seen, demand accuracy, but for the most part oi тoוךтаi то入入áкıs $\grave{u \pi o-}$ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta i a ́ h o v \sigma \iota v$ єis тoùs रpóvous (Schol.). Thus in Eq. 793
 éros oै $\gamma \delta o o \nu$ in the same connection ; now to add three years

[^8]to the real total is more natural than to add one, for in the former case the audience realise that the poet is speaking loosely. Further, it has been shown by van Leeuwen (on Ran. 50) that т $\quad$ eis кai $\delta$ ér $^{\prime}$ is often used to denote an indefinite number. He refers to Plut. 194, 846, 1083, and fr. adesp. Iog. Dr. Merry, to whose note I am indebted for the reference, calls this "a shirking of the problem," but that only means that the explanation is more simple than we expected. What could be more apposite than Plut. 846,
 in English than to say of a war which had continued for nine or ten years, "Here have we been fighting a dozen years"?

The first explanation seems to be tenable, the second to be distinctly right.

Zielinski contends that an "Agon" was no less essential to a comedy than was a $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho o \phi i j$ to a tragedy, and that the absence of such a feature in any play is a sign of סaarkevi. ${ }^{1}$ In the case of the Peace, Zielinski explains the omission as due to the peculiar nature of a "Weihefestspiel," and assumes that the first edition comprised an "Agon" in which Trygaeus contended with-Hyperbolus! This last astounding assumption is founded entirely on three little digs which the poet inflicts, in passing, on that unfortunate politician, viz. 11. 681-692 (drawn out for the sake of a joke on his trade), 921 , and 13 I9. But, as the "Weihefestspiel" theory has no probability whatever, it follows either that one of the two editions of the Peace had no "Agon," or that the play as we have it is a contaminatio of the two, with the "Agon" for some reason omitted. But what contaminator would omit "die Katastrophe der Komoedie" ?

In this case, as in the arguments resting on the required epirrhematic nature of the Parodos ${ }^{2}$ and of the Choric songs, ${ }^{3}$ Zielinski has been too fixedly determined to elevate the usual methods of comic composition to the dignity of unbending laws. An "Agon" is usual, and exact symmetry

[^9]of epeisodic and choric composition may often be traced in the comedies which remain to us ; but that the "Agon" was indispensable, and the epeisodic symmetry as canonic as that of the Parabasis, this brilliant and stimulating writer has failed to prove.

Again, Droysen and others point to the unsatisfactory and disconnected nature of the scenes subsequent to the First Parabasis. But this is a fault which the Peace shares with most of the early plays of Aristophanes. As Mr. Starkie says: "In the closing scenes of the Acharneis, Vespae, Pax, Aves, there is nothing but a wild scene of unbridled buffoonery, terminating in an Exodus, which is not closely connected with the plot of the play. . . . It must be confessed that neither the Parabasis nor the burlesque scenes are integral parts of the plot, and that, in consequence, an Aristophanic Comedy does not form an artistic whole, unless we leave out everything that succeeds the Parabasis." ${ }^{1}$

A review of the whole discussion will, I think, lead us to the conclusion that no certain answer can be given to the question. On the one hand we have the irrefragable statement of the Third Argument-with Eratosthenes cited for its truth-that a second Eipion figured in the didascaliae as the work of Aristophanes, ${ }^{2}$ and the mention-ascribed to Cratesof a $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$ Eip $\dot{\nu} \eta \eta$. To impugn these quotations as fraudulent inventions would be uncritical and absurd. But it is well to understand that the theory of a second edition depends almost entirely on this important piece of evidence. External support has been (wrongly perhaps, but with strict impartiality) reduced to the scholion on 1.236-with its vague тıvès $\delta$ '́ $\phi a \sigma$-and $f r$. 295, while internal evidence has been found to be altogether wanting. It is perhaps a wholesome thing that there should be a few problems in the domain of scholarship in which the evidence for and against is so equally balanced or so conflicting as to make dogmatism an impertinence.

[^10]
## III-The Scenic Arrangements of the "Peace"

There is perhaps no Greek drama extant which presents greater scenic difficulties than the Peace, and on any general theory of its arrangement a great deal must be left unexplained or uncertain.

A very few facts are undisputed. The scene (or part of it) represents the house of Trygaeus, before which the action takes place from Il. I-I54 and 819-end. Attached to one side of the house is a pen or stable for the beetle; this is roofless, ${ }^{1}$ for at 1.80 Trygaeus is raised into the air from within it by a mechanical contrivance. The first part of the ascent (real or pretended) is over at l. IOI ; from 11. 102-153 the beetle and its rider are either suspended in air or find support ; the ascent has begun again by 1. I64, when Trygaeus pretends to see Peiraeus; at 1.179 they reach the house of Zeus in heaven, and the beetle disappears. From this point to 1.728 all is in dispute: the position of the house of Zeus, and the method by which it was reached ; the nature and position of the cavern, and the entrance thereby of the $\kappa \omega \phi \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha$ : the positions of Hermes and Trygaeus relative to the Chorus ; the exit of the actors prior to the Parabasis, and some minor points.

These questions are so interdependent that the answers given by different theorists must be summarised as separate schemes. It should be remembered that Richter, Droysen, and Nieiahr wrote before the existence of an early raised stage had been doubted, while Reisch, Herwerden, and (partially) Robert follow Dörpfeld's theory.

Richter ${ }^{2}$ (in the main following Schönborn ${ }^{3}$ ) imagines a stage of two levels, the lower representing the house of Trygaeus, the higher that of Zeus, before the door of which is the cavern (1.224, cis тouti тò кáт $\omega^{4}$ ). Here stand Hermes and Trygaeus, and subsequently Peace, Theoria and Opora.

[^11]At 1.301 the Chorus of $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma{ }^{\circ}$ hurry into the orchestra, accompanied by a body of supers, who represent the clifferent states of Greece. It is these latter only who obey the summons of Hermes, expressed in 1.427 , and mount the lower or proper stage, being thus separated both from the two actors and the Chorus. They throw the end of a rope to Hermes and Trygaeus, and all three contingents pull together for a time. Finally the work is left to the Chorus in the orchestra, who are at last successful; Theoria and Opora come up from the cave by a ladder, and the statue of Peace is drawn up at the same moment. At l. 55I the extra choristers return to the orchestra, which they leave at 1. 728, while Trygaeus with the кópaı descends by the ladder
 because the statue remains at the mouth of the cave.

Droysen ${ }^{1}$ places the house of Zeus on the stage, and assumes a change of scene between 11. I49 and 178.
 the tragedians rarely employ such a device, and then for not more than four characters, of whom all but one are mute ; (2) that Hermes and Trygaeus above could not help the Chorus below to pull, whereas they evidently do help. As to the ascent, he thinks that from 11. SI-I 48 Trygaeus is $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \rho o s$ only in so far as he supported on the "extrema suilis muri pars." At 1. I 49 Trygaeus slowly rises on the beetle, and pronounces the anapaests (11. 154-172) while in mid-air ; but after sighting the house of Zeus he is lowered again to the stage, ${ }^{2}$ and finds himself before the door of that house, which by a change of scene has taken the place of his own. On the stage, too, is the cavern. The Chorus get sufficiently near the actors to assist in the extrication of Peace by crowding on a flight of steps leading to the stage. ${ }^{3}$ Before the Parabasis the actors leave the stage by the ordinary exits, but the words $\pi u \rho$ ' auvinn $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta$ cóv "ad fabulae argumentum non quadrant," and should be changed to $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ aù $\tau \dot{\omega} \tau \dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \dot{\omega}$.

[^12]Nieiahr ${ }^{1}$ follows Droysen in all essential points, except that (I) he denies the change of scene, and maintains that the house of Trygaeus does duty also for the palace of Zeus, the beetle-pen only being removed; (2) he explains the descent of Trygaeus from his heavenward course as a parody of the fall of Bellerophon; (3) he brings the Chorus upon the stage for the pulling. He apparently sees no difficulty in the words $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha u \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta$ 白óv.

Reisch makes the house of Zeus rise from behind that of Trygaeus ; the latter is represented by the $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa i \eta v o \nu$, the former by an upper storey, lying back, which rises over the back scene. ${ }^{2}$ The heavenly place, in which Hermes and Trygaeus confer, is in front of this upper storey, but whether it is the roof of Trygaeus's house or a special platform raised above it, is not certain. ${ }^{3}$ Reisch inclines to the latter view, thinking that a house-roof was too closely associated with human characters to produce the required illusion. He holds that the use of a $\theta$ co入oyєiov, as described by Pollux, ${ }^{4}$ may be fairly assumed for several appearances of the gods. The ascent of Trygaeus is as problematic as that of his prototype Bellerophon; as to
 Hermes probably points to a ladder, by which Opora and Theoria had before climbed to heaven, and by means of which Trygaeus now descends into his own house. ${ }^{5}$ The Chorus alone extricate Peace from the cavern, which Reisch presumably places in front of the house of Trygaeus ("die einen Theil der Dekoration bildet"). ${ }^{6}$

Herwerden ${ }^{7}$ pictures a large and spacious episcenium, from the back part of which, but on still higher ground, rises the house of Zeus. The cavern lies between the steps of this house and the front of the episcenium. The humble

[^13]dwelling of Trygaeus, flanked by the beetle-stable, projects a little beyond the front of the $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \kappa$ inoov-presumably in order that a flight of steps, which lead from Trygacus's back door to the top of the episcenium, may be hidden from view. The Chorus, as well as the two actors and five supers (one for each city named), ${ }^{1}$ help to raise Peace, and all must be on the same level ; but half or even a quarter of the Chorus will be sufficient. The stage building must therefore accommodate either nineteen ( $12+5+2$ ) or thirteen $(6+5+2)$ persons. The choristers and supers climb "per scalas ubiubi positas." At 1. 508, when the work is left to the Chorus alone, the supers descend to the lower level, but do not return to the orchestra; they are the áódou $\begin{gathered}\text { ot of 1. 730. Herwerden explains the descent in }\end{gathered}$ 1. 725 in the same way as Reisch, but thinks that the statue of Peace has been lowered into the orchestra shortly before.

Merry, ${ }^{2}$ a supporter of the raised stage, places the two houses on the same level, with the cavern in front of the house of Zeus. Taking the view that the more rudimentary and inadequate is the staging of the play the more effective is the parody of the Bellerophontes, he thinks that Trygaeus is raised above the ground and again lowered by means of a pulley passed over a piece of timber which projects above the back wall. The same apparatus serves afterwards for the raising of Peace, the rope, with a number of loose ends, being detached from the beetle and fastened to the image. The pulling is done by Herwerden's nineteen men, and the twelve choristers and the supers mount the stage by a temporary ladder. Into the words $\tau \eta D_{0} i \quad \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ aútinv Tìv $\theta$ єóv " many strange suggestions about concealed staircases and the like have been forced; but probably the same spirit of fun runs through the passage, and the plain meaning of Hermes is, 'just step down, close by the side of the image; and there you are!'-which was patently true."

Robert's carefully elaborated and highly interesting

[^14]theory ${ }^{1}$ has been kept till last. He supposes that the house of Trygaeus occupied the right part of the scene, and that the house of Zeus stood at right angles to it on the left, but considerably nearer to the spectators. Trygaeus flies across the intermediate space, and the manner of his flight may have been thus: A strong pole was erected behind each of the houses ; the poles were connected by an endless rope running on pulleys; and the beetle, fastened to the rope by strong cords, was drawn from the one house to the other. There is a platform in front of the house of Zeus, upon which Hermes steps at 1. 180, and Trygaeus about 1. 194. The beetle then disappears behind the house of Zeus. At l. 232 Hermes goes back into the house, and Trygaeus, with the words $\phi \in \rho^{\prime} \rho$ à ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\tau} \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \rho \hat{\omega}$ (234) escapes into the orchestra, probably by means of such a flight of steps as is found in one of the Phlyakes paintings. ${ }^{2}$ Polemos, and afterwards Kudoimos, then step upon the platform, and Trygaeus is absorbed in their proceedings until they re-enter the house at 1.288 . He then turns to the centre of the orchestra, where is the cavern of Peace, and at his call for general help the Chorus enter, accompanied by a couple of supers to do the actual work, which is completed at 1.519 . (Hermes has run down the ladder at 1. 362.) But how, asks Robert, do Theoria and Opora ascend from the cavern, and how do they descend with Trygaeus ( $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon o ́ v)$ at 1.726? He answers confidently. By the Xapóvioı $x \lambda i \mu \alpha \kappa \epsilon s,{ }^{3}$ a subterranean passage with a flight of steps leading down to it at each end, such as has been recently discovered at Eretria, ${ }^{4}$ and traces of which may be seen at Magnesia ${ }^{5}$ and Sicyon. ${ }^{6}$ As the statue of the goddess remains close to the mouth of the cave, the descent of Trygaeus and the two
 further claims that his view gives a good sense to eiolóvets

[^15](427), as the workers must actually enter the mouth of the äv $\nu \rho o v$, i.e. the head of Charon's ladder.

Space forbids anything like a detailed criticism of the foregoing schemes, but their main points may be briefly reviewed, and the comparative value of some minor ones will appear in the course of the argument.

And first it will be generally agreed that we cannot possibly concede to Richter the extraordinary conditions of pulling which he requires. That the Chorus should be two storeys below the mouth of the cave, where they cannot do the work, and the supers at an intermediate level, where they cannot do the hindering, while the two actors, who really do nothing, should alone be in a position to pull-this is neither practical nor humorous. Wherever the cave is, there must be both the $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o i$ and the representatives of the states, i.e. the Chorus and the supers, if supers there are.

Now if it can be shown that the house of Zeus must have been higher than both the house of Trygaeus and the cavern, the main contentions of Droysen, Nieiahr, Merry, and Herwerden will be alike disproved. An attempt shall be made to prove the first point by reference to the mechanical contrivances and the nature of the parody, the second by words occurring in the play itself.
I. The use of mechanism in the Bellerophontes ${ }^{1}$ is not an isolated case. In the Andromeda (Eur. fr. 126 (D) $=$ Ar. Thesm. 1098-1 100) Perseus was undoubtedly seen flying to the rock, and the journey of Thetis in Eur. Andromache 1228-1230 cannot have been left to the imagination. ${ }^{2}$ Many other cases are somewhat less certain, but quite probable; putting the difficult question of the Prometheus aside, it is hard to doubt that the car of Medea floated visibly through the air. Moreover, a contrivance for this purpose is distinctly parodied in the Clouds. ${ }^{3}$ It is fair, then, to assume that a crane of some kind was in use before 421 B.C., ${ }^{4}$

[^16]and that by its help an actor might be lifted to a higher level. Now the comic poet deliberately sets himself to travesty this device, especially its use in the Bellerophontes. Of the mechanical details we know nothing, but the general treatment may be deduced from the requirements of burlesque and from certain of the spoken lines. Thus (I) the tragic use of the $\mu \eta \chi^{\alpha} \nu^{\prime}$ being as far as possible concealed, the comic use must be blatantly displayed to the spectators ; (2) the tragic use being as far as possible carried out without a hitch, the comic use must be clumsy and all but unsuccessful: ${ }^{1}$ but (3) the final result must be achieved in the one case as in the other. Unless this last point is conceded, the whole parody is vain. The audience have seen the preparations for ascent ( $126-153$ ), have heard the final injunctions of Trygaeus to his steed ( $54-163$ ), have watched him rise till he can pretend to see Peiraeus (165), and have laughed at his growing alarm as he mounts higher still ( $173-176$ ) ; but the round of applause is surely kept for the moment when he steps from his charger on to the floor of heaven ( $\mathrm{I}_{7} 8$ ). The spectators who could have approved of the lamentable anti-climax imagined by Dr. Merry would have laughed at anything. What served for the higher level must be matter for later consideration, but its necessity cannot be too strongly asserted ; as Reisch ${ }^{2}$ says, " much of the amusement which the journey of Trygaeus gave to the spectators depends on the fact that they were familiar with the contrivance of a special Gottplatz." The house of Zeus must have been higher than the house of Trygaeus.
2. We have next to show that the house of Zeus must have been on a higher level than the cavern. This will of course follow directly from the conclusion just reached, for the Chorus will be unable to help if the cavern is on the heavenly level, and we have seen above that there cannot have been three distinct levels. But it is worth while to show that the fact is distinctly stated in 11. 223-224, eis ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \tau \rho o \nu \beta a \theta \dot{u}$. . . єis тovтì тò ка́тш. In these words the poet tries to explain away an obvious inconsistency ; the cave

[^17]should have been in heaven，but owing to the requirements of staging it must be placed on the level of earth．For тò кáт $\omega$ cannot be equivalent to $\tau \grave{o}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi o \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$ ，as a review of the uses of $\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$ in Aristophanes alone will show．Setting aside as irrelevant the idiomatic $\ddot{\mu} \nu \omega \kappa \dot{\partial} \tau \omega$（ $\ddot{u} \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\imath} \kappa \dot{u} \tau \omega$ ） and the frequent use with verbs of motion（＂downwards＂）， we find in the remaining thirteen instances an opposi－ tion expressed or strongly implied between that which is＂beneath＂and some person or object placed on a distinctly higher level．Thus（1）＂in the world below，＂in opposition to those on the earth，e．g．Pax 649，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon}$＂$\alpha$ тòv
 opposition to gods in heaven，$A v .844,1607$ ；（2）of lower parts of the body in opposition to upper parts，c．g．Ran． 485，т $\grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$ коı入íav（opposed to $\dot{\eta} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu \omega$ in Hippocrates），

 his back：cf．fr．409，тò̀ ка́тш $\sigma \pi a \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \eta \nu:$（3）of mari－ time in opposition to inland peoples，fr． $27, \Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \tau \rho \epsilon \stackrel{\iota}{s}{ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ка́ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$（there being two places called $\Lambda a \mu \pi \tau \rho a i:$ see Harpocr．，s．v．$\Lambda a \mu \pi \tau \rho \in i s$ ）；（4）one case remains，viz．Ach．
 polis points to the leather thong attached to the eye，and locates it as being below（not above）．This line and Vesp． 181 show more conclusively than any others the exactness of the Greek use of ка́ $\tau \omega$ ．A word used in such constant opposition to an object above could not mean vaguely ＂down on the ground，＂and any contrast between the level of the feet and the pointing finger in Pax 223－224 is plainly out of the question．It follows that the words rò ки́⿱亠䒑十七七 are purposely added，to call attention to the lower level of the cave ：hence（1）Hermes and Trygaeus are upon a height， （2）the cave is not．

But we must further show（against Robert）that Hermes and Trygaeus remain aloft from 1． 234 to 726．Now Robert＇s account of their descent involves one grave diffi－ culty，which he passes over in silence－the exit of Hermes at 1.728 ．While Trygaeus and the кópa are descending Charon＇s ladder，Hermes must walk back from the very
centre of the roomy orchestra to the stage buildings, and then pass up a flight of steps to the house of Zeus. This is an unheard-of proceeding. Further, his descent at 1.362 would surely have been noted in the text; the line might
 $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$, but something at any rate would have been said. In fact, the unheralded manner of the entrance and exit of Hermes is conformable only with one supposition-that the god stepped straight out of and straight back into the house of Zeus.

The descent of Trygaeus from the higher level (at 1.234) is open to a similar objection. The words $\phi$ '́ $\rho$ ' aútò̀ à ào $\rho \hat{\omega}$, on which Robert depends, will apply admirably to Trygaeus if he intends to hide in a corner ; but if he is to descendwell, the verbs $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta a i v e \iota v$ and катаßaivelv have caused so much trouble in other plays, ${ }^{1}$ where their application is not always obvious, that we may well be surprised not to meet them here, where (for Robert's view) they are badly wanted.

Again, if Trygaeus and Hermes are standing on a height, the drift of the otherwise pointless lines $469-47$ I becomes at once clear. The poet, feeling that Trygaeus, after all his professions, ought to be doing something, makes the Chorus shout out to the pair $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \quad \ddot{\omega}$, $\xi_{v \nu a v e ́ \lambda \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon ~} \kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma \phi \dot{\omega}$. Trygaeus throws himself into a straining attitude, and pre-
 $\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \omega$;) to be tugging hard at the rope which is far below him. This suffices to raise a laugh, and the criticism of the audience on this point is disarmed.

Now there are two ways in which the necessary altitude may have been given to the house of Zeus: it may have been represented as immediately above the house of Trygaeus, or it may have been a separate building standing on a raised platform. Choice has here but the value of a guess; we have no explained parallel in another play, no indication in the Peace itself, and no real knowledge of the $\mu \eta \chi a v \eta^{\prime}$ and its working. Fortunately, the question is not of prime importance for the business of the play, except in regard to the

[^18]ascent of Trygaeus ; after the arrival in heaven (1. 179) any theory of arrangement will fit either position equally.

Nor would the ascent (whatever may have been the structure and working of the $\mu \eta \chi\left(\nu \nu \eta^{\prime}\right)$ be more difficult in the one case than in the other. By means of any kind of crane and pulley, with guiding-cord attached, Trygaeus, seated on the beetle, could be raised from a stable adjoining the left wall of his own house to a heaven a few feet farther to the left, as easily as from the same spot to the roof of his own house. But Robert's suggestion of an angular position for the house of Zeus is highly improbable; it would necessitate a more difficult journey (the flight being mostly lateral), with two pulleys and more complicated tackle altogether; moreover, in those other plays in which two houses are needed, they plainly stand side by side.

On the whole Reisch's view seems the more simple and effective. It is also more in keeping with the requirements of the parody of the Bellerophontes. Easily satisfied as we know the Athenians to have been in the matter of scenery, Euripides would scarcely set the house of Zeus by the side of an earthly diwelling. Probably in the tragedy there would be no heavenly house at all, but a heavenly place such as Pollux claims for the $\Psi v \chi o \sigma \tau a \sigma^{i} a$ of Aeschylus. ${ }^{1}$ The vexed question of such a $\theta$ co $\lambda o \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} o \nu$ cannot be discussed here ; but the necessity for a stage-heaven is proved by many passages in the extant dramas, ${ }^{2}$ and it is hard to place it in any other position than one of the two suggested by Reisch (above, p. I8). ${ }^{3}$ To choose between these two with any certainty is impossible, but it may be suggested in passing that the grounds on which Reisch prefers the special platform are not convincing. In the case of the Attic theatre it is always hazardous to argue from the requirements of stage illusion ; and, though a house-roof was closely associated with human characters, some special feature in the scene painting may have helped to create a different impression.

[^19]The cavern, as we have seen, is in the orchestra. But whereabouts? And how do Opora and Theoria contrive to rise from it? The two questions go closely together. If the кópat ascend by Charon's ladder, then the cavern would be in the centre of the orchestra, where we find the entrance from below in the Eretrian theatre ; if they do not, the cavern would doubtless be nearer the stage buildings. It should at once be stated that the arguments advanced above in no way invalidate Robert's main point, viz. the use of the X $\alpha$ р'өи though a different view must be taken about their exit. And indeed no situation in any extant Greek drama calls so clearly for the employment of the newly-discovered passage and steps. Darius in the Persae may rise from the tomb, and for the ghosts of Polydorus in the Hecuba and of Clytaemnestra in the Eumenides an entrance from the side might perhaps be satisfactory. ${ }^{1}$ But in the Pcace the кópou must of necessity emerge from the cavity itself. On the other hand it may be argued that, if an entrance from beneath is demanded once only in the extant plays, ${ }^{2}$ we have less right than ever to assume the existence of the $\kappa \lambda i \mu a \kappa \epsilon s$ for the fifth century. The same argument, however, tells equally against any other explanation of the ascent, e.g. an entrance from beneath a raised stage; it amounts only to this, that the device, being seldom needed, was seldom used.

It is hard to see how any impartial person can argue that these passages have no connection with dramatic performances. Yet this is what advocates of the early raised stage are constrained to do ; else they will be placing actors in the orchestra. Bethe treats the discoveries with scant respect, and Haigh is bravely sceptical. Well, what do we find at Eretria? A flight of steps leading into a tunnel, which is in height and width just sufficient for a man to traverse, and at the end of the tunnel another flight of similar steps leading out into the middle of the orchestra. ${ }^{3}$

[^20]Surely it is trifling with words to say that the "purpose" of such a tunnel "has not yet been explained, and remains very mysterious." ${ }^{1}$ The purposes of tunnelling from place to place are rarely obscure; and, if we assume that the appearance of actors was the motive for this work of engineering, we have a hypothesis which at least explains the facts.

A few words must suffice for the other tunnels. At Magnesia so much of the passage as remains is similar in character, and, if the steps were of wood, as those at Eretria originally were, ${ }^{2}$ their disappearance was inevitable; steps and passages alike have no doubt disappeared from many theatres. At Sicyon, although no steps leading from the orchestra can be traced, the underground connection between its centre and the stage buildings is specially marked; a small passage only, serving as a drain, runs from the centre of the auditorium to the centre of the orchestra, but from thence onwards to the back of the stage buildings it is large enough to admit a man. ${ }^{3}$ It is of course possible to argue that its object was merely to allow of the superintendence of the drain and tank; but here again the case of Eretria gives strong presumption of a dramatic purpose.

Such, then, may well have been the cavity from which (at 1. 516) the colossal statue of Peace is extracted and the ко́рає emerge. The work has, in a!l probability, been done by the actual members of the Chorus, unaided by supers, for whose presence there is no warrant. Robert, indeed, believes in them, arguing that, as the Chorus are busy with libation and prayers from 1.43I to 457 , the removal of the stones, which is simultaneously performed, must have been the work of a different body of men. But surely the Chorus
 Hermes, removes the stones, while the other joins with Trygaeus in the religious service. So we get a spectacular symmetry most pleasing to the eye of a Greek-the two commanders above and the two companies below, Hermes

[^21]pointing, nodding, gesturing to his eager workmen, Trygaeus leading his responsive choir in prayer. ${ }^{1}$

Again, it has been urged that, as the Chorus are $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \omega \rho \gamma^{i}$, there must have been at least five supers to represent Lamachus, the Megarians, and the rest (466-503). Strangely enough, it seems never to have been observed that until the
 As such they are summoned by Trygaeus; $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ " $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon s$, he cries in 1. 292, and in Il. 296-298-



where the $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o i$ are only one class out of many, though the most important. The Chorus expressly introduce themselves as Пavé $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in s$ at the moment of their entrance (302), and for the following 200 lines there is not a single mention of country life, but many exhortations towards unity of purpose. But after the appearance of Peace, the poet, having no further need of aliens, takes pains to make us forget that the whole Chorus were not originally Attic farmers. For this purpose (partly) he emphasises the success of the $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o i$ (5II), whom he has no doubt made as numerous as possible at 1.508 , and soon afterwards (in lines which have been strangely misunderstood) goes out of his way to point out the hearty reconciliation of the different members of the Chorus-

## ${ }^{\prime} \theta_{\iota} \nu v \nu{ }^{\prime} \theta \rho \rho \iota$

oiov $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha{ }_{\varsigma} \lambda \alpha \lambda o u ̄ \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon ו \varsigma$


The $\pi$ ólets can only refer to the Megarians, Argives, $\& c$., of the preceding scene, ${ }^{2}$ for the actors examine the appearance of the spectators for the first time in 1.543, каi $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau$ тoivvv $\tau \hat{\omega \nu \nu} \theta \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ бкóтєє | $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \phi^{\prime}$. Thus finally in $1.55^{\circ}$

[^22]the whole Chorus can be referred to as $\gamma \in \omega \rho$ yoi, the transformation being rendered easier by the recent praises of country life.

It is unlikely that the various Greek states pointed out in 11. 466-503 were distinguished from the rest of the Chorus by any outward sign. The Athenians were always ready to place their imaginations at the disposal of a poet. If they were willing to picture night scenes in broad daylight (as in the Clouds and several plays), and to see nothing absurd in an imaginary snowstorm (Ach. II4I, ví申єt. $\beta \alpha \beta a u \dot{\alpha}){ }^{1}$ they would be ready enough to take a Boeotian or an Argive on trust.

Peace is probably carried at once in triumph to the house, to the excited cries of the Chorus ( $5 \mathrm{I} 7-5 \mathrm{I} 9$ ) : if she were carried in the subsequent procession, the fact could scarcely fail to appear in the text. The statue would be placed close to one of the walls of the house, so that Hermes might carry on his whispered conversation with the goddess (66I-695). Very pertinent in this regard is the concluding sentence of a long scholion to Plato, ${ }^{2}$ which tells us that the statue was of enormous size, a кодоббюкò ${ }^{\prime \prime} \ddot{y}^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \mu a$. The adjective would be appropriate enough to a statue of which the pedestal was in the orchestra and the head a few feet above the house roof. The scholion supplies an extra proof, if such were needed, as to the position of Hermes; vague as the word ко入oбनtкóv may be, it could only be applied to a figure considerably larger than life-size, and, if Hermes were in the orchestra, his pretended whispers would be absurd.

Theoria and Opora, on issuing from the cavern, cross the orchestra and climb to heaven, probably by a ladder conveniently placed for the purpose under cover of the excitement prevailing in the orchestra. Certainly they have reached heaven by 1.525 .

The Chorus have still to take up their proper position in the orchestra. This end is gained by the order for a

[^23]procession of farmers ( 550 sqq .), who are first to do honour to the goddess and then return to their farms. They carry out the first part of the order ( $582-600$ ), and so gain their places; the second part is easily evaded by a leading question set to Hermes (60I-602).

There still remains the descent of Trygaeus, Theoria, and Opora. At l. 725 we read :-

TP. $\pi \hat{\omega} \stackrel{\delta}{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha l ;$
EP. $\theta \alpha ́ \rho \rho \epsilon \iota, \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega ิ s$.

TP. $\delta \in \hat{u} \rho$ ', $\hat{\dot{\omega}}$ кópaц,

If the suggested arrangement of $11.520-600$ is correct, these words present no difficulty at all. It is only necessary to suppose that the statue stood close to the ladder, and we have at once a descent $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau i \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta$ єóv. A roundabout description of the route is obviously called for, since a ladder is too unconventional a means of descent from heaven to allow of explicit inference.

The results of the whole discussion may be briefly summed up as follows:-(I) The house of Zeus (and heaven) is on a higher level than the house of Trygaeus, in all probability immediately above it ; (2) Trygaeus is drawn up vertically by a crane and pulley, and then moved laterally by a guiding-cord ; (3) Trygaeus and Hermes remain in heaven until the Parabasis; (4) the cavern is in the centre of the orchestra, being really a staircase reached by an underground passage from the stage buildings; ${ }^{1}(5)$ the Chorus are originally $\prod_{a \nu \epsilon ́}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \eta \nu \epsilon$, but are afterwards assumed to be all Attic farmers ; (6) there are no supers ; (7) the Chorus are divided into two parts between 11. 428 and $45^{8}$; (8) the colossal statue of Peace, after being drawn up, is carried across the orchestra and set down quite close to the house of Trygaeus; (9) Theoria and Opora walk straight from the hidden staircase to the house, and climb to heaven by a ladder placed close to the statue; (io) the Chorus take up their proper position under cover of a procession; (II)

[^24]Trygaeus, Theoria, and Opora descend to earth by the ladder already mentioned.

It is hoped that some of these conclusions have been proved beyond dispute, and the rest shown to be exceedingly probable.

## IV-The Manuscrip'ts

The Pax has been preserved in eight manuscripts. The first printed edition also ranks as an authority. ${ }^{1}$ These are : -

| $\mathrm{R}=$ | Ravennas Bibl. Class. I 37, 4 A. |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~V}=$ | Venetus Bibl. Marc. 474. |
| $\mathrm{G}=$ | (Venetus) Bibl. Marc. 475. |
| $\Gamma=$ | Laurentianus 3r, I5. |
| $\mathrm{P}=$ | Palatinus Bibl. Vat. 67. |
| $\mathrm{~B}=$ | Parisinus Bibl. Reg. 2715. |
| $\mathrm{C}=$ | Parisinus Bibl. Reg. 2717. |
|  | Ottobonianus Bibl. Vat. 307. |
| et |  |
| Ald. $=$ | Editio Aldina princeps. |

The Ravennas.-This MS., the romantic history of which has been partially unravelled, ${ }^{2}$ was rediscovered by Invernizzi in the Classe Monastery at Ravenna, towards the close of the eighteenth century. It is of the eleventh (or the end of the tenth ${ }^{3}$ ) century, and is written in minuscules by the same "neat and careful hand" ${ }^{4}$ which has given us the Laurentian (P) Demosthenes. ${ }^{5}$ Zacher has conclusively proved that the text is the work of one hand only, ${ }^{6}$ though verses omitted through negligence were added by one of the two scribes who copied in the scholia. ${ }^{7}$ Equally

[^25]convincing are the arguments (mainly from tachygraphy) by which the same writer shows that the Ravennas was copied from a MS. not much older than itself, the lines and pages of which it faithfully reproduces. ${ }^{1}$ Of all MSS. of Aristophanes, this alone contains the eleven extant plays.

The Venetus.-This MS., of the twelfth century, contains seven plays. It was written by two contemporary hands, of which the first copied Plutus, Nubes, Ranae 1-470, the second Ranae 47I to end, Equites, Aves, Pax, Vespae. Like the Ravennas, it was copied line by line from a single exemplar, which was not easy to decipher. There is one correcting hand, ${ }^{2}$ also contemporary; indeed, the reviser was a taskmaster who sometimes assisted in the original transcription. ${ }^{3}$
A. von Bamberg, ${ }^{4}$ writing in 1866 , tries to show that the copyist used as many as four MSS. for his work, viz. (x) the archetype of $R$; (2) the archetype of the inferior MSS. ; (3) a worthless MS. of different character ; (4) a MS. superior to $R$. This conclusion, improbable on the face of it, is obtained by a species of argument from a few instances, which, if extended, would multiply the founts of any MS. indefinitely. Bamberg allows nothing for errors, glosses, and interpolations. Velsen, in Philologus (xxiv.) of the same year, while condemning Bamberg's theory, allows that V has a double source, viz. (1) and (4) above; ${ }^{5}$ and indeed the immense superiority shown by V over R in the Vespae (in cases where no erasures are noted) is difficult to explain on any other theory. In the same way in the Equites, V's constant agreement with $\Theta$ Ald. against R (and here we may trust Velsen for the erasures) might be thought to justify Bamberg's supposition of fount (2). But Zacher's arguments from internal evidence ${ }^{6}$ are cogent enough to dispose of a difficult hypothesis, for which in the remaining five

[^26]plays there is no justification. We must be content to say that V is interpolated from more than one source, both good and bad.

Relation between R and V in the Pax.- Under this head I propose to consider two questions: (I) whether the connection between R and V is so close as to justify us in concluding that they are copies of the same MS.; ${ }^{1}$ (2) whether R or V comes nearer to giving us the poet's actual words.

In order to ascertain the closeness of connection between the two MSS., we must see where they (I) agree, against all or most other MSS., in correct readings ; (2) agree, against other MSS., in errors; (3) differ from one another, in whatever way. It is obvious that safe results can be obtained only from (2) and (3).
I. Agreement in Correct Readings.-There are about roo cases in which RV alone either preserve the right reading or give something which enables us to restore it. The list is given below in the section dealing with the Aldine.
II. Agreement in Errors.- Of such agreement in peculiar errors A. von Bamberg ${ }^{2}$ finds only six instances, in one of which (1.455) Cobet credits V with the correct reading. To the five which remain I add five; a few notes on common errors are subjoined, in order that cases obviously bearing on the archetype may stand by themselves. The ten are : ${ }^{3}$ -
$219 \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ (known to Schol.) for Múlov | 257 om. $\mu$ oı (haplography) | $447 \epsilon i$ for $\kappa \epsilon i^{\prime 3} \mid 566 \nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \Delta i^{\prime \prime}$ for $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime 2} \mid$


[^27] 125 I $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \partial \omega \kappa^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i^{4}{ }^{4}$

Here the only striking instances occur in 11. 219, 447, 758 , and II87. The importance of this list depends entirely on the proportion which these cases of agreement bear to those of divergence which are now to be considered.
III. Errors found in R, not in V (30).-17 om. $\tau^{\prime} \mid 63$

 201 om. second $\tau \grave{\alpha}|233 \stackrel{\text { r }}{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \theta \epsilon \nu| 275$ add. $\chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega}^{9} \mid 326$ $\pi \alpha$ v́ou $^{10} \mid 384$ add. каi | 402 om. $\mid 476$ к к $\tau \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \mid 65^{8}$

 $\kappa \nu i ́ \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha^{13} \mid 850$ кєi for кúкєî (haplography)| 905 add. $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 $\mid \mathrm{I} 26 \mathrm{I}$ om. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{I} 263 \tau \rho \epsilon i s$ for $\tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{15}{ }^{15} \mid 1292$ єis (sic).

It is matter for remark that the greater number of these errors are peculiar to $R$. The class represented by B Ald. ${ }^{16}$ shares only five of them, and those the commonest of copyist's mistakes (63, г61, 163, 476, 732).

[^28]Errors which we may fairly suppose, considering the conscientious character of R's copyist, to have existed in the archetype, are those found in 11. 233, 326, $384,658,905$, perhaps 1263 , and of course a fairly large number of the more clerical errors.
IV. Errors found in V, not in R (25).-42 тoû for oú ${ }_{76} \pi \tau \epsilon \tilde{\rho} \tau o ́ v$, i.e. $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \tau o ́ v\left|224 \mathrm{add} . \dot{\omega}{ }^{1}\right| 256 \mathrm{om} . \sigma o{ }^{1}{ }^{2}$
 oưd' | 496 какоi for какóvo兀 ${ }^{4} \mid 547$ катєта́тарঠєь (ditto-

 тé $\rho \alpha^{6} \mid 739$ om. $\mu_{\epsilon} \nu^{7} \mid 866$ om. övтаs ${ }^{8} \mid 872$ тi таuт $\left|\nu_{i}\right|$ 891 ìs ка入òv imuiv (transp.) | 966 om. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \mid 969$ тоḯ for

 $\mu \alpha \sigma \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota |$| 1318 | om. $\nu \hat{v} \nu{ }^{10}$. |
| :--- | :--- |

We cannot with certainty assign responsibility for any of these errors to the archetype, unless in the case of 76 and perhaps 872 ; they are mostly very characteristic of the copyist who transcribed the latter plays of V. Thus even in the Vespae, where he is at his best, he has given us a plentiful stock of haplographies, dittographies, transpositions, and more especially of omissions.
V. Other Discrepancies(9).—274 R $\gamma^{\prime} \tau \iota$, V $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \mid 446 \mathrm{R}$






In six of these nine more doubtful cases $R$ is probably correct, while V points to the right reading in 882 , and gives

[^29]it in 1188 and probably in 274 , where, however, R's $\gamma^{\prime} \tau_{\imath}$ may possibly conceal the true reading.
VI. Connection between V and later MSS.-We saw on p. 32 that R is uninterpolated; the only cases of a chance agreement between R and B Ald. (against V ) are 63 бєautoû (Ald.) | i6i op $\rho \hat{\omega}$ s (B Ald.) | 163 日' (B Ald.) | 476 ката$\gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ (B).

With V the case is different. The MS. indeed rarely shows in this play errors of $B$ Ald. from which $R$ is free : I can only point to 475 є仑̂ं ${ }^{\prime}$ (B Ald. $\epsilon \hat{u}$ ) $\mid 585$ daí $\mu \nu \nu$ | 1047 aùós $\mid$ I28I $\mu \alpha \sigma a \hat{\sigma} \theta a t$. But $V$ shares with Ald. the very improbable readings of $11.705,929,1074$, and the good readings in 11. 98,274, 1 188 . A more certain sign of revision from the second class of MSS. is seen in the variae lectiones: e.g. $1023 \gamma \rho . \sigma \grave{\varepsilon} \delta_{\eta}^{\prime}$ (B Ald.)| I20I $\gamma \rho$. $\delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha i \bar{s}$
 it is added that, except in 11. 256, 872, 891, 1175, V has no errors which are not found either in R or Ald., we may safely conclude that the MS. was interpolated from a copy closely akin to that used by Musurus.

Those peculiar readings of $V$ which possess merit (which are considered below, p. 37) are certainly not due to interpolation, though I believe the widely-accepted кর́тьхорєúєıv (1317) to be an irresponsible correction. ${ }^{2}$

Conclusions.-We are now perhaps in a position to consider the two questions propounded on p. 33.

Assuming the lists to be complete (within the limits laid down), we find ro cases in which RV agree in errors, and 64 cases in which one or the other is in error, but not both. If my division of the doubtful cases (p. 35) is right, 33 of these errors belong to $R$, and 3 I to V : in any case they are very equally divided. Now, since $R$ seems to be an accurate copy, ${ }^{3}$ we may fairly assume that about 20 of the abovementioned errors were derived from its archetype. But if V had used the same archetype, very many of these would

[^30]reappear in that MS.; for a glance at R's errors will show that they are not of a kind to be corrected by interpolation, if they had once found their way into V . The converse argument is stronger still ; for R is uninterpolated, and yet he avoids 10,12 , or 15 errors which must have existed in the archetype of V. As, then, out of at least 40 errors in the hypothetical archetype, RV show only 10 in common, they cannot have used the same archetype. ${ }^{1}$

But as they are not brothers german, what is their relationship? To answer this question we must hazard something as to the ancestors of the Venetus. Of Bamberg's four founts (see p. 32) we have eliminated No. 2, and substituted the theory (or certainty) of interpolation: of the necessity for No. 3 we have not found a trace (see p. 36) ; but the good readings which V alone supplies have yet to be accounted for. Putting aside 1. I317 (see p. 36 and note ad loc.), we find five such, viz. I6I óp日ós | I98 $\pi$ тoí
 $\left.\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma o \nu \sigma^{\prime}\right) \mid 1292$ eins. Taken singly, the corruption of these readings is easy to explain; but it must be remembered that the same corruption has in each case affected both $R$ and the B Ald. recension. $\Gamma$ cannot have been a medium of conveyance from R to Ald., since in three of the five places it is defective, as was its parent. It would seem, then, that V was copied from a MS. which was closely related to the archetype of $R$, but which had either itself or in its original been contaminated with, or interpolated from, a MS. of different family from any which we possess.

In the contributions which R and V respectively give us towards a pure text, the honours are fairly easy. As against the five peculiar readings of merit quoted above from $\mathrm{V},{ }^{2}$ R alone supplies 496 какóvo七 $\mid 585$ баıцо́vя $\mid 872$ таитท̆́
 The first three of these alone are more important and helpful than all V's independent contributions. On the other hand, R's errors are more serious and less easily corrected than those of V. But R has a great moral superiority. In this

[^31]play, as in all others, we feel sure that the copyist, though mechanical and rather careless, set himself to copy his original conscientiously. Thus it comes, for instance, that in 1. $5^{85}$ he alone wrote down the unfamiliar $\delta a \iota \mu o{ }^{2} \iota \alpha$ which he found before him, instead of changing it to the common but senseless daimova. Moreover, the correcting hand, while keeping clear of emendation, was scholar enough to recognise како́vo (1.496) under the disguise (како̀ о"̈) which the archetype had thrown over it.

The Venetus G.-This MS. (cited as S by Blaydes, who has collated it) is a fifteenth-century copy of $V$, and a very bad copy, being evidently the work of a mere calligraphist. Omitting errors of accentuation and the less important lapses from orthography, I find 83 cases in which ( $V$ being correct) G gives an impossible reading. All the common mistakes of copyists may be exemplified from it, while as instances of

 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. It has also many bad omissions, e.g. $49 \pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ | $969 \delta \hat{\omega}$. The only good reading which $G$ alone offers is 585 ßou入ó $\mu \in \nu 0$, unless the $-\eta$ in 1037, 1226, be counted to its credit ; but it corrects V in $475,547,896$ (these with R), 224 (with RB), I258 (with B Ald.), III2 (with C). Mr. Starkie shows reason for believing that in the Vespae G was corrected from the Parisian group of MSS. ${ }^{1}$ : of this there is no sign in the Pax. The instances of agreement with R cannot well be explained, but 1.896 must certainly have been inserted from a MS. closely related to $R$. The MS. is almost free from erasures and corrections. ${ }^{2}$ It is a copy of V as we have it (i.e. interpolated) ; else its value, which is practically nil, would be enormously enhanced.

The Laurentian $\Gamma$.-This MS., which is of the fourteenth century, contains seven plays, ${ }^{3}$ only four of which it shares

[^32]with V. Of the six hands employed in its transcription, the third copied the Pax and Vespae, while the fourth made (a very few ${ }^{1}$ ) corrections from a different exemplar. The MS. gives us little more than half of the play: the missing passages are $1-377,491-547,837-892,948-1011$, II26-II89, I299-end. The last four lacunae must have existed in the archetype, since $\Gamma$ shows no gaps in these places.

The MS. is of the second family, of which it is in some plays the eldest survivor. Zacher ${ }^{3}$ tells us that in the Pax "ein Gemellus von $\Gamma$ " was the original of the Aldine. Without throwing any doubt on this conclusion, I give lists of $\Gamma$ 's readings, which show constant agreement with RV (especially with $R$ ) against $B$ and the Aldine.
I. Agreement of $\Gamma$ with BC Ald.
(1) With Ald., 1037 ä้ (for $\ddot{\omega} \nu$ ) BC.
(2) With B, $414 \pi$ тарє́к $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o \nu \mathrm{C} \mid 415$ от. кúк $\lambda$ ov $\mid+37$ $\xi \nu \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \beta_{n}$ C.
 $\pi 0 i \mid 700 \tau i \quad \delta a i$.
Thus $\Gamma$ agrees (against RV) once with Ald., four times with $B$, seven times with $C$. A far more important sign of connection is ${ }^{\text {'s }}$ s omission of 948 -Ioil (with BC Ald.) and of 1299 to end (with B).
II. Agreement of $\Gamma$ with RV against B Ald.

## RVF.

380 тоû $\Delta$ iòs.
$390 \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \beta$ ß $\quad$ ov̂бı $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \mathrm{C}$.
439 dıá $\gamma \epsilon \iota$.
$445 \pi$ т́т $\frac{1}{}$.
456 ढ̈pals.

B Ald.
Diòs.
$\tau \iota s$ à $\nu \tau \iota \beta 0 \lambda o \hat{0} \sigma \iota \nu$ ท̇miv $\gamma \epsilon .{ }^{4}$
ঠıá $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon^{5}{ }^{5}$
тóтvtá $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$. ${ }^{6}$
ढّраıгıv.

[^33]2 Zacher-Velsen, Eq., p. x.
${ }^{3}$ Bursian's Jahresbericht, 1892, p. 23.

- An attempt at a trochaic tetrameter.
${ }^{5}$ The insertion of this ridiculous $\mu \epsilon$ metri gratia is typical of B Ald.
${ }^{8}$ Cf. 1265, $\pi \alpha$ เסla $\gamma^{\prime}$ (Ald.) for $\pi \alpha เ \delta l^{\prime}$.
RVF．
B Ald．

462 ётı $\mu$ á $\lambda \alpha$ ．
566 访 $\tau$ ò̀ $\Delta{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ．${ }^{1}$
$600 \lambda \alpha \beta$ óvt＇．
630 市 $\tau^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ ．
640 фо⿱亠乂口ô C．
645 €̉ßúvouv．
66 I av่าoîs．
663 єîev．
676 ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ C．
680 тикѝे．
$695 \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ C．
699 pirmòs C．
733 ขoûs é $\chi$ єı C ．
747 т่̀̀ $\nu \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu{ }^{7}$
$75^{8}$ каці́vov．

822 àтò тoủpavoû фaive $\theta$ ڤut．
$824{ }^{\epsilon} \notin \omega \gamma$＇．
$908 \pi \rho \circ \hat{\kappa}{ }^{\prime}$ ä $\nu$ ．

939 Ө́́ $\lambda$ ！
1029 Хрєढ́v є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \mathrm{C}$.
103о торі́щ $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{C}$ ．

$\nu \dot{\eta} \Delta i^{\prime}$ ．
$\sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau^{\prime} .{ }^{2}$
$\delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ ．
$\phi \rho о \nu \in i ́$.
є́ßúouv．
à̀тoī $\sigma$ ．
єiè $\nu \quad \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{3}$
$\omega ゙ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．
$\pi \nu v \kappa i .{ }^{4}$
$\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o \iota ~(\mathrm{~B} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu)$ ．



$$
\gamma \epsilon){ }^{6}
$$

$\tau \alpha \hat{\alpha} \tau$,
кацѝ $\lambda о$ 。
$\hat{\eta} \nu \quad$ è $\lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu \quad \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ ．
$\dot{a} \pi$＇oùpavoû фаíve $\theta \theta$ ．
є่ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ ．
$\pi \rho о \hat{\kappa} \alpha$ ．
$\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \varsigma \tau i \quad \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\alpha} \nu .{ }^{8}$

$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu$.
каі торіцш т $\hat{\eta}{ }^{10}$
$\Gamma$ also agrees with R alone in three places：－732 $\phi u ́ \lambda a \tau \tau \epsilon \mid$ IO40 $\theta_{\eta} \lambda \dot{u} \mu a \tau \alpha \mid$ IIII $\pi \rho o \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ．Agreement in the anagrammatism of 1040 is remarkable．
$\Gamma$ does not supply a single independent reading of merit．

[^34]Indeed, there seem to be only three places where this MS. stands alone, viz. $397 \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda o \iota \sigma i ́ \tau \epsilon \mid 413$ aùтoì $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \iota \epsilon \nu \mid$ 601 om. то̂̂tov.

No attempt will here be made ${ }^{1}$ to explain the close resemblance of $\Gamma$ and RV, a resemblance which is not found in the case of the other plays of $\Gamma$. It is the more remarkable in that corrections are, as we have seen, very few. The question might be simplified if the Parisian A, which in other plays is allied to $\Gamma$, had included the Pax. But, so far as this play is concerned, there is every reason to endorse the general description given by Dindorf: ${ }^{2}$ " $\Gamma$ bonae notae liber, plerumque cum codicibus melioribus consentiens."

The Palatimus 67 (P).-This MS., of the fifteenth century, ${ }^{3}$ contains nine plays (omitting Thesmophoriazusae and Ecclesiazusae). Kuster made some use of it, ${ }^{4}$ but it has never been properly collated, nor does it seem to deserve collation. A. Müller says of it: "Liber mendosissimus est pessimae notae, atque quam male librarius sermonem Graecum calluerit, docent miserae scholiorum reliquiae, quas saepe ad explicandas singulas voces sic adscripsit, ut stultissime eas in textum reciperet." ${ }^{3}$ In the Lysistrata it is closely connected with C. ${ }^{6}$ Zuretti suggests ${ }^{7}$ (but without giving reasons) that it may represent a medley of different MSS. Out of the few readings which are cited, ${ }^{8}$ four may be quoted here:-I 66 om. $\mu^{\prime}$ (see note ad loc.) | 392 т $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \mid$ II 35 om. є́к $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \epsilon \sigma$ $\mu^{\prime} v \alpha \mid$ I 272 add. $\gamma^{\prime}$ (with B Ald.).

The Aldine.-The editio princeps was prepared by Marcus Musurus, a Cretan, and printed by Aldus at Venice, the date

[^35]of publication being July I5, 1498. It contains nine plays (omitting Thesm. and Lysistrata ${ }^{1}$ ), though an edition of seven only was originally contemplated, as we learn from a formal note of conclusion appended to the seventh play. We must assume that the editor procured a copy or copies of the Pax and Ecclesiacusae in the nick of time, and hastened to add these plays to the seven already printed. ${ }^{2}$ As to the MSS. used for this $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a} \dot{s}$, it is certain, both from the words of Musurus and from a consideration of the scholia, that they were two at least in number, if not more ${ }^{3}$; for the Pax and Ecclesiazusae one MS. only was available. ${ }^{4}$ This copy of the Pax seems to have been from the same archetype as $\Gamma,{ }^{5}$ though, as we have seen, the text of $\Gamma$ Ald. is very far from showing the same mutual agreement as the scholia. On this point, see p. 39 .

The Aldine is now regarded as equal in importance to a MS. of the first class ; ${ }^{6}$ but in the Pax its intrinsic worth is small. It constantly agrees with B in displaying wanton interpolations, due to a mistaken regard for metre or sense.

Divergence of Ald. from RV.
Twenty-eight instances have been given on pp. 39-40; to these add:-
Ald.
RV.

|  | étépas. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18 \pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\nu} \nu \mathrm{~B}$. | $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega}$. |
| 76 тทráotóv $\mu$ ot B . |  |
| 107 om. $\sigma 0$. | бoı B. |
|  | $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota$. |
|  | $\hat{i} \mathrm{~B}$. |

[^36]Ald．
$137 \widehat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha$ ．
143 тò $\pi \lambda$ oîo $\delta^{\prime}$ ．
$166 \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon i s(s e m e l)$.
185 є่ $\sigma$ т̀ тойขо $\mu$ ．
186 om．$\delta^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ ．
188 ＇$\epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega}_{s} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
192 om．$\sigma 0$ B．
219 Пú̀ov B．
220 خà $\rho_{0}{ }^{1}$
239 каì тои̂ $\beta \lambda$ éмиатоя．
246 i ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{B}$ ．
253 om．$\sigma$ ot．
257 о九циоє $\mu$ оь B．
$258 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~B}$.
26 I＇A 1 nvaious B．
$265{ }^{\eta} \xi_{\epsilon \iota} \gamma^{\epsilon}{ }^{2}$ B．
269 ג̀ $\lambda \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\beta} \beta a \nu o s$, ó $\rho a ̂ s^{2}$ B．
282 како̀s $\alpha \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i \not \beta a \nu о{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ B．
287 ふ் $\pi о ́ \phi \cup \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$.
291 т́́ $\rho \pi о \mu а \iota ~ к а і ~ \chi а i \rho о \mu а є ~ B . ~$
313 éкeivov тòv Kє́pßєроу каі $\delta_{\epsilon \delta \delta i}^{\prime} \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \mathrm{B} .{ }^{3}$
318 ßo入解 B．
334 тi $\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ ．
337 นทкє́ $\tau^{\prime}$ oùv B ．
$340 \delta_{\epsilon}^{e} \epsilon \xi^{\prime} \xi \sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{4} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
346 єi ү $\alpha ́ \rho ~ \mu о \imath ~ B . ~$
$35^{1}$ भ＇${ }^{\prime} \nu \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ ．
356 бั̀v $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \iota \tau \varepsilon{ }^{5}$
373 àvá $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\kappa \eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ ．
392 тóde．
399 om．ì $\mu \mathrm{i}$ is B ．

RV．
$\hat{\omega} \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ є̀ ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{B}$ ．
тò $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\pi \lambda$ oîo B ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \mu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
$\epsilon \sigma \tau^{\prime}(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mathrm{R})$ oै ${ }^{\circ} \nu \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ ．
$\delta^{\prime}$ ．
є้ $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ő $\pi \omega$ ．
$\sigma 0$ ．
$\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ．
yoûv B．
той $\beta \lambda$ є́щцатоя $B$ ．
$\hat{\omega}$ ．
бoı B．
o＂${ }^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ 。
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ nuai $\omega$ С．
ท̈ $\xi \in$ є．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i ; \beta a \nu o s(f o r ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda-$ ）．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \beta \alpha \nu o s(f o r \dot{\alpha} \lambda-$ ）．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о ́ \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \mathrm{~B}$ ．
хаіромає кєѝфраі̀ощає．
ขข̂̀ є́кєìvov тòv ка́тш $\theta \in \nu$
Kє́ $\rho \beta \in \rho о \nu$.
$\beta$ ойs．
toí $\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ ．
$\mu \eta^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\text { к }}$
$\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є̇ $\xi \in \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ C．
$\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．
$\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{RC}, \mu^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{V}$ ．
$\sigma \grave{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \iota \mathrm{~B}$ ．
àvá ${ }^{\alpha} \eta \eta$＇$\sigma \tau$＇ C ．
т $\eta \boldsymbol{\nu} \delta \in \mathrm{B}$ ．
$\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon i \bar{s}$ ．

[^37]Ald．RV．
 $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{0}{ }^{\text {B }}$ ．
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ єiбル V（om．R）．
є\％．
447 кєi้ В．
465 out $i^{i 1} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
49 I out B ．
$509 \gamma \epsilon \delta \eta^{2} \mathrm{~B}$.
5 II oi $\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma$ oi．

536 ès imvòv（schol．）．
$547 \pi \epsilon ́ \pi a \rho \delta є \nu \mathrm{~B}$.
549 бориそ̆óov B．
557 ＇$\gamma^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\right)$ ．
559 रà $\rho$ є̇ $\pi \grave{\imath}$（ $\gamma 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ є̀ $\pi \grave{\imath} \mathrm{B}$ ）．
562 入ıтар $\quad{ }^{6}$ เой $\mu \in \nu$ B．
566 ท̂̀ $\alpha \not ้ \nu \mathrm{~B}$ ．
587 тò $\mu$ є́ $\gamma เ \sigma \tau о \nu^{4}$ B．
$600 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma 0 \nu \tau \alpha \iota^{5}$ B．
$601{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\partial}^{6} \eta^{6} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
$606 \mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \chi \eta$ тиิs סíkns B．

6 I2 行коб＇B．
$627 \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma^{8}{ }^{8} \mathrm{~B}$.
630 тòv $\lambda i \theta 0 \nu^{9} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
633 є่ $\lambda \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \theta a \nu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{~B}$（et schol．）．

648 ßuрбот $\omega$ дия B．
649 ồ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau^{\prime} \mathrm{B}$ ．
650 т ls．${ }^{10}$
av．
oűkouv．
$\gamma^{\ell}$ тоь．

of $\mu o \hat{v}$＇$\sigma \tau \tau \nu$ そु $\partial \eta \mathrm{C}$ ．
cis à $\gamma$ pò̀ BC ．
$\kappa а \tau \epsilon ́ \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \delta є \nu \quad \mathrm{R}, \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi \alpha \rho-$ $\delta_{\epsilon \nu} \mathrm{V}$ ．
ঠориそòv．
om．$\sigma^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ ．
є่ $\sigma \tau \iota$ ．
$\lambda_{\iota \tau \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu .}$

$\mu \in ́ \gamma เ \sigma \tau o v$.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \gamma^{\epsilon \lambda} \alpha \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ C．
тойтоу．


«̈коиб＇．
$\alpha ٌ \nu \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \rho \omega \bar{\nu} \nu$ ．
$\lambda i \neq o \nu \mathrm{C}$ ．
є́ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$ ．
є̇тúттоу $\theta^{\prime}$ ．
o $\beta v \rho \sigma о \pi \omega ́ \lambda \eta s$ C．
out $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ ．
${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Cf．Ran． 76.
＊$\delta \grave{\eta} \eta$ was inserted to replace $\tau 0$, which fell out before $\tau \mathbf{\delta}$ ．
${ }^{3}$ Er $\gamma$ rus an adscript．
${ }^{4}$ B Ald．often show a liking for resolved feet．$\quad{ }^{5}$ See p．40，n． 2.
${ }^{6}$ roûrov would be omitted in the archetype，as in $\mathrm{\Gamma}$ ．$ク \check{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ was inserted，under the
idea that the $v$ of $\pi 0 \lambda u ́ v$ was long．
7 The copyist scanned thus：＇ $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\xi} \bar{\epsilon} \phi \bar{\sigma} \sigma \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ ．
${ }^{3} \alpha \nu$ fell out before $\dot{\alpha} \nu \partial \partial_{\rho} \omega \nu$（cf．$A v .520$ ），and the syllable was replaced by $\gamma \epsilon$ ．
${ }^{9} \tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ replaces a syllable（ $\gamma \epsilon$ ）lost earlier in the line；the copyist scanned＇ $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\epsilon} \iota$ ！
 trochee．

Ald．
682 oou B．
$714 \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \epsilon \sigma \grave{v}^{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
732 фú入aт $\bar{\tau} \sigma \grave{v}^{1} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
743 катє́ $\lambda v \sigma \epsilon \nu^{2}$ B．
752 èmı $\chi є \rho \in \imath ̂ B$.
76 I om．$\mu$ оє B．
762 om．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \sigma \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
836 ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ єv̀ $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \omega s{ }^{3}{ }^{3}$
855 каі каข $\hat{\alpha}^{4}$ В．
866 є̇v тoîs à $\gamma \rho 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ aủzoùs B ．

901 ѝvíка BC．
911 ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \gamma^{\prime}$ є̇ $\sigma \tau i \mathrm{~B}$ ．
919 om．Tpuzaîos B．
939 om．$\theta$ єòs．
$943 \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \tau \epsilon$.
$1023 \sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ 晾 $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{V} \gamma \rho \mathrm{o}$ ．）．
1037 oủxi $\pi \epsilon \pi a u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ B．
${ }_{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{BC}$ ．
$1074 \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$.
ro8 I $\mu \in i \zeta \omega$ B．
1096 סe $\mathrm{l}_{\text {lòs．}}$
1099 所 B．
IIII $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \in \iota$ B．
III2 2 jiv didóvat B．

III9 $\pi \alpha$ aí B ．
III20 om．$\sigma \dot{u}$ ．
1127 ท̈סомає ท̈סонає B．
1132 є́тє́ $\rho \omega \nu_{0}{ }^{5}$

II35 є́к $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \epsilon \sigma \mu \in ́ v \alpha$ B．
II46 om．＇к B．

RV．
$\pi о$ ．
à $\pi a ́ \gamma \alpha \gamma є$.
фи入а́ттєтє V，фúлаттє R．
$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．
є̇тєХєі́рє．
$\mu o$ ．
$\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \nu o \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu \mathrm{~B}$ ．
$\dot{\omega}_{s} \delta^{\prime} \hat{j} \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ ，$\epsilon^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \omega s \mathrm{~B}$ ．
$\kappa \grave{\alpha} \nu \theta a ́ d \varepsilon$.
є̀v roiss ả $\gamma$ poîs．
таuтทit R ，тi таvт $\eta i^{i} \mathrm{~V}$ ．
iva．
ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \mathrm{R})$ ．
Tougaios C．
$\theta$ eòs B．
є̇ $\pi \varepsilon i \not \subset \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~B}$ ．
$\sigma \in ́ \tau o \iota$.
oủ $\chi$ i $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ C．
$\ddot{\omega} \nu$.
$\pi \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu \mathrm{~B}$ ．
$\mu \in i \check{\zeta}$ ov．
$\delta \in \xi i o \nu \mathrm{~B}$ ．
ס̀n viv．
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota(\pi \rho \circ \delta-\mathrm{R})$ ．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l(\pi \rho o \delta-\mathrm{R})$ ．
$\tau i \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$（ $\tau i ́ \delta \grave{\eta}$ छ̇ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \mathrm{V}$ ）．
$\hat{\omega} \pi \alpha i \epsilon$ ．
бù B．

є́таіршу BC．
є̇ккє́ам．
$\hat{\epsilon}_{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho เ \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha$ ．
＇к．
${ }^{1} \sigma \dot{v}$ added to replace a syllable lost by haplography．
${ }^{2}$ Cf．Vesp．1155，$\pi a \rho a ́ \theta o u ~ V$ ，кãá $\theta$ ov R．
${ }^{3}$ The corruption would begin with the false division $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\delta} \eta$ ．
${ }^{4}$ An attempt to provide object to $\lambda \in i \chi \epsilon \iota$ ，following on dittography．
${ }^{5}$ Cf．Eccl． 23 （all MSS．ètalpas）． $\mathrm{AI}=\mathrm{E}$ very common．

## Ald．

II 54 om．$\tau^{\prime}$ ．
II59 ウْviк＇${ }^{\prime} \nu$ B．
I I79 グvika $\delta^{\prime} a ̈ v^{1} \mathrm{~B}$ ．

I 195 Tàs àuúhous B．
$1204 \pi \rho 0 i ̂ \alpha \alpha \gamma^{\text {à }}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$
$\mathrm{I} 22 \mathrm{I} \dot{\epsilon}^{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ．
1248 кaùтós $\sigma 0$ ．
1249 io $\tau$ ával B．
${ }^{1251} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \in \dot{\partial} \omega x \alpha ́ \quad \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau i B$.
$1258 \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta_{\text {n }}$ B．
1260 om． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ B．
1265 т $\alpha$ тaıdóa $\gamma^{\prime}$ B．
127 I єỉdov．
1294 vióv．
1295 om．тò．
1301 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ токйшข．
$1304 \ddot{\mu \nu \nu .}{ }^{4}$
$1309 \sigma \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \chi \in \tau^{\prime}$ ．
$1320 \kappa a ̈ \pi \epsilon เ \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \nu \xi^{\prime} a \mu \in ́ v o u s$（ $\gamma \rho$ ． V）．

RV．
$\tau^{\prime} B$ ．
д̀viкa $\delta^{\prime}$ äv．${ }^{1}$ j̀vík＇ä $\nu \delta^{\prime}$ ． $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega}$ как $\hat{\omega}$ ． тoùs à $\mu u ́ \lambda o u s$ ． $\pi$ поїка каі В． $\grave{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$.
каи่тó бо BC ．
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu a \iota$.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \in ́ \delta \omega \kappa^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$.

$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．
Tà $\pi \alpha \iota \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ．
${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \delta \omega \nu(\mathrm{vel} \hat{\hat{\alpha}} \delta \partial \nu) \mathrm{B}$ ．
ióv B．
тò B ．
ঠ̇є токиิая．
$\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu$.
$\sigma \mu \dot{\omega} \chi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ C．
$\kappa \alpha \dot{\pi} \epsilon \cup \xi^{\alpha} \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu o v s$.

These divergences，together with those tabulated on pp．39－40，make a total of 145 ．In nineteen of these cases only does the Aldine ${ }^{5}$ give the right reading；these may be divided into－
（1）Cases of genuine superiority（ 10 ）：－219 Пú入ou
 （doubtful：line omitted by R）｜ 447 кє $\imath^{\prime} \mid 462$
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}{ }^{6} \mid \mathbf{I} 25^{8} \mu \dot{\alpha} \theta_{\eta}$ ．
（2）Cases of metrical correction（9）：－456，562，566， 648， 66 I，824，908， 91 I， 1099.

[^38]We can feel no gratitude towards the parent of B Ald. for restoring the metre to lines which a schoolboy could now emend. The virtue of a copyist is to copy, and the rudimentary knowledge of metre which gives us the correct reading in these nine passages is fatal to the value of the recension. It is this which has caused the versifier to garble thirty or forty lines in the most ridiculous manner, adding and subtracting $\gamma \epsilon, \sigma \dot{v}, \mu \epsilon, \sigma \epsilon, \mu \circ \iota, \sigma o l, \tau o v, \tau \omega \nu$, regardless of meaning,

> "And in disturbance taking deep delight."

Such a method destroys all reverence for tradition in the copyist, and utterly deprives the critic of confidence in the copy. ${ }^{1}$

The Parisian B.-This MS., of the sixteenth century, contains eight plays (omitting Plutus, Nubes, and Thesm.). We have already seen that it is closely allied to the Aldine and connected with $\Gamma$, though $\Gamma$, very rarely agree, except in the loss of the last sixty lines of the play (see p. 39). ${ }^{2}$ The MS. has an unenviable reputation, which it richly deserves, but it is not without a certain value of its own, ${ }^{3}$ being distinctly superior to the Aldine in the Pax.

The metrical vagaries (p. 42) do not occur where B Ald. differ, so that they are not due to the actual transcriber of B or printer of the Aldine.

Of the 141 variations of Ald. from RV (in 11. I-I298) which are tabulated above, B shares rog, including all the 19 good readings. Of the remaining 32 cases, B has 27 right (with RV), I right alone ( $557{ }^{\alpha} \sigma \mu \mu \nu \nu^{\prime} s \sigma^{\prime}$ ), and 4 as wrong as Ald. (5 I I , 650, 695, 733). As a set-off to Ald.'s 27 peculiar errors, ${ }^{4}$ there are 25 places where $B$ is wrong and Ald. right (with RV). These are in 11. 322, 33 I, 4I4, 415 (bis), 436 ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu), 437,458,476,580,624,675$, 701, 728, 754, 869, 870 ( $\delta$ éous), 874 ( $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ ), 1оп 8 , 1о84, ІІ 38

[^39] the Aldine supplies no good reading of its own，B gives us
 874 і́тотєтшко́тєร．${ }^{1}$

It will be seen that $B$ is not a copy of the Aldine，but is derived from the same archetype．

The Parisian C．－This MS．，of the sixteenth century，con－ tains nine plays（omitting Thesm．and Eccl．）．It is rarely quoted by Blaydes，but such citations as are given seem to show that it is derived from the same fount as $\Gamma .{ }^{2}$ Twenty－ six instances of C＇s agreement with RV against B Ald． have already been given（pp．39－40 and 42－46）：in ten of these $\Gamma$ agrees，in nine it is defective，and in the remaining seven it is not quoted．In the same way in the five cases where C agrees with B or Ald．，$\Gamma$ agrees three times（1l．4I 4， 437，1037；see p．39），is defective once（ 855 каขádє C ，ка⿱亠幺 кала B Ald．），while in 901 （（jviка BC Ald．）it is not quoted．

C gives us two good readings：－7 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota к \nu \lambda i \sigma a s$（ $\Gamma$ defective）， III2 $\kappa$ ev（ $\bar{\Gamma}$ not quoted）；and has the following peculiar errors：－18 $8 \dot{\alpha} \rho$（for ${ }_{\alpha} \rho^{\prime}$ ）， $163 \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ， 313 om．к $\dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，
 $\Gamma$ is defective．In no place does Blaydes show C disagree－ ing with $\Gamma$ ．So in the Lysistrata the two MSS．often agree in otherwise unsupported readings．${ }^{3}$

It follows that C carries some weight in those passages where $\Gamma$ is defective．

The Ottobonianus．－Zuretti makes mention of a MS．in the Vatican library，containing（in the same order）the nine plays of the Aldine，of which he assumes it to be a copy．${ }^{4}$

Of the ancient lexicographers，grammarians，and general authors who quote from the Peace，Suidas is of course the

[^40]most frequent and the most important．A comparison of excerpts from his lexicon with the scholia existing in RVI Ald．shows that Suidas used for this play a MS．closely allied to R．${ }^{1}$ His citations number about 170 ．

Among these we find the following good readings，for none of which，however，is Suidas our sole authority：－－ 496 какóvo兀（ R ）： 542 кućA ${ }^{\prime}$ ous in codd．Voss．et Ox．（G sec． Blaydes）： 640 ф $\rho o \nu \in i$ í（B Ald．）： 959 סa入iov（Schol．Ven．）： 1029
 Editors claim $\beta \delta \dot{\delta} \lambda v \rho \epsilon$ for Suidas in 182，but all the best
 is an interesting，but scarcely correct，alternative．

Peculiar errors are found：－38 кжैкобноу： 47 јs for

 $\left.\chi^{\omega} \nu \tau 0\right): ~ I 21 ~ o m . ~ \delta ', ~ a n d ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ for $\mu \eta \delta_{\dot{\epsilon}}^{\prime}: 162 \mathrm{om}$ ．Tìv：
 and om．$\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \varsigma$（bis）： $243 \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ סєк $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \iota \varsigma$（bis），and






 $735 \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ s．v．àváтаибто九（but $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} u \tau \rho o \nu ~ s . v . ~ j a ß-~$



In 63 Suidas has $\sigma \epsilon \alpha u \tau o v($（with R Ald．）under two head－ ings，and in IoI 3 he has $\dot{\alpha} \pi \chi_{\chi} \ell \rho \omega \theta$ eis with RB Ald．）．

Twice he unsuccessfully makes two lines into one（271－ 272，444－445）．In II9 he gives the original oùk é $\chi$（ $\operatorname{\text {eimeivof}}$ Euripides instead of the parody．Further slight inaccuracies may be found in the citation of 11．171，187，228，399， 564，741，83I，944，II 5 ．

Athenaeus cites the Peace seven times，without adding to

[^41]our knowledge. He misquotes 11. 28 and 563, and is the victim of an adscript in 916, where he curiously gives oivou
 кváӨots, like our MSS.

Diodorus quotes 11. 603-6II (omitting 607-608), and in 603 gives us the very important variant $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, for the probable correctness of which see note ad loc.

For the rest, in 25 I Harpocration, no doubt quoting from memory, sets down $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \mu a i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ for $\delta \iota a \kappa \nu \alpha \sigma \theta$ ij $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$,
 Hesychius is credited with $\epsilon^{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\chi} \chi \nu \omega \nu$, but, as his next word is тoùтo, there can be little doubt that the final letters -тo were lost through haplography.

## APIETOФANOYミ

EIPHNH

# APİTOФANOYะ <br> E I P H N H 
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[^42]

 тòv фıлотódєцоv àєì $\delta \iota a \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. ठıò кaì vv̂v סıà тоv́тov тov̂ סрápazos



 av̉тov̀s édííaбкоv. $^{\text {. }}$


 кро́тทs.

## II














 ${ }^{1} 5 \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \omega ิ \nu$.

## III

## $\mathrm{A} \Lambda \Lambda \Omega \Sigma$







 ^єшкрárvs Blaydes.
Arg. II. Exstat in RV Ald.

 Oxon., tacite.
Arg. III. Exstat in RV Ald.

 $\dot{\jmath} \mu \omega v \dot{v} \mu \omega s$ Dind. 3 otô RV : $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$ Ald.

## IV

## API $Т О Ф A N O Y \Sigma ~ Г Р А M M A T I K O Y ~$

Tâ $\Delta \iota$ фрáбаı $\sigma \pi \epsilon v ́ \delta \omega v ~ \tau a ̀ ~ к а \tau ’ ~ a ̉ v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о v s ~<~ к а к a ̀>~$


 то̀v Пó $\lambda \in \mu о v ~ \beta \rho v ́ \theta \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ a ̉ \pi т \rho т \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$.






Arg. IV. Exstat in V.

5-10 de loco insanabili desperandum.

## TA TOY $\triangle$ PAMATO乏 ПPOЕ $\Omega$ ПA

```
OIKETAI \triangleYO TPY\GammaAIOX
TPY\GammaAIO\Sigma
MAAI\triangleION TPYFAION
EPMH\Sigma
IONEMO\Sigma
KY\triangleOIMO\Sigma
XOPO\Sigma ГE\OmegaP\Gamma\OmegaN < KAI ПANE\Lambda\LambdaHN\OmegaN >
IEPOK\LambdaH\Sigma MANTI\Sigma
\trianglePE\PiANOYP\GammaO\Sigma
OП\LambdaOПOIO\Sigma
\PiAI\Sigma \LambdaAMAXOY
TIAI\Sigma KAE\OmegaNYMOY
EIPHNH
OП\OmegaPA
ӨE\OmegaPIA
KA\triangleOHOIO\Sigma
KPANOHOIOE
\triangleOPY\XiO\Sigma
                                к\omegaф\alpha \pi\rhoó\sigma\omega\pi\alpha
```


## EIPHNH

## OIKETH乏 A

Aî́ ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \hat{i} \rho \in \mu \hat{\alpha} \zeta \alpha \nu \dot{\omega} s \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega$.

## OIKETHさ B




OI．B．iठoù $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda$＇$\alpha \hat{v} \theta \iota \varsigma$ ．$\pi o \hat{v} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ भ้̈ $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$＇$\phi є \rho \in \varsigma$ ； 5 ov̀ катє́фабєv．

1－20 Personarum vices sec．Dobraeum discripsi． 1 ùs $\tau$ á $\chi o s \tau \hat{\psi}$ Kiehl．

The Prologue opens with a dialogue between two slaves，as in the Kinights and Wasps，where，as here，the strange ways of a master or overseer are to be explained．One of the slaves then describes the situation to the audience． This device is distinctly Euripidean；Mr． Starkie compares the opening of the Alcestis，Medea，and Hippolytzs（ad Vesp． Intr．，p．x）．The slaves are busy feeding a huge beetle with dung．

1 aîp．．＂Hand，＂＂＂pass，＂rather than ＂bring．＂The instances quoted by Blaydes for the latter sense should be differentiated．（1）Infr．1227，Thesm． 255，alpe vûv $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\rho} \phi \iota \nu$, of passing some－ thing that is handy；（2）with object
 bringing in something bulky from outside．
kavedpe．The poet chooses the most unromantic of creatures to represent Pegasus．See Arist．Hist．An2．，v．19，




Rutherford emends the Schol．so as to show variants ís $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi o s \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa$ ．and $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ táxous $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa$ ．But the adscript surely

 lemma．The omission of the article is due to the desperate haste of the excited slave，not to any personification of the insect．
2 The first servant is giving the food to the beetle，taking it from the hands of the second，who is kneading it．In dividing the first twenty lines between the two，the arrangement of Dobree has been followed with great confidence． For other divisions，see Blaydes，cr．n．； but Dobree＇s manipulation of 11．2－3， 18－20（Adv．ii．205），seems far the best．
íov́．＂Here you are，＂handing it． For a different sense，see infr． 198.
aủ $\hat{\omega}$ ．No change is needed．av̉rw is merely＂him，＂т⿳⺈⿴囗十一 как．$\dot{a} \pi \pi$ ， ．being in apposition．Cf．infr．II21．

 retains its force when put into the future participle．Cf．infr．756，ol $\mu \omega \xi$ ¢ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ， which，however，may possibly represent the real future ol $\mu \omega \xi \xi \epsilon a \iota$（Ran．279，etc．）．

3 The line is tragic in metre，owing to the vehemence of the curse．
5 үáp，of surprise，＂Why！where ．．？？＂Cf．Vesp． 334 ，etc．
vûv $\delta \eta$＇，＂this very moment，＂common
 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\omega} s \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \tau \tau \alpha \tau \bar{\beta} \beta \varepsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi v \kappa \nu a ́ s . ~$
OI．B．${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ кот $\rho о \lambda o ́ \gamma о \iota, \pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，

OI．A．étépav étépav סós，$\pi \alpha \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota \rho \eta к о ́ т о \varsigma^{-}$


OI．B．<br>iooú．<br> <br> ${ }^{1} 5$ <br> 

with the present tense in Plato，under－ goes the same change into colloquial exaggeration as the English phrase wher used with a past tense．Cf．Ran．412， $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \grave{\eta}$ Kareîסov．In this sense the form vovón is preferred by Cobet（V．L．p．233） and Kock（ $N u t b .825$ ）on the strength of a note by Herodian（I．489）．
 change to＇$\phi \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$（Meineke）is quite un－ necessary；it is based on the fact that the first servant is $\dot{\delta} \delta \delta \delta o u$ s，the second $\dot{\delta}$ $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ．But $\phi \in \rho \in \epsilon \nu$ is used in two senses， just as $\delta 6$ in 11． 2 and 4.

6 ov่ катє́фаүєv，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＂He cer－ tainly hasn＇t devoured it．＂＂No－that he hasn＇t ：he＇s bolted it whole．＂Like immo and $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ oủv，such phrases as $\mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{v} v$ $\Delta l^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ are＂negative，inasmuch as they object to the preceding phrase as not being strong enough，whilst they agree with its general meaning and enhance its force＂（Shilleto，Dem．F．L．Appendix c）． Cf．Vesp． 173 （Prof．Bury，Hermathena， xxvi．p．94），and Plut．iro．IIA．єial $\delta^{\prime}$
 tavees－two exactly parallel cases of this intensive reply to a negative statement． Cf．infr．1046．The same reply could not be given to a question，nor could ov катєфаүєע；mean anything but＂Hasn＇t he eaten it？＂oú cannot stand for oủ $\delta \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \frac{\tau \varepsilon}{}$ or $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．Bergk＇s correction is mentioned in cr．n．merely because it has had a large following．

7 тєрเки入（бas．For the form，cf． Nub．32，$\dot{\xi} \xi a \lambda(\sigma a s$（ $\dot{\lambda} \lambda(\nu \delta \omega)$ ：Thesm． 767 ，

єiбки入iбas．The traditional $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota к v \kappa \lambda i \sigma a s$ is both unmetrical and unmeaning．

8 mod入às kal mukvás．＂Thick and fast，＂with a further hint of＂firmness＂ in $\pi$ vкขás（cf．infr． $565, \pi v \kappa \nu b \nu$ ）．Editors see the latter meaning alone，having missed Her．vii．218，凶ंs $\dot{\beta} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ оито тоía


9 ávסpes котро入óyot．Not a refer－ ence to the $\dot{\rho} \eta$ भ́ropes，as Schol．suggests， but an appeal to the audience at large， who are politely called scavengers．An Athenian audience would stand a good deal ；thus in Ranz． 276 they are as good
 Nub． 898 flatly ávónтo．
 logy of $\pi \epsilon \rho l a \theta \rho \epsilon i \nu$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ sug－ gests that in this common construction $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o p a ̂ \nu$ originally meant＂to inspect carefully，＂hence＂to view composedly．＂ For the aorist $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \pi \nu l \gamma \dot{\ell} \nu \tau a$ ，which is free from any notion of past time，see Good－ win，M．T．96， 148.

14 We learn from Schol．，who quotes from Ar．＂Hpwes（fr．302，cf．301），
 that slaves while grinding corn had to wear a circular collar which prevented the passage of hand to mouth．

15 aißô．．＂Ugh！＂＂faugh！＂He is nearly sick（cf．Nub．906）．So of less physical disgust，infr．544，1291；but infr．1066，of amusement，Av．I342，of delight．
$16{ }^{\prime} A \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．The shorter form of the

## EIPHNH





$\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ảv $\pi \rho \iota \alpha i ́ \mu \eta \nu$ $\rho i ̂ \nu \alpha \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.





 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ бvขаıкі $\gamma о \gamma \gamma \cup \cup \lambda \eta \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \mu а \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$.
accusative is, like Побєiô, the only form used in Attic. For the evidence of inscriptions, see Meisterhans, Gramm. Inschir., p. 131.
 when intransitive, is properly to "keep oneself above" or "rise above," with the genitive following. So this means literally, "to keep my head above the bilgewater," and prevent it swamping me and water-logging the ship. But as both verb and noun are also used metaphorically, the further meaning is "to get the better of the stinking stuff." $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \in \chi \in เ \nu$ is never "to endure."

18 The colloquial use of $\sigma 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \omega$ may be illustrated by $A v, 1469, \dot{\alpha} \pi t \omega \mu \in \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ îs $\sigma u \lambda \lambda a \beta b \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \tau \epsilon \rho a ́, ~ " l e t ~ u s ~ p a c k ~$ up our feathers and be off!" Cf. Soph.
 $\tau \eta \begin{array}{r}0 \\ \\ \gamma \eta \eta s, \\ \text {, pack!" See Jebb on Soph. }\end{array}$ O.T: 97 I .

19 és кópakas. For és in this phrase, see infr. 37 n . (fin.)
$20 \gamma \in$ emphasises $\dot{\imath} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, as opposed to oavtby. $\delta_{\hat{E}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon}$ is used (1) in passing on from one person to another, as here and infr. 546; (2) in introducing a new point, e.g. Nub. 169, жрผ́nע ठ $\hat{\epsilon}$ रє $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ $\mu \in \gamma \dot{d} \lambda \eta \nu$ á $\phi \eta \rho \in \theta \eta$. "But now the other day . ."; (3) in a retort, of going one better. Cf. Eq. 1154-1178 (five times). For the appeal to the audience (jocose, not as infr: 50), cf. Ranz. 1-2.

22 ท̣v «̛p'. Cf. infr. 566 n., 8ı9. Goodwin, M.T. 39.

25 фaú入 $\omega$ épet $\delta \in \mathrm{t}$. "Sets to work without fuss." Cf. Eq. 1294, фaúncus
 31 , note.

фaúd $\omega$ s. This adverb can be applied to anything done without $\sigma \pi 0 v o \dot{\eta}$ or effort. Thus it only implies blame where the opposite $\sigma \pi$ ovo $\eta$ is a virtue, and in
 (Eur. Rhes. 769), 入óyıбaı фaúnos (Vesp. 656), etc., it is equivalent to eikn, "lightly," "casually," "off-hand."

тоиิто $8^{\prime}$. Blaydes' correction is perhaps right.

26 ßpevөvícal. "Cocks his nose in the air." : Cf. Nub. 362, of Socrates, öt $\beta \rho \epsilon \nu \theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}$ द̀v $\tau a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ d̀ooîs кal $\tau \dot{\omega} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{\omega}$ $\pi а \rho \alpha \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s$, picturesquely quoted in Plat. Symp. 22I B by Alcibiades of Socrates in the retreat after the battle of Delium. The latter passage shows that "gives himself airs" is not enough. Schol. absurdly derives the word from a perfume (cf. Sappho, fr. 49, Pherecr. fr. IOI) of which women are proud. For $\beta \rho \epsilon \nu \theta$ os as a bird (unidentified), see Arist, H.A. ix. 1 ; ib. ix. II (a contradictory account), the reading is doubtful.
 infr. 56 and five times elsewhere in Aristophanes ; cf. fr. I3, $\delta$ ià vvктós, Vesp. 1058, סi' ĚTous (Sobolewski, De Praep. usu Aristoph., p. 119).
 1185. That royyùos, "round," dropped out of Attic except in the old fem. form
gogru入is，a turnip；that $\gamma$ o $\gamma \gamma u{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta$ is not the same as $\gamma \sigma \gamma v \lambda$ is：and that both are properly Ionic，is shown partly by Phrynichus，partly by his editors（Lobeck， 103 ；Rutherford，N．P．p．182）．
 of $\pi \alpha \rho a$ and for the genitive，cf．inff：．981， тарак入ivaбaı т $\eta$ s aủ入єías．

31 ＇́pєьєє．＂PPeg away，＂as Merry well translates $N u b$ ．558，épelסovoul $\epsilon i s$ ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \pi \epsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda o \nu$ ．This metaphor need not be from rowing，as Schol．：$\epsilon \rho \in i \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$（though properly a poetical verb）lends itself easily to colloquial phrases．
$32 \tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ ह゙ws，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＂Till，much to your surprise，you find that you＇ve burst．＂ A great deal has been made of the fact that the collocation $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \omega s$ 光 $\omega$ s is not found else－ where in Attic．But it is quite natural ；it would not be found here if the speaker were not dwelling on the curse and shaking his fist．$\tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ and ${ }^{\text {ÉNs }}$ are con－ stant causes of corruption in the MSS． of Demosthenes．
$\lambda$ datos．For the assimilation of a verb following $\bar{\epsilon} \omega s$ or $\pi \rho^{i} \nu$ to a preceding optative，see Goodwin，M．T．613，643． The omission of ${ }_{d} \nu$ in comedy with $\dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ and subj．is quite fatal to $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$ s．See Blaydes on Eccl．629．In Eccl．752， $\pi \rho i \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi u ́ \theta \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ is probably sound，but paratragoedic．It is strange to find the three latest editors of the Pax mentioning without disapproval the impossible sugges－
 course the indefinite $d \nu$ can only be separated from its relative or particle by such words as $\mu$ èv，$\gamma a ̀ \rho$ ，etc．，and some－ times tis．

33 kúษas，＂with his head down，＂ $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$. Cf．Eccl．863，$\dot{\rho} \mu \delta \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \mu \iota$
 є่ $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$ и́భаs ка́тш．
$34 \pi \alpha р a \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$ is obscure．On the ana－
 ous，it should mean＂turning sideways，＂ and this use is so common that the pos－ sibility of a special wrestling term is almost excluded．Probably roùs ro $\mu \phi$ ious is put mapà $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к i \alpha \nu: ~ b u t ~ f o r ~ w h a t ? ~$ Scarcely for toùs Bpaxiovas（Paley），as the outward movement which $\pi \alpha \rho a \beta a \lambda \omega$ ， demands is inappropriate to a wrestler＇s action．The commonest（physical）object of $\pi \alpha \rho a \beta \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ is＂the eyes＂；cf．$E q$ ． 173，Aesch．$f_{i} .284$ ，and especially $N u b$ ． 362，Plat．Symp． 221 B（see supr．26）： and the wary wrestler must be as much on the alert as Socrates himself．It is just possible that $\beta p \in \nu \theta \dot{v} \in \tau a l$ above may have suggested to the poet＇s mind the famous line from his play of two years before，and that＂rolling his－grinders＂ follows naturally on＂cocking his nose．＂ The renderings＂working，＂＂applying，＂ are totally without authority．
$36 \pi \in \rho เ a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$. Cf．infr．682，Av． 176.
37 бvцßá入入оvtєs єis．＂Coiling．．． for．＂For $\epsilon$ is of destination，cf．infr． 283，єis тámi $\theta \rho \alpha \alpha_{\kappa} \eta s$ х $\omega \rho i \alpha \mid \chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$.

As the beetle gorges，his front feet（ $\tau \dot{\omega}$ $\chi \epsilon \hat{i} \rho \epsilon)$ are brought in towards him rapidly round and round（ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa v \lambda / \sigma a s$ ），and their motion makes his head waggle．The rapid drawing in of ropes would affect the head in the same way．
tis．Here，and usually before a con－ sonant，the better MSS．give＇s ：on the whole they favour Dindorf＇s rule that Aris－ tophanes used és before consonants，$\epsilon$ is before vowels．But this dictum，which has ruled the texts for eighty years，has really little to support it．Few will be found now to lay stress on the tradition of MSS．on such a point，even if it were far less fluctuating than it is；the
щıаро̀̀ тò хрйна каї ка́кобноу каі ßоро́v,



OI. A.
ov้к ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ öт $\pi$ s


diphthong in $\epsilon$ is being "spurious $\epsilon t$," would be written $\varepsilon$ in the Attic alphabet, in use at Athens till 403 B.C., but $\epsilon l$ in the Ionic alphabet, which was formally adopted at that date. The expulsion of $\epsilon$ s from the comic dialect (first proposed by Elmsley on Ach. 42) rests on the very strong argument that Aristophanes does not use is before a vowel in ordinary discourse. Bachmann (Coniect. obs. Ar., p. 83) gives the facts as follows: eis is required by metre eighty times ; $\epsilon$ is or $\dot{\epsilon}$ s will stand forty-nine times; ${ }^{\prime}$ s is required ten (rather nine) times, of which two occur in paratragoedic senarii, six in cantica of exalted tone, one ( $f r .543$, doubtful) in reference to Ionia. In compounds the evidence is even more overwhelming, the numbers being ninety-four, twenty-eight, one ( $f r$. 461, é $\sigma \delta \omega$, in a parody), though the last number may be raised to three if we keep $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon$ in Thesm. 657 and follow V in Vesp. 147 ( $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \rho \rho \dot{\gamma} \sigma \epsilon(s)$. It is idle to speak of this avoidance of ${ }^{\epsilon}$ s before a vowel as a curious coincidence. The avoidance cannot have been based on considerations of euphony; for then how comes it that the tragedians (and Aristophanes himself in lyric passages) employ the form? (Sobolewski, De Praep. usu Ar., p. 37.) The explanation must be that es had become archaic and exalted in tone; for "elata voxante consonantes non minus quam ante vocales elata manet" (Sobol., ib.). Inscriptions help us little, because of Attic $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{EI}$; but it is noticeable that after 403 B.C. és becomes increasingly rare, much in proportion as does $-\epsilon \nu$ for $-\epsilon \tau \nu$ of infinitive, and that the last inscription (334 B.C.) which shows $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}{ }^{*}$ for $\epsilon$ is is also the last which shows infinitival - $\varepsilon \nu$ (Meisterhans, Granmm. Inschr., pp. 213-214). Sobolewski would even deny és to the Ionians ( $\mathrm{p}, 3^{8}$ ), and to Thucydides (p. 36), and holds that it was never used in speaking ( $i i_{0}$.). In the
latter case it would be hard to account for the universal is кópaкая, є̀s $\mu а к а р і а \nu, ~$ vouched for by Helladius (Photius, Biblioth. 235, b, 2).

38 т $\mathbf{~ x ~ х р ~} \mu \mathrm{\mu}$. "The creature." A colloquial expression, used (1) in place of a substantive, as here and Thesm. 521; (2) with gen. following, as a periphrasis, e.g. Vesp. 933, клєє $\pi \tau 0 \nu$ тठे $\chi \rho \eta ̂ \mu \alpha ~ \tau a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ́ s, f r .67$, etc. See also inffr. 1192 n..
 specialised from the common meaning "attack": cf. Aesch. Cho. 283, ä $\lambda$ 入as
 other meaning suggested, "appendage" (á $\gamma a \lambda \mu a)$, there seems to be no authority; nor does mport $\beta a \lambda^{\prime}$ infr. I8O warrant a reference to smell in the noun.

41 ov̉ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ Xapit $\omega \nu$ " $\gamma \in$, " most certainly not of the Graces." $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ of strong asseveration, without the adversative force, which it has (e.g.) in Nutb. 53, ou
 $\mu \eta े \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \psi a i \gamma \epsilon$, and note.

42 ou, Blaydes is wrong in stating that ou always elsewhere follows ö $\pi \omega$ s immediately in the phrase ouk " $\epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ " $\bar{\pi} \pi \omega$
 oủ $\chi \phi \theta 0 \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ è $\pi a v \sigma a s$, and $i b .426$.
oкaraıßórov. Dr. Rutherford's slight correction of the form which he finds in Schol. $R$ is an immense improvement. Were it not for this second pun, which lay so ready to the poet's hand that he can scarcely have missed it, it would be better to keep the vulgate катаı $\beta \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, , with the traditional explanation that the $\sigma$ of $\Delta i d$ s is sounded twice to accentuate the joke; contrast Ran. 304, $\gamma \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \dot{\rho} \hat{\omega}$ (for $\gamma \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu^{\prime} \dot{o} \rho \hat{\omega}$ ). For Zeùs катаıßínŋ (descending in lightning), cf. Schol. to Soph. O. C. 705,
 $\Delta$ tós $\beta \omega \mu$ ós, òv каі Мо́ptov калойбt:





47 aivitretal codd．：corr．Dobr． wen．т $\grave{\eta} \nu$ om．Elmsl．Ēँ $\sigma \iota \in \nu$ Dobr．
$48 \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \in \omega s$ Elmsl．：$\grave{\epsilon} \nu$＇Al $\delta \epsilon \omega$ van Leeu－

$44 v \in a v i a s$ бокทбíбoфos．Cf．Pherecr．
 Pollux，iv．9，סокทбiyous．For the language of such，cf．Eq．1377－1 380 ．

тò $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ тра̂yua ri；＂What＇s all this？＂ （not＂What＇s the story？＂as Neil on Eq．36）．тi тò $\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma} \mu \alpha$ ；always has this sense of surprised inquiry（Vesp．395， Lys．23，Eccl． 311 ，394，Plut．335）．With routi added the meaning is either（I）as above，Av．1171，Thesm．73，Ran．442， 658 ，or（2）＂What on earth＇s this crea－ ture？＂$\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$ being for $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$, Ach． 767，Eccl．1071（cf．Av．906）．

46 ＇I $\omega$ עเкós．Dr．Verrall observes that Ionia was the home of the new learning，which Aristophanes hated，and that the actor points to Ionians（as $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \nu \rho \rho \in \neq \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ）at the word $\tau 0 u ́ \tau o c s$ in line 53．This is no doubt the true explana－ tion of the Ionian＇s introduction ；sitting cheek by jowl（ $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \not \eta \epsilon \nu \circ s$ ）with the young Athenian exquisite is an oracular philosopher from over the sea．

47 Sокє́ $\omega$ ，like є̇s，aiví $\sigma \sigma \in \tau \alpha$ ，кєโิvos，


тоиิт＇．Object of aiví $\sigma \epsilon \tau \tau a \iota:$ cf．Av． 970，ท่ví̧a $\theta^{\prime}$ ó Báкเs тоûto $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ áépa．

48 ảvaเ $\delta \in \omega$ ．Van Leeuwen＇s brilliant emendation（adopted by Herwerden and Merry）is not improbable，but it involves a proceleusmatic in the second foot（or the omission of $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ，which Merry brackets）， and it may be doubted whether the vulgate（when referred to Hades）is not satisfactory．The great difficulty of the line（the tense of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \epsilon \iota$ ）has disappeared with van Leeuwen＇s explanation of $\sigma \pi a \tau i \lambda \eta$ as the $\sigma \kappa \hat{\omega} \rho \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu(R a n .146)$ in the outer regions of Hades－a view which，as Dr．Verrall pointed out to me long ago，is clearly demanded by $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ． Cleon，a shameless $\sigma \kappa a \tau o \phi a ́ \gamma o s ~ o n ~ e a r t h, ~$
keeps up his dirty habits below．The rare division of the anapaest in the second foot need be no difficulty in a dialectical line，though one who strongly suspected the text on other grounds might fairly refer to the irregularity as corroborative evidence．（See the canon suggested by Prof．Bury in Hermathena，xyvi．p．91）． The reference to Hades would be easily understood by the audience without the mention of the place，owing to（ 1 ）the use of кeivos（cf．note on infr．316），（2） the article $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ，which should be kept， for $\dot{\alpha} v a, \delta \epsilon ́ \omega s$ is no doubt a trisyllable， （3）the present $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \epsilon i$ ．There is no objec－ tion to àvaıঠ́tws in itself；Dr．Merry＇s criticism that the word＂seems pointless， as it would be impossible to eat dirt $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ aioous＂would lead us to emend all such phrases as＂basely betray，＂＂cruelly murder，＂etc．，in all languages．
$\sigma \pi a \tau i \lambda \eta v$. Hesych．тò vi $\gamma \rho o ̀ v$ ठta． $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta u a$ ．The word is extant only in Hippocrates，and so may be regarded as a further Ionism．
$49 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇єiซเడ์v．$\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is＂but come now．＂Dobree has met with some sup－ port in giving this line to the first servant． But the view may be disproved by refer－ ence to the cases where，with the words $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma i \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，a speaker pulls himself up short and returns to the stage business． These are infr．1302，Vesp．1008，Lys， 779，Ran．Siz，Plut．249．So ả入入’ єïбเ $\theta^{\prime}$（Nub．195，etc．）usually occurs in the middle of a speech，though，from the nature of the mood，not necessarily（cf． Plut．1088）：with the indicative such an opening with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ would be impossible．
$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \pi$ тєîv．The language is appro－ priate to Pegasus．

50 For the story told direct to the spectators，cf．Eq． 40 sqq．，Av． 30 sqq．， Vesp． 54 sqq．


 ó $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ óтทs $\mu$ ои $\mu \alpha i v \in \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ т \rho o ́ \pi о \nu, ~$

$\delta_{i}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ єis $\tau \grave{o} \nu$ où $\rho a \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha i ́ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \hat{\hat{\omega}} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}, \tau i \quad \pi о \tau \epsilon \beta о \nu \lambda \epsilon u ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \pi о є \hat{\nu}$ ；
 ধ゙ $\alpha \in \notin \alpha$ ．


 $0^{0} \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ ，the derivation being half punningly dwelt upon，to form a climax． This word is Homeric，and $\dot{v \pi \epsilon} \mathrm{p}$ tatos also is poetical，high－flown．＂And to the men of might，and to those that are more than men－these into the bargain．＂
Ėtı тoúzoเs má入a．Added after a pause．${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$ cannot be for ${ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \iota \mu \hat{\mu} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ， as Blaydes．It is＂once again，＂EौT८ being the prominent word．This is plain from infr．280，462．Cf．Ran．863，кaì vク̀ $\Delta l a$

 Telephus thrown in．＂Cf．$\mu a \lambda^{\prime}$ a $\alpha \hat{v}, \mu a ́ \lambda^{\prime}$ aûts．

55 oủX $\delta_{V \pi \tau \rho}$ vifeis．This does not refer to a $\mu$ avia $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \nu \iota \kappa \eta$ ，as Schol．takes it．It is merely a playful dig．

58 The line is a mockery of such tragic complaints as Soph．O．T．738，ढ̈ Z $\in \hat{\text { ev }}$ ，$\tau$


moeiv．Attic inscriptions show con－ clusively that $\pi 0$－，not $\pi 02$－，was written before $\epsilon$ and $\eta$ in this verb and the nouns derived from it．See Meisterhans， Gramm．Inschr．，p．57，note 483．Thus
 where the first syllable is short；where it is long，it is safe to keep rot－，though it is doubtful whether any visible dis－ tinction was made． R sometimes omits the iota：in this play seven times（out of twenty－four cases），where the syllable is short，and twice where it is long（Her－ werden＇s collation）．The Laurentian MS．jof Sophocles is more consistent ； see Jebb on Phil． 120 （Appendix）．
 fantastically made to begin with words
which suggest nodefinite meaning until the second part of the sentence is spoken．

 Ruth．）$\pi 0$ ǫє $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu . \quad$ The added words are what the Scholiast ought to have written，but the correction， though a very neat case of haplography， is not convincing ；it may well be doubted whether the writer of the note saw any
 a clean sweep of．＂éккорєîv would be used in Attic for（I）to sweep out ；（2）to destroy utterly，a sense implied in the oath $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \circ \rho \eta \theta$ eins $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon$ ，said by the Scholiast to be common in Menander， and found in the new Geneva fragment， line 53．To these uses，both present here，Aristophanes punningly adds a third，＂to rob of youths＂（кó $\rho o t)$ ：cf． Thesm．760，талаитд́тŋ Miкка，тls $\hat{\xi} \xi \in \kappa 6 \rho-$ $\eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ ；properly＂Who has destroyed you？＂but also＂Who has robbed you of your daughter？＂（ $\kappa \delta \rho \eta$ ）．There is no trace anywhere of the sense＂to de－ flower，＂commonly attributed to these two passages，in both of which it is quite irrelevant．

60 ťa éa．See cr．n．Brunck＇s sug－ gestion has been followed by a majority of editors，but，though a cry from behind the scenes would conform well with tragic usage，${ }_{e}^{*} a$ could not be so used． Occurring in seven other passages of Ar．and in fifty of tragedy（forty－four from Euripides），${ }^{\epsilon} a\left(\begin{array}{c}e \\ e \\ e \\ e\end{array}\right)$ ），a cry which shows surprise or alarm，is always followed immediately by further speech from the exclaiming character．In twenty－four of the tragic cases the cry occurs，as here，after the speech has begun．

## TPYГAIO：

> OI. A. тои̂т' $\epsilon \not \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau о и \tau i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а к o ̀ \nu ~ \alpha u ̛ \theta ' ~ о и ́ \gamma \omega ' ~ ' \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \nu . ~$ тò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta є \iota \gamma \mu \alpha$ т $\overline{\omega \nu} \mu \alpha \nu \iota \omega \nu$ а́коv́єтє.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu \eta \rho \rho \iota \chi \hat{\alpha} \tau^{\prime}$ ä̀ єis $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủpavóv，

62 Sparetits．Soph．Aj．326， 585 ； Eur．Med．93，Phoen．1208．Tragedy also furnishes $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \sigma \epsilon i \omega$ ，áкou $\epsilon_{i ́ \omega}$（Soph．）， $\phi \in v \xi \in i=1 \omega$（Eur．），to this small class of desiderative verbs．
 rently implies a variant $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon$ cs＂（Ruther－ ford）．The form would suit the tragic diction well．Cf．Soph．O．T． 1325.

е̇ккоккїая．ко́ккоь аre fruit－seeds，and the verb denotes the taking out of these －a natural metaphor in a farmer＇s mouth．The Schol．refers specially to pomegranates，no doubt rightly，if we compare fr． 6 Io（according to Pollux，
 poav．The verb is used，Ach．1179，of the warrior Lamachus slipping a bone from the socket．Cf．Lys．448，Єєккоккь $\omega$ трías．


$65 \mu \mathrm{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { t }} \mathrm{\omega} v$ ．Often used in plural，e．g． $N u b .832$ ，Eur．Herc． 835.

$\dot{\eta}$ Xod $\eta$ ．Often used of disgust or
 $\chi$ on＇$\dot{\eta}$ ，＂I＇m just sick of it．＂But here of madness ：cf．Nub．833，रo入â $\nu$ for $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \gamma \chi 0 \lambda a p$ ．

67 The MSS．with $\epsilon \in \theta a \delta t$ ，＂at this point，＂give a possible reading；but the change to $\begin{gathered}a \\ \nu \\ \tau a \delta l \\ \text { is very slight，and gives }\end{gathered}$ a line just in Ar．＇s manner．Cf．inffr．2I 3，
 the same iterative $d y$ with imperfect three lines below is an argument in favour of reading it here，as examples of this construction are apt to follow close on one another，e．g．infr．640，641，643，

647．See R．C．Seaton in Class．Rev． iii．p． 343 ．
$68 \pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s} \partial \nu$ with optative，equivalent to a wish．Cf．Soph．$A j .387, \pi \hat{\omega} s$ à ．． $\tau \in \dot{\lambda}$ os $\theta$ ávotut кaivtrs；It is poetical，and occurs nowhere colloquially，Ach． 991 and Thesm． 22 not coming under this head．
evंधv́．Not＂straight to，＂but＂right to．＂This rendering covers all the Attic examples quoted in Class．Rev．xv． （1901），pp．443－444，by H．Richards， who strangely concludes that cúdú with gen．is in Attic prose and comedy a mere synonym of $\epsilon i$ and $\pi \rho \delta s$ ，but ＂occasionally used with something of its old sense．＂A preposition which occurs ten times in Ar．cannot well be so versatile．In Pax 68，77，819，Try－ gaeus is concerned with getting all the long way to heaven；he humorously insists on the distance，which theatrically was a matter of a few feet．The Epic $i \theta$ ús and the local cüús of Eur．Hipp． 1197，Pherecr．fr．IIo（two places where Photius has perhaps been allowed to carry too much weight）are outside the scope of this note．

69 тогоч́ $\mu \epsilon$ vos．＂Getting made．＂ Infr．288，the middle is probably dif－ ferent in meaning．
70 d̉ขทppıxât．An obscure word． The simple verb is quoted variously as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \iota \chi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ or $\dot{\rho} \iota \chi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．In favour of the former we have Arist．$H$ ．A．ix．40，14， and a Scholiast，who derives it from äppıxos，＂a kind of basket，which it is usual to draw up with ropes．＂＂apotxos occurs Av．1309，but the Schol．＇s effort to connect it with climbing seems one of despair．









 80 $i \pi \pi \pi \eta \delta o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ ́ \rho \rho ' ~ є ́ \pi i ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ к а \nu \theta a ́ \rho o u . ~$
 $\mu \grave{\jmath} \mu \circ \iota \sigma o \beta a \rho \hat{s} \chi^{\omega} \rho \in \epsilon \iota$ 入íav


71 ＂Till he fell down and broke his
 the old undifferentiated local genitive； it cannot be classed under the so－called ＂partitive．＂For examples（with катєa－ रtval）see Blaydes on Ach． 1180.
 out somewhere or other－the old idiot．＂ So éppe commonly with motion，carrying a curse or a sneer．Eq．4，$\epsilon \xi$ ov̂ rà $\rho$ єlo－
 peafal $\pi \rho$ dेs toùs $\pi$ रovolous：Pax 500， Nub． 789.

73 єiб $\mathfrak{\gamma} \gamma \mathrm{y}$＂，＂brought home，＂a com－
 Starkie on Vesp． 107.

Aitvaiov．As we might say，＂a huge Arabian－beetle．＂Etna was famous for its horses：cf．Soph．O．C．312， Aitvalas $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \mid \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda$ ov $\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．It is further shown by Schol．with many quotations（see especially Plat．Com．$f r$ ． 37），that кd́y $\theta$ apot flourished in this region．But most Scholiasts explain strangely＂big as Etna，＂and so Blaydes， even in face of Soph．l．c．，iттокоиєiv in next line，ката廿 $\omega \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \omega \lambda i o \nu$（75）．

76 Пךүá⿱㇒日धєьv．The spelling of the MSS．would call for no comment（for $\iota=\epsilon \iota$ ，see Cobet，$V . L$ ．pp．86－89），but that the vulgate is defensible．The in－ sertion of $\mu \circ t$ or $\sigma o \ell, \mu \epsilon$ or $\sigma \epsilon$ ，is certainly a very common expedient of inferior MSS．（cf，van Ijzeren，De Vitiis princ． codd．Ar．cap．iv．）；but on the other hand these pronouns are often unaccount－ ably omitted in the better MSS．，e．g．$\mu$ ou
by RV in $N u b$ ．1243，$\mu 0$ by R in $N u b$ ． $57, \dot{\eta} \mu i v$ by $V$ in Plut． 1152 ，etc．The caressing diminutive is appropriate to the action of stroking the horse－beetle．
$\pi \tau \varepsilon \rho o ́ v . ~ S c h o l$ ．quotes from Eur．
 （add．$\tau a \chi \grave{v}$ Suid．）$\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{v} \nu$ ．The peri－ phrasis is ridiculed also infr． 135 ：cf． Ran．100，$\chi \rho b{ }^{2}$ ov $\pi \dot{\delta} \delta \alpha$ ，a mockery of Eur． Bacch． 889.

77 ठ̊т $\pi \mathrm{s} \pi \epsilon \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{t}$ ．For the indepen－ dent $\partial \pi \omega \omega$ with the future，equivalent to a command，see Goodwin，M．T．271－ 278．It is frequent only in Aristophanes．
$\pi \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mathrm{t}$ ．This future is only found here and infr．1126．The Attic prose form is $\pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \iota:$ cf．Vesp．208，$\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \tau \tau \dot{\eta}-$ боцає．For the whole verb，see Cobet， V．L．pp．305－307，Rutherford，N．P． p． 373.
$80 \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \omega \rho o s$ al̀ $\rho \in \tau a l$ ．R．A．Neil（on Eq．1362）observes that a comic point is made by the use of this phrase，which elsewhere refers to the hoisting of slaves or criminals for punishment．The order of words supports this view，ill－suited to the slave＇s excitement though the jest may be．

82 Trygaeus rises into sight on the beetle，with the help of the $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \dot{\eta}$ ，used occasionally in tragedy for gods and heroes，and no doubt employed by Euri－ pides in the Bellerophontes．See Intr． p． 21.

ท̈ซvxos ．．ท̄p＇$\mu a$ ．The combination of adjective and adverb（imperative understood with both）shows agitation．

$\pi \rho i \nu$ äv idings каi $\delta \iota a \lambda u ́ \sigma \eta s$
${ }^{\alpha} \rho \theta \rho \omega \nu$ ỉvas $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho u ́ \gamma \omega \nu \nu \dot{\rho} u ́ \mu \eta$ ．

 aùтoû $\mu \in i ̂ \nu o \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \eta ं \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s . ~ . ~$

TP．$\sigma i \gamma \alpha \sigma^{i} \gamma \alpha$ ．


тó $\lambda \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ véov $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$ ．

TP．єùф $\eta \mu \in i ̂ \nu ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta} к \alpha i ̀ \mu ウ ̀ ~ \phi \lambda a \hat{v} \rho o \nu$

тоîs $\tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \pi о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \phi \rho a ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a} \nu$ ，
85 iठínns Pors．87－89 seclusit Hamaker，eiecit Mein．，post 97 transposuit Kock．



#### Abstract

kávөwv．Properly＂pack－ass＂（Vesp． 179），with a pun on $\kappa \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ Өapos．

84 d́ $\pi$＂ápXท̂s．＂Quae locutio nisi in canticis et anapaestis apud Ar．non legi－ tur＂（Sobolewski，Praep．p．95）．Con－ trast Ran。 I137，av̂өls $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \hat{s} \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon$ ． $\pi$ fovvos．An Epic and Ionic（hence tragic）word，appropriate here．Thucy－ dides（who has it thrice）probably took it from Herodotus；otherwise it occurs in Attic only in Vesp． 385.


85 i8ins．The rarer form of $i \delta \rho o u ̂ \nu$ ． There seems to be no special force in using it，as it occurs in two colloquial passages of Aristophanes，Ran．237， Av． 791.

87－89 These lines have been unjustly suspected．The sudden change of tone from the stately，galloping metre，as soon as the rider＇s troubles begin，might be made distinctly funny；and rovis $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \notin \rho o u s$ is anything but weak，being opposed to the abodes of the gods，on which the mind of Trygaeus is running． Kock＇s arguments for transpositionare un－ convincing：see Verisimilia，pp．253－255．

90 таратаlets．Cf．Plut．508，కuv－
 the sense of $\pi a \rho \alpha \alpha^{2}$ ，cf．$\pi a \rho d \phi \rho \omega \nu, \pi a \rho \alpha-$ $\kappa \delta \pi \tau \epsilon \omega, \pi a \rho a \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu{ }^{\prime} \nu \frac{1}{}$ ，and the Eng－ lish，＂beside oneself．＂
$91 \sigma(\gamma a$ ．Silence is enjoined because
of the bad omen conveyed in $\pi a \rho a$－ males．
$92 \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho о к о \pi \epsilon$ îs．＂Cleave the heights of air．＂For the formation，pur－ posely unwieldly，cf．Eq．830，$\tau i$ өa $\lambda a \tau$－ токотєís каl $\pi \lambda a \tau v \gamma i \zeta \epsilon$ еs；Soph．Aj．236， $\pi \lambda \epsilon v \rho о к о \pi \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．
 $\pi a \lambda a \mu \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu \circ s$ ，or（better）with $\pi \in \in \tau о \mu a$, $=\pi p \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \pi \epsilon \tau \delta \mu \in \nu 0$ 人．
$95 \mu$ ár $\eta$ v．Not＂in vain，＂but ＂madly，＂strengthening oủk iv̌taives， which forms one idea $=\mu a l \nu \epsilon \epsilon: c f$. Soph． Aj．635，ó vơ̂̂̀ $\mu a \dot{\tau} \tau \alpha \nu:$ Aesch．Theb． 442，xapd $\mu$ atala．

96 ф $\lambda$ av̂pov does not of itself contain any notion of＂ill－omened．＂Cf，Lys． 104I，1045．But when contrasted with $\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \phi \lambda a \hat{\nu} \rho \delta \nu \quad \tau \iota \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu=\delta v \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon i \nu$ ．

 trast with $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \iota$ gives the meaning of $\lambda o v \delta o p e i v ~ t o ~ t h e ~ p h r a s e . ~ \phi \lambda a v ̂ p o s ~(a s ~ a ~$ rule）is positively＂bad，＂фaû̀os com－ paratively so．

97 ỏ̉o入v乌̧ยเv．＂Sing songs of sacred joy．＂Joined with $\epsilon \dot{u} \phi \eta \mu \in \mathbb{i} \nu$ also in Aesch． Ag．595．The word is specially used of loud joyous songs to the gods（sung by women），never of grief；L．and S．mis－ take Aesch．Cho．386．Contrast the Latin ululatus．



100
каì тоùs $\pi \rho \omega \kappa т о$ òs є̀тикди́gev.
 öтol $\pi \in ́ t \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ dıavoêt.

| TP. | тí $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |
| OI. A. | tiva voû̀ é $\chi \omega \nu$; |
|  |  <br>  |

 TP.

## रןóqомая

Mグסoıгı aùтòv $\pi \rho o \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \alpha$.


OI. A. ioù ioù ioú.

IIO
$\hat{\hat{\omega}} \pi \alpha u \delta_{l}^{\prime \prime}, \dot{o} \pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \pi \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha$ úpâs є́ $\rho \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ous єis тòv oủpavòv $\lambda \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta \rho \alpha$.

codd. : corr. Flor. Christ. 107 кaтa Yopeúrg codd. : corr. Cobet. 110 loù bis R.


#### Abstract

99 גaúpas. "Drains." But used in Homer of the long passages on either side of the hall of a house.

100 а่тоькобоцєіข. The correction is necessary, as dेvotкoдолєiv is merely to build up, without any idea of closing;   of $\nu$ and $\pi$ is a fairly common error; in the cursive writing of the third century A.D. the two letters can hardly be distinguished. $103 \gamma \in$ puts an emphasis of irritation on $a^{\lambda} \lambda$ д

104 tiva vov̂v ëX $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{v}$; An extension  "design," is very rare in Attic, though voos is so used in Homer. Cf. mens in Latin, Virg. Aen. viii. 400, etc.

107 кaтaүopєún. Cobet's correction ( $V . L$. p. 37) may be taken as established. The aorist of the verb is катєimov (infr. 377, etc.), and кат $\eta \gamma \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon v \sigma \alpha$ would only be possible in the later sense of катауорєútev, to accuse. A summary of Cobet's modified views on áyopéveเv (Mnem. N. S. ii. p. 127 sqq.) is given by.


Rutherford, N. P. pp. 326-334, and (briefly) by: Starkie on Vesp. $93^{2}$ (crit. app.).
 the construction, cf. Vesp. 894-896,
 а́ठıкєiv.

108 The hit at the Athenians is a double one: their love of litigation is attacked in $\gamma$ páqонai, and their liability to political scares in the rest of the sentence. For the comic charge of Medism, cf. infr. 408, Eq. 478, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta^{\prime}$ व̀
 passages have often been taken too seriously.
 forward to stop his master.
 colloquial phrase, not equivalent to the high sounding "there is no way but this." Cf. Nub. 698, Vesp. 1166.
iov́. Generally a cry of joy (loû) or sorrow; here a shout to bring the children from the house. Cf. Lys. 829 ,

112 There is a touch of tragic diction, for the actor to make ridiculous.

## MAIDION
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120




114 रє codd．：$\tau$ ts Burges．

114 The mimicry of tragedy becomes more pronounced，as the daughters of Trygaeus run out with a Euripidean phrase on their tongues．The reference is to the Aeolus，according to Schol．，


dép étvuós $\gamma \xi^{6}$ ．＂Was it really true？＂
 it really true？＂Thus in Av．307，à $\rho^{\prime}$ ànet $\lambda \frac{0}{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\nu} \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$ ；means＂are they really threatening us？＂but Nubb．465，à $\rho$ a
 I really see this？＂But the text cannot be right as it stands ；the dactylic metre does not admit of a trochee．
$116 \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ópvi $\theta \omega v$ ．These words seem quite pointless，unless we suppose Aristo－ phanes to be mimicking the clumsy rhythm of cuvaḑeเv in the original．They no doubt came from Schol．＇s adscript（to ès кópaкas），ávri $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \in \tau a ̀ ~ o ́ \rho \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega v$ ，and the adscript itself is perhaps corrupt．On this view the word or words ousted would present no similarity to the text， and are irrecoverable．But if anything has been lost，it is probably an adjective in agreement with $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$ ：I had thought of the Homeric ópфavikós，e．g．$\dot{\omega} s$ oì $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ ठ $\rho \phi$ ау $\epsilon \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ ．Prof，Bury（Hermathena，xxvi． p．94）suggests $\mu \in \tau о \leqslant ท \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu$ ．

117 ＇s＇s кópakas．The ordinary pun between the real birds among whom Trygaeus is going，and those of the imprecation．Cf．Vesp．49－5I．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \omega$ vıos．A Homeric word．The derivation from $\alpha \nu \epsilon \mu$ os need not be seriously discussed；it belongs to pre－
philological times．But no doubt the Greeks derived it so，and thus here there is a play between the two senses，＂wind－ borne＂and＂bootless．＂
 use of an adverb（as predicate almost） with cival，cf．Hom．1l．vii．424，$\delta \iota a \gamma \nu \omega ̂ \nu a \iota$ $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{s} \hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu \check{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$＂кабтоע：Eur．Hec．
 and как $\omega$ s，the impersonal use of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \begin{aligned} & \text { for }\end{aligned}$ è $\chi \in \epsilon$ is not uncommon（e．g．Plut．1188）． The passage before us might be explained by an ellipse of $\varepsilon i p \eta \mu$ évov．

119 סoğá $\sigma$ ı，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Schol．kal то̂̀тo


 unexpectedly becomes adverbial．

120 airl乡 $\eta \tau \epsilon$ ．Used only by Homer．

тávv $\pi a ́ \mu \pi a v . ~ " A t ~ a l l, ~ a t ~ a l l " ~$ （Blaydes）．

122 ėv $\omega$ ©pa．＂In good season．＂Cf．
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \delta \bar{\epsilon} i \pi \nu o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a a$. But in Vesp． 242 it means＂early．＂

123 кóvסviov may be $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma-$
 $\pi \lambda a \kappa 0 \hat{\imath} v \tau o s$（Schol．）；for the ingredients see Hesych．s．v．，Phot．s．v．кávঠutos（Ar． fr．791）．But the phrase is vigorous enough by itself．
è $\pi$＇av่าท̂，＂with it，＂＂to give it a flavour，＂the regular preposition ：cf，$A c h$ ． 835，Eq． 707.
 ＂method，＂not like $\pi$ ópos кє $\lambda \in u ́ \theta o v$ ， Aesch．Theb． 546.

TP．$\pi \tau \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \pi o \rho \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \omega ิ \lambda o s{ }^{*}$ oủ vavб $\theta \lambda \omega ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ ．


 $\mu o ́ \nu o s ~ \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ̄ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ a ̀ \phi \iota \gamma \mu \in ́ v o s . ~$
ПА．${ }^{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon \hat{i} \pi \alpha \varsigma ~ \mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu, \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho ~ \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$,



 I 35


125 тaúтך codd．：fortasse $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon . \quad 133$ aiєто仑̂ RV：áєтô̂ Ald．
 that Ar．did not preserve the tragic metre by writing $\tau \dot{\eta} v \delta \delta$ ．Perhaps he did．The words $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \in \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\delta o ́ v$ end lines in Soph． O．C．96，Aj．738；$\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{o} \delta 0 \hat{u}$ ends O．T． 1478 （cf．O．C．1506），and $\tau \alpha i ̈ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \tau \alpha i s$ jōois，El． 68.
126 ттпทòs торєv́бєь，according to Schol．V，begins a line in the Sthene－ boea of Euripides．There is a further laugh at that poet in $\boldsymbol{\nu a v \sigma \theta \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma о \alpha น , ~ a ~}$ word peculiar to Euripides，and used by him in active，middle，and passive．

127 ढintvou，not like voûs，supr．IO4， of intention merely，but of a definite ＂idea，＂with originality，the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ denoting progress：cf．Vesp．346，dं $\lambda \lambda$＇$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ тоút $\omega \nu$
 $90,1322$.

129 Aiб由́tov．For the vogue of these fables at Athens，cf．Vesp． 1259.

Aóyors．The same word is used of Aesop＇s fables，$A v .651$ ．Properly $\lambda$ áyou are＂tales＂of any kind，true or false， while $\mu \hat{\nu} \theta o t=\lambda 6$ रुot $\psi \in v \delta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} s$（Plat．Repo．ii． 377A）．The primary education of children consisted of such legends，in－ cluding Epic myths of the gods as well as simple allegorical stories．

130 Scholiasts give the story thus：－ The eagle had carried off the beetle＇s young，and the beetle revenged himself by rolling from the nest the eggs of the eagle，who then complained to Zeus，and was bidden to lay eggs in his lap．But the beetle came and buzzed round the head of the god，who sprang up and so broke the eggs．There is no doubt a
reference to this story in $L y s .695$ ，aiढ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta \nu$ тіктоขта кávөapós $\sigma \epsilon \mu a \iota \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma o \mu a l: ~ c f . ~ V e s p . ~$ 1448.

тєteเvติv．In Class．Rev．i．p．131， Prof．Tyrrell suggests $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ，claiming that a káv $\theta$ apos is not to be classed under $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{d}$ ，and that in any case the eagle was there before．The latter objection， urged by others also，is surely unsym－ pathetic；as to the first，a member of the coleoptera is as much $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \subset \nu o ́ v$ as an eagle or an éroч．
 I．T．I293．But here $\mu \bar{\theta} \theta$ os suggests ＂fable．＂

132 ठ̋тws follows ätıбтov on the analogy of words like $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \rho \epsilon i v$. So Aesch．

 $\gamma$ fyos．

133－4 The tragic style is continued， as may be seen both from metre and phrases．Thus кат＇＂＇X $\begin{aligned} & \text { 分av，though }\end{aligned}$ a favourite phrase of Thucydides，is anything but colloquial：$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota \pi o \tau \epsilon ́$, as in Vesp．1060，Plut． 1002 （with ád $^{2} \iota \mu \mathrm{ol}$ in both places），is sententious，＂in days
 high－sounding enough．－aiєtoṽ．In－ scriptions prove that the iota was invari－ ably written in classical times．See Meisterhans，Gramm．Inschr．，p．31，note 160，p．33， 5.

136 ö $\pi \omega$ s $\varepsilon$ édivov．For this normal construction，cf．Goodwin，M．T．333， Sidgwick，Aesch．Cho．，Appendix III． The construction with $\delta \pi \omega s$ is not found



ПА．тí ס＇，h̆ע és v́qpòv $\pi o ́ v \tau i o \nu ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta ~ \beta a ́ \theta o s ; ~$







elsewhere in Aristophanes，and is here due to the tragic diction；with iva it occurs only in Vesp．961（cf．732），Eccl． 152， 426.

трачเкผ́тєроs．We must not give this word its later meaning，＂impressive．＂ This is the idea suggested，no doubt， but not explicitly．It is＂more like a tragedy hero，＂the hero being in this case Bellerophon．
$137 \hat{\Phi} \mu \in \lambda^{\prime}$ ．The dual $\mu \in \lambda \in \epsilon^{\prime}$ ，read by Ald．and Schol．V is of course wrong； copyists did not understand the phrase $\dot{\omega} \mu \in \lambda \epsilon$ ，which they connected with $\mu \overline{\text { entos．}}$ Cf．infr．380，Eq． 1337 （Neil，cr．no）．
${ }_{6} v v$ is placed in curiously strong posi－ tion．But the preceding $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ saves it from being such an abnormal case as the
 Dem．OL．i． 14 and 19 ．

139 Tragic $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma$ ts of $\sigma, \tau$ and－ots： for $\sigma$ see infr． 865 n ．，for $\tau$ ，Jebb on Soph．O．T．370，and for－ots cf．Soph． Aj．532，ѐv тоїَòє тоїs какоїбtv．

140－1 Metre and phrases are again tragic ；also the use of es before a vowel （supr．37，n．）．Whether Icarus or Bel－ lerophon is referred to matters little； Schol，suggests both，but the latter is the butt of the whole scene．
142 eixov，＂I have ready，＂the im－ perfect dwelling on the intention and process in past time．Cf．infr．522，oủ
 eixoy toúró toùs ápuctixous．
143 Nagıovpyท่s．Athenaeus xi． 72 （486），speaking of the－$p \gamma \eta$ ins compounds， insists that they apply to localities alone． His quotations（ $\kappa \lambda i \nu \eta \mathrm{M} i \lambda \eta \sigma \iota o v p \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} s$, etc．）
show the general use，but there is no principle underlying it，as is shown by the Homeric use of $\epsilon \dot{v} \in \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} s$（umûs，etc．）． Thus the тpundis Mevtopouprins of Lucian， Lexiph．7，is perfectly good Greek ：and in English we can talk of＂Cellini work＂as well as＂Florentine work．＂
káv日apos．The three meanings goblet， skiff，and beetle are blended in this pun． Many comic instances of the first mean－ ing（thrice punning with the second）are given by Athenaeus，xi．47－48（473－ 474）．

144 Tragic metre and diction．Cf．



145 Пеграьєє．The penultimate is short here（in senarii，as fr .608 ），long infr． 165 （in anapaests，as $E q .815$ ）．It is very doubtful whether any distinction in spelling should be made between the two．The word itself is not found in inscriptions till the middle of the fourth century，when the preponderance of Meıpacús is overwhelming（Meisterhans， Gramm．Inschr．p．32，note 173）．But， taking the exactly similar formations ＇A入aleús（＇A入acús）etc．（ib．notes 161－ 174），we find the balance of fifth－century spelling either in favour of the iota（notes 161， $166-167,174$ ）or equally divided （notes 165，171）．See further Blass， Ausspr．E．T．p．52，and（for later his－ tory of AI）pp．64－70．
 $\nu$ éwpla，with much more which is the reverse of helpful．Cantharus was the comparatively small inlet of Peiraeus， immediately to the right after entrance．



 $\mu \dot{\eta} \beta \delta \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \in \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$ ．

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon, \Pi_{\eta} \gamma \alpha \sigma \epsilon, \chi^{\omega} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \iota \chi \alpha i \rho \omega \nu$,


тi тоєîs，тí тоєīs ；тоî тарак入íveıs тoùs $\mu v \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{s} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ av́pas；


$147 \times \omega \lambda d s$ i $\omega \nu . \quad$ In the play of Euripides，Bellerophon fell from Pegasus．

 $\chi \omega \lambda d s$ oitool；See the waole scene，for Euripides＇predilection for the halt and maimed as well as for mere beggars．In Ran． 846 he is called $\chi$ ف入oroots．
148 入óyov парá⿱㇒木ns．＂Furnish a plot，＂as if it were a terrible fate to be dealt with by Euripides．
 Ut pueris placeas et declamatio fias．
150 ípeis．Of course acdressing the audience． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ є．Cf．supr． 20 д．
153 катшка́ра．Cf．Ach．945，єїтє єंк $\pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \mid$ катшка́pa кр $\epsilon \mu a \iota \tau 0$ ，of a syco－ phant bound like a vessel hung head downwards．So here with a verb of motion，＂head foremost．＂The Schol． quotes from Pindar（fro 134），oi $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$

$\beta$ кико $\lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ ．Quite litesal in sense， without a trace of the metaphorical use found in Aesch．Ag．669，Ar．Eccl．81． If the smell of his usual food reaches the dung－beetle，he will throw his rider and return to satisfy his appetite．It must be remembered that the beetle is ：hroughout represented as a horse（Pegasus），and ßovкодєiodat is used of horses in Hom． 11．xx． 221 ：cf．Eur．Phoen．28，i $i \pi \pi$ o－ ßоикб久о七．The renderings＂decipiet＂ Blaydes），＂aimaтj́бєt＂（Herwerden），
besides spoiling a neat $\pi a \rho \alpha \grave{~} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta o x l a v$, are remote in sense from the Aeschylean metaphor，and introduce an unsupported and unlikely use of the middle．（In
 Tóvov is of course＂brooding over this trouble of yours．＂）
154－6 The words are all tragic，except бıакıข ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ ．
155 xpuroxa入（var．Schol．V каi
 aर $\rho \omega \nu \pi \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho v \gamma a s$. The reading of MSS． may be defended as a fantastically trans－ ferred epithet，but，considering the constant interchange of O and $\Omega$ ，the easy and pleasing genitive given（perhaps） by Suidas seems more probable．

156 фaı$\delta \rho o i ̂ s$, more naturally of eyes or face，＂bright，＂＂lit up＂；a favourite of Aeschylus．Schol．gives $\pi \rho \alpha \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \iota, \mu \eta$ ク̀ oboois， a hint to which editors might perhaps have paid more respect．It may be thought that Aesch．Ag．1228－1230 is too corrupt to argue from，but if we accept Madvig＇s brilliant corrections，we there have ėктeivaбa фаıסро̀ oüs of a dog fawning，to be translated（as a dog so employed does not raise，but droop，the ears）＂smoothing out the ears in glad－ ness．＂For ékтeivara，cf．Soph．fr．768，
 ＂with joyous ears＂：that a horse＇s feelings affect his ears differently is $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{f}}$ course beside the mark，as we have no verb．
$\kappa \hat{\dot{q}} \tau \alpha \alpha \rho о \mu \alpha i \alpha \nu \pi \tau \in \dot{\rho} \rho v \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \epsilon i v \omega \nu$
óp $\theta$ òs $\chi$ wópєь $\Delta$ tòs єis av̉入ás，









$\pi \epsilon ́ \varepsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s \dot{\eta} \mathbf{X i} \omega \nu$
ठıà $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau o ̀ \nu$ ó $\phi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．







160 Spopalav．Proleptic，＂stretch－ ing thy wing to fly its fastest．＂This adjective again is the property of the tragedians，and is parodied by Aristo－
 о́ $\rho \mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ то́бa．But Xenophon，never particular，makes free use of the word，as of $\phi$ ai $\delta p o ́ s ~ a b o v e . ~$

161 ópoós．Not＂straight＂（to）， which is ojp $\theta \dot{\eta} \nu(A v .1$, Thesm．1223），but ＂with head erect＂（not turned earth－ wards）；the word leads up naturally to
 R＇s oj $\rho \theta$ ûs means nothing but＂correctly＂ （very common）．
$163 \hat{\eta}_{\mu \epsilon \rho}$ L $\omega v$ ．The lemma of Schol，R （ $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ with $\nu$ over the $t$ ）justifies a con－ jecture of Dobree；$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ could mean nothing but＂（food）taken by day．＂

167 т ̂ิऽ रฑิs mo入入خ̆v．Cf．infr．225， $8 \sigma o u s . . . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda\left(\theta \omega \nu:\right.$ I 196，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda a \gamma \not \omega^{\omega} \omega \nu$

$171 \mathrm{X} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ．If there is any special reason for choosing the Chians，it is lost to us．Schol．V suggests that the words are a hit at the Athenians for fleecing the allies on trumpery charges， and that the Chians are picked out as appropriate to the present passage because of their lewdness．In 425 B．c．they had
been suspected of a desire to revolt，and compelled to demolish their new wall （Thuc．iv．51）From $A v .879-880$（seven years later）we gather that the Athenians were never tired of expressing their gratitude to Chios．

173 ol $\mu$＇os．The elision of ol $\mu$ ． only found before $\dot{\omega} s$ ，and only in comedy and Sophocles．

174 §̄ $\mu \eta$ хаขотоเє́．Cf．fr．188，ó

 somewhat similar instance of destroying the stage illasion occurs infr．1022，Хỡт $\omega$
 $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa$ úк $\lambda \eta \mu a$ is boldly referred to，$A c h .408$ ， Thesm．96， 265.
ws＇fpé．Blaydes＇correction of the common punctuation（a colon after $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu$＇） removes two difficulties：（I）ís can only follow verbs denoting motion or very distinctly implying it，as калєiv（ $N_{\imath \iota}$ b． 1164）．See Sobolewski，Praep．pp．63－64． Indeed $\pi f \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \in$ tò̀ $\nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ ©́s is no more
 tive is needed after $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon!$ ：cf．Blaydes， $\mathrm{cr} . \mathrm{n}$ ．for instances of the phrase．The authority for $\sigma \tau \rho \in \phi \in \iota \nu$ intrans．in any sense is of the slightest．

175 отрє́фєเ．The reading of MSS





## EPMHE

 тоиті тí ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota$ тò како́v ;
TP. iтлокá $\nu$ өapos.

 $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho^{\prime} \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \varsigma, \overparen{\hat{\omega}} \mu \iota \alpha \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \nu \alpha \omega^{\prime} \tau а \tau \epsilon ;$

TP.
миари́татоs. 185

$180 \mu_{0}$ Blaydes. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \in \beta a \lambda^{\prime}$ Ald. : Suidae aliquot codd.: $\mu$ tapè codd.
is justly condemned by Cobet (V. L. ii. 106). The similar use of $\sigma \tau \rho$ ó $\phi o s$ (e.g. Thesm. 484) might lead to the corruption.
$176 \phi \nu \lambda \dot{\beta} \xi \mathrm{t}$. For the absurd reading of MSS. cf. infr. 1297, where all MSS. give $\dot{\mu} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$. On the perversity of copyists in regard to such forms, see Rutherford, N. P. p. 376 sqq.
178 кaì $\delta$ ŋ̀. "Ah! there," "there now." In this sense, the words very often come later in the sentence, e.g.
 $\chi \in \tau a \downarrow$.
 this ordinary language with supr. 16I, $\Delta$ lòs $\epsilon$ is aủ dás.

 sniff takes the place of $\dot{o} \sigma \mu \dot{\eta}$ as subject. But more probably the construction is impersonal, a genitive following the verbal phrase $\mu \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma^{\prime} \beta a \lambda^{\prime}$ on the analogy of the impersonal b́s $^{\prime} \epsilon \iota$. Cf. infr. 529, тoû
 parallel in Latin is "venit in mentem" with the genitive, on the analogy of memini. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon}$, in the sense of to "strike upon," more commonly takes the dative : but cf. Aesch. fr. 18r, $\dot{\xi} \xi \in \cup \lambda a \beta o \hat{u}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \quad \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \mid \pi \epsilon \in \mu \iota \xi$ : Hom. 11. vii. 421 (and Od. xix. 433) ทं $\in \lambda$ cos . . . $\pi \rho o \sigma \in \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \in \nu$ ảpoúpas.

For the sense, cf. Aesch. Prom. I15,



## 181 какóv. "plaguy thing." So

 malum, Plautus, passim.$i \pi \pi o k a v \theta a p o s$. A parody of $i \pi \pi o \kappa$ èvravpos (Schol.), though there seems to be no instance of the latter word extant until Plato. The pronunciation of the two words would be more alike than the spelling. In Ran. 937, Euripides is made to attack the $i \pi \pi a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau о \rho \in s$ and трaүt' $\lambda a \phi o l$ of Aeschylus: cf. the $\xi$ outòs $i \pi \pi a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$ of infr. 1177 (note), Av. Soo.
$182 \beta \delta \epsilon \lambda v \rho \epsilon$. As explained in Intr. p. 49 , it is quite probable that Suidas wrote $\mu$ lapè s.v. Mlapol, as s.v. тo入 $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$. But in face of the next line, $\mu \mathrm{c} \alpha \overline{\mathrm{c}}$ is hardly endurable; the repetition could only be defended on the plea that Hermes is spluttering with rage. For these two



 address in a similar spirit, cf. Soph. O.T.

185 нıарш́татоs. Trygaeus (probably) sulks, till thoroughly frightened by the threat of 1.188 , or (possibly) is so terrified already that he can only echo the title given him by Hermes.

EP．$\quad \pi о \delta a \pi o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ v o s ~ \delta o ~ є i ̂ ; ~ ф \rho a ́ \zeta ̧ ~ \mu о и . ~$

TP．émoí；щиари́татоя．


TP．Tpuraîos＇A $\theta \mu o v \epsilon u ́ s, ~ a ̀ \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda o v \rho \gamma o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon \xi ̌ o ́ s, ~$

EP．їкєıs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \tau i ;$
TP．$\quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \rho \in ́ \alpha \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau i ́ \sigma o \iota ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ．
EP．$\quad \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \kappa \rho i \omega \nu, \pi \hat{\omega} s \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \varsigma$ ；
TP．$\hat{\omega} \gamma \lambda i \sigma \chi \rho \omega \nu, o \dot{\rho} \rho \hat{c} s$




TP．$\quad \pi o \imath ̂ \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ；
EP．iooù $\gamma \hat{n}$ s．
TP．

$$
\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi o \imath ̂ ;
$$



187 The omission of this line in R is due to the homoioteleuton which also caused the first hand of V to omit the preceding line．Cf．infr．896．See Bach－ mann，Conj．，p．142，and add supr．184， om．BG（homoiot，with 183，$\mu$ цар $\dot{\tau} \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon)$ ： infr．402，om．R（homoiot．with 40r， $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu \eta$ चे $\pi \rho \partial$ тoù ：524，om．B（homoiot． with 523， $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 日ewpia）：infr．1076，om． B Ald．（homoiot．with 1077，olv $\dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{va}$（oî）．
$188 \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Г $\hat{\eta} v$ ．As this oath is very rare in the Old Comedy（infr．III7，cf． $A$ ．194），there may be an intentional absurdity in making a god swear by the earth：cf．supr．180，山̈va＇＇Hpák $\lambda \in เ s$.
$191 \pi р а ү \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，i．e．$\delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
192 катえ̀ $\tau \ell$ ；＂What have you come after？＂Cf．Nub．239，Av．916．See Herwerden＇s note，and infr．Io50 n．
тà кр́́a．Cf．infr．378．For Hermes as a glutton，cf．Plut． 1125 sqq．
193 ठєi入akpínv．＂Poor creature．＂ So $A v .143$ ．The Schol．sees a reference to $\kappa \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ ．For the diminutive－$\{\omega \nu$ ，of pity or contempt，added to the stem of ad－ jectives，here סєi入akpos（Plut．973），cf．
infr． 214 ，＇A $\tau \tau \kappa k i \omega v$ from＇A $\tau \tau \iota k \delta s^{s}$, Eccl． 1058，$\mu a \lambda a k i \omega \nu$ from $\mu a \lambda a \kappa \delta s$ ．
$\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ท̂̀ $\theta \epsilon s$ ；The fierce $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ 効 $\rho$ ？ à $\nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ；of $s u p r .184$ is repeated in endearing tones．Cf．Soph．El． 1355.
y $\lambda(\sigma x p \omega v$. ＂You greedy creature，＂ echoing the termination of $\delta \in i \lambda \alpha \kappa \rho i \omega \nu$ ．

195 in in in．Here of amusement，but infr． 455 of exultation（as Ach．1207）： so ì $\pi a l \omega$ v，infy．453，Lys．1291，Av． 1763 ，etc．

196 oủbè with è $\gamma \gamma$ ús．Cf．Dem． 229



197 Cf．Hom．Il．i．423，Zeùs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ is



єॄ૬ゅкьซ $\mu$ ย́vot．＂Left the place．＂Just such a word is used as might have been employed by the care－taker of an Athe－ nian house．Cf．Aeschines，Tim．124，

 $\chi^{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \in i ̂ o \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ．Cf．infr． 260.

198 íoù $\gamma$ ท̂s．lit．＂look you now， ＇on earth．＇＂iסoù is often used in scorn－


EP. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda о \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho \hat{\omega}$ бкєvápıа $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \varepsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$,



 205



fully quoting a word just spoken. Cf. Eccl. 132, Гr. $\in โ \tau a \pi \rho i \nu \pi t \epsilon i ̂ \nu \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \omega$; IIP. iठov̀ $\pi t \epsilon i ̄$, "drinking indeed." Nutb. 872,
 "fancy saying крє́ $\mu \alpha \iota 0$." The reason for his scorn at the word $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ is obvious.

199 кर́ттароу is $\pi$ ар $\grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к l a \nu$ for some word like $\mu \nu \chi$ óv or $\dot{\alpha} \psi i \hat{\delta} a$. кútтара is used in Vesp. IrII for the cells in a hive: cf. Schol. V on present passage, where reference is further made to the meaning "pine cone" in Theophrastus (H. P. iii. 3, 8), and "acorn cup" in Lycophron (cf. Schol. on Thesm. 5 I6). Surely, then, ím' av̉тòv тòv кúттapov must be "right into the cone" or cup of the heavenly vault, and the journey be similar to that of the gods in Plat. Phaedr.



202 oavi(ca. Blaydes (pp. 25 and 316) suggests six different emendations. The text is probably sound enough, and the old rendering "trenchers" (L. and S.) correct. $\sigma$ avis itself, though perhaps chiefly associated with the public noticeboards, was used freely for anything of the nature of a board; e.g. a plank of torture, Thesm. 931, a ship's deck, Eur. Hel. 1556, and $\sigma a v i \delta \epsilon s$ in Homer, passim, for folding-doors. In supr. 197 the celestial household was spoken of as a Greek family might be : in this line it is referred to as a very humble establishment.

ка́ $\mu \phi \quad \rho \epsilon i \delta i a$. The spelling given by $R$ is impossible ; it is an instance of the commonest of all itacisms (cf. Cobet, V. L. p. 87). Thus, infr. 732, R has
${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i \omega s$, and conversely in $898 \pi a \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$. In $\epsilon v$ stems $v$ is dropped before the suffix i $\delta$. So we have Tvốl $\delta \eta$, etc.

203 oíveka. Such is the form given by MSS. here, but єiveка infr. 210 . As to the true Attic form (for few will believe that Aristophanes rang the changes) we canjudge only from inscriptions and MSS., since metre is here no help. Inscriptions show oüทยка (as preposition) twice and $\epsilon^{\prime 2} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$ once in times prior to Aristophanes (Meisterhans, Gramm.Inschr.pp.215-216). The better MSS. of ancient poets have been searched with the following results by Wackernagel (Die präpos, oüveкa, Kuhns Zeitsch., xxviii. pp. 109-1 30). In Aristophanes oüveka is found twenty-two times, єiveкa eight times, while the MSS. differ in five places; in other comedians the numbers are $30: 12: 11$; in the Laurentian Sophocles, 25:0:0; in the Laurentian Aeschylus, $4: 2: 0$; and in Euripides of $\mathbf{\nu \in к а}$ is frequent, elveka unknown. These results gain force from the fact that eiveкa was a form increasing in favour in postclassical times (Meist. Gramm. p. 215, 23), and therefore particularly tempting to copyists. Especially eloquent is the testimony of the Laurentian Sophocles, which has in general preserved ancient forms more correctly than any other MS.

204 єit? i.e. after making up their minds.

205 катథึкเซav. The regular word for planting settlers in a colony.

206 Spầv. For the infin., see Goodwin, M. T. 770.
 moved as far up country as they can get."






 $\epsilon l \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{\dot{v}} \tau \iota \pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \iota \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \grave{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \iota \kappa \omega \nu \iota \kappa \circ$ ',


 $\delta \omega \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ Cobet: $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ codd. $\delta \ell \kappa a \nu$ Hirschig: $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ codd. $215 \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} a \iota \nu \tau^{\prime}$ codd. : corr. Bekk. átrıк由ขıкot codd.: corr. Dobr.

Here the gods seem to be spoken of as a set of colonists who have found their first settlement unsatisfactory. Cf. Her. iv. 158 (where the Theraean colonists at Aziris move on to found Cyrene), $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \quad$ ov
 $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ è $\sigma \pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \eta \nu$.
$208 \beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. The transitive use is found twice elsewhere in Aristophanes (Ach. 376, fr. 388). Rutherford (Babr. 22.7) holds that this tragic use is not found in Old Comedy except in parodies; but this line and fr. l.c. make against his view.

aiotavolaro. This Ionic ending of the third pers. plur. opt. middle and passive is rare in Aristophanes (five other instances are quoted by Rutherford, N. P. p. 431), less rare in tragedy, universal in Homer.
 ferring to both Athenians and Spartans. Schol. R understands $\tau \omega ิ \nu \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha-$ $\mu o \nu i \omega v$, but-
(I) The subject of $\dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ must refer back to $\dot{\nu} \mu \mathrm{a} s$ in 208 , which refers back to "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \omega$ in 204.
(2) The subject of $\dot{\eta} \rho \in \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta \epsilon$ is split up into oi Лaкєбацнórtol and átтькшәıкод.
(3) The active $\pi \operatorname{moou}^{y} \tau \omega \mathrm{y}$ suits the gods, not the Spartans; see next note.
$212 \sigma \pi$ ov $\delta$ às $\pi$ กoเov́vт $\omega v$. "Were trying to bring about a truce." The

$\xi u ́ \lambda \lambda o y o v$ ) is used in place of the ordinary $\pi$ оєє $\hat{\sigma} \theta a t$ in cases where the peacemaker, though he may be personally interested, is not actually one of the two contracting parties. A good instance occurs, infr. 1199, of Trygaeus, and Elmsley is probably right in accepting $\pi 0$ १̂नa $\ell$ from the inferior MSS. in Ach. 58 : contrast the sense of Ach. 52.
 ponding to $\dot{\eta} \rho \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : "kept trying to bring about;" cf. infr. 407, '̇пıßovגєن́ovтє, Goodwin, M. T: 140.

214 val тஸ̀ $\sigma เ \omega \dot{c}$. Laconian for $\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\omega}$ $\theta \in \omega$. In the mouth of Spartans these would be Castor and Pollux: of the Athenian women ( $\mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \dot{\omega}$, Eccl. pass.), Demeter and Persephone, and of the Boeotian in Ach. 905 perhaps Amphion and Zethus. For the change of $\theta$ to $\sigma$,
 for $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ өєoû $\theta$ úpatos. But see Giles, Philol.637, where the change to $\sigma$ is said to be later, if we can trust the Spartan inscriptions; if so, these forms must be due to copyists.
 is rather arbitrary and a doubtful improvement. We probably have here a proper name of the "John Bull" type. For the form, see supr. 193, n.
$\delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon \mathrm{I}_{\text {. }}$ The correction to a Laconian form is no doubt right.
$216 \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \mathrm{c}$. The verbal idea of "negotiating," "speaking," is present in the line, to explain the preposition: cf. Eq. 669 , and often.



| TP. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| EP. |  |


| TP. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| EP. |  |
| TP. | тoiov ; |

 RV: utrumque memorat schol. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \chi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ẩ $\pi \tilde{d} \lambda เ \nu$ Kock.

218 Surgery has been busy with this harmless line. Meineke could not sanction 'A $\theta \eta \nu a ̆ \nu$ for 'A $\theta \eta v a i a \nu$, while $\nu \grave{\eta}$ before a negative also seemed to require alteration. The crowning insult comes from Hirschig, who, noticing the coincidence that $\sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma$ téo would make as good sense as $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \circ$, makes this tasteless change. So in Blaydes' text the verse is re-written as follows: $\nu \grave{\eta}$
 As to 'A $\begin{aligned} & \eta \nu a ̂ v, ~ t h e ~ s h o r t e n e d ~ f o r m ~ i s ~\end{aligned}$ encountered, though rarely, in inscriptions prior to the fourth century (Meisterhans, Gramm. p. 31, note 157). v̀े $\Delta l^{\prime}$ is irreproachable; there is nothing to prevent its use where a negative statement is strongly asserted. Cf. Thesm.
 $\xi \in v o i \delta^{\circ}$. Besides, the whole force of the sentence is affirmative, and it is only as an afterthought that the second verb is put in negative form. "They're trying to get over us, by Athene they are; yes, by Zeus ! we mustn't listen to their pleas," with no particular emphasis on oúxt, as there would be if $\mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta i^{\prime}$ had preceded.
 still got Pylos." From the $\pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda t \nu$ of RV no good sense can be extracted. Those who render the words of the text "if we've once got Pylos" are guilty both of bad Greek and (lest Hirschig's ' $\lambda \omega \mu \mu \mathrm{y}$ be put forward) of bad history. Pylos, being unoccupied, was taken on the first landing (Thuc. iv. 3). Ruppersberg then argues (Progr. uiber die Eirene, p. 30) that Húlos stands for Sphacteria, comparing Nub. 186, toîs é Mú̀ov
$\lambda \eta \phi \theta \in i ̂ \sigma t, \operatorname{Pax} 665, \tau a ́ \nu \Pi u ́ \lambda \omega$, and pointing out that the word $\Sigma \phi$ aкт $\quad$ pia does not occur in Aristophanes. But this still leaves us with the false translation of the present ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega \mu \in \nu$, which can only mean "keep," as every one will now concede to Cobet (N. L. p. 204). Ruppersberg's contention would then lead us to the absurdity of $\Pi u ́ \lambda o \nu$ being for toùs èк $\Pi$ Iú入ou $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \in \nu \tau a s$. But indeed the prisoners are not being explicitly referred to. The occupation of Pylos and the probable capture of the Spartans in Sphacteria so frightened the ephors that they sought for peace (Thuc. iv. 15, sqq.) ; this was refused owing to the urgency of Cleon (ib. 21-22), the underlying spirit of whose arguments could scarcely be summed up better than in this line. But it is of course impossible to show that Hermes is not referring to the second application from Sparta, followed by lengthy negotiations (Thuc. iv. 4I,

 emendation (see cr. $n$. and Verisim. p. 259) is perhaps veri similizes than most of his brilliant Verisimilia.

220 характ ${ }^{2} \rho$. In Greek the metaphor is still a very conscious one, though in the English derivative the literal meaning "mark," "stamp," has been almost entirely lost. Cf. Eur. Hec. 379, Herc. 658, Med, 517-520. "The ring of the words" gives about the right amount of metaphorical idea.

222 ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ пỡ $\gamma$ àp . . . ; " But where,
世ัO ;

| EP． | єiş тоuтi тò ка́тш．каै $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\rho \hat{̣} s$ <br>  <br>  | 225 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TP． | $\epsilon i \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \mu \circ t,$ |  |
| EP． |  <br>  <br>  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TP} . \\ & \mathrm{EP} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  <br>  <br>  | 230 |
| TP． | oıцоь $\delta$ єìaios． <br>  <br>  | 235 |
|  | HO八EMOE |  |
|  |  ís aùтiка $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ тàs $\gamma \nu \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta_{0}$ s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau$ ． |  |

224 ùs ópấs V． 227 тарабкєvásєтє Ald．（et V sec．Cobetum）． 232 र＇

224 тоиті тò ка́тш．See Introd． pp．22－23．
225 ठ̛̃ovs ．．тต̂v $\lambda(\theta \omega v . \quad$ Cf．suppr． 167 ，n．

227 8t $\delta \mathfrak{\eta}$ ．＂Used by Aristophanes only in interrogations，when $\delta \dot{\eta}$ heightens the opposition＂（Starkie ad Vesp． 858）．

$232 \gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ Ł́ $\mu$ ๆ่v．This adverbial use occurs also Vesp．983，Eccl．349．It is modal ：see Riddell，Digest，$\S 7$.
$233{ }^{\epsilon}{ }^{\kappa} \nu \delta o v$. The reading $\epsilon ้ \nu \delta o \theta \epsilon \nu$ pro－ duces a hopelessly unmetrical fourth foot．It is exceedingly rare for the first two syllables of an anapaest to come at the end of a hyperdissyllable，unless｜there is elision or close connection．A striking exception is cited from $A v .1226$ by the Oxford editors in Praef．；but here the scansion would be more violent still，as the words are divorced by a full stop and a change of speakers．
$\delta \in \lambda$ aios．For the quantity of the $-\alpha$ ， see supr．145，n．and Starkie on Vesp． 40．Cf．Eur．Hec．64，रєpaiâas $\chi \epsilon!\rho o ̀ s$

$234 \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．It seems strange that Cobet and Meineke should have been
followed by many editors in their attempt to drive out the idiomatic $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，or connect it with $\theta v \in l a s$ ．Join $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \sigma \mu \eta \nu=$ tanquam audivi；cf．Nub．
 סoкєîs：Plat．Crat． 384 c，örı ס̀̀ oư $\phi \eta \sigma t$
 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\cup} \pi о \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ aúròv $\sigma \kappa \omega ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．Even if кal $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \ldots$ ．．кaivtbs was to be condemned， there was no need，after eliminating the $\kappa$ ，to transpose as well．
 of ludicrous grandeur．
$\phi \theta$＇́ $\gamma \mu$ a（a poetical word，properly of human speech）is used as in Pind．Pyth． iv． 198 （351），of thunder，$\beta$ povtâs $\phi \theta \in \gamma \mu a$ ， Eur．Hipp．1215，of a bull＇s roaring．
$\pi$ олєнเनт $\quad$ plas．Used twice in the Acharnians by the warrior Lamachus，
 and 1132，as an epithet of his $\theta \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha \xi$ ． Here there is a furtherreference to $\Pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ$ os as one of the dramatis personae．

236 ＂Excusanda est，imo vim pecu－ liarem habet，hoc loco caesurae omissio．＂ Blaydes．Cf．Soph．O．T．738，ڤै Z $\epsilon \hat{v}$ ，$\tau \downarrow$

$\pi$ подvt $\lambda$ ń $\mu$ ves．A Homeric word．
237 qvá日ovs．Toothache may be

TP．$\hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \xi^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \pi o \lambda \lambda o \nu, \tau \hat{\eta} s, \theta v \in \dot{\prime} \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau o u s$,

 ó detvós，ó та入aúpıvos，ò катà тoîv бкє入oîv；





ante $\epsilon \mu \eta \grave{\nu} \nu$ add．Herw．
$233{ }^{t} \nu \delta o \nu \mathrm{~V}$ ：$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \delta o \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{R}$ vulg．
234 aủròs ク่ $\sigma \theta o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ Mein． 238－239 virgulae Meinekio debentur．
246 そ Ald．： $\begin{gathered}\text { RV }\end{gathered}$
expected from the taste of the nasty， sharp stuff which he is about to brew．
238－9 тоиิ тлáтous．Genitive of wonder，after an exclamation，as often in Aristophanes，e．g．Ach．64，Av． 61 （see below）．So probably тоиิ $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \mu a \tau о \varsigma$, while öoov kakóv is parenthetical，refer－ ring to Qveias，＂what a brute of a thing！＂Others put a full stop at $\pi \lambda \dot{\text { árous，and no comma at кaкóv，but the }}$ genitive after öбоע какóv seems very awkward，while a pause after the second foot is suitable to surprise．
Apollo is｜appealed to as averter of
 $\chi$ хали $\mu$ атоs．
240 каi фєviyousv．кal with verbs， often＂actually．＂Cf．Plut．202，à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
 $\pi \lambda 0 u ̂$ os，＂they do say．＂
241 Cf．Ach．964，ò $\delta \epsilon \iota$ ós，ó ta入aú－ pıvos，ós $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ Гop $\gamma$ bva｜$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ．
radaúpıvos，with whatever meaning，is used in Homer only with the words $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime} s$（of Ares），and $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ， hence with special appropriateness of a character named $\Pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \epsilon \mu$ os．There is probably no reference to Ares，with whom $\Pi b \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ$ s is in no way identified．
 пotêv．This explanation（first put forward some years ago by Mr．J．C． Miles）has been supported by Ruther－ ford＇s correction of the Schol．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha}$ $\delta \epsilon i \lambda i a y \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \pi a r o u v \tau \omega \nu$（for the unsatis－ factory $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \frac{\pi}{\pi} \eta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu\right)$ ．The ellipse is then explained；as in $E q .783$ ，iva $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\tau \rho i \beta \eta s \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \Sigma \alpha \lambda a \mu i v$, ，so here the poet is being delicately indelicate．Reiske （followed by Richter）understands $i \notin \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$ ， ＂qui ad affligenda，confligenda crura tendit，＂but the breaking of legs is
scarcely a feature of the Iliad．＂Stand－ ing firm upon his legs＂（Brunck and Blaydes）is impossible：no such use of $\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ can be adduced．All such views， however，are disposed of by a passage which seems not to have been quoted，

 （from a Laconian chorus）．
242 Прaбıai，a maritime town of Laconia，had been devastated by the Athenians in the second year of the war （Thuc．ii．56）．Polemos throws $\pi \rho d \sigma a$ （leeks）into the mortar to represent Prasiae，which，but for the pun，would never have been mentioned at all．
243 то入入оঠєка́кเs．Cf．Eq．1154，
 каi $\chi$ ¢ $\lambda \iota$ óm $\alpha \lambda \alpha \iota$ каї $\pi \rho о \pi а \lambda \alpha \iota \pi a \lambda a l \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota$.
$244 \pi р a ̂ \not \mu \mu$ ．Cf．Eccl．462，oủס̀̀
 It is just conceivable that the Schol．， who knew more about pronunciation than we do，may be right in seeing a pun on IIparzal．Thus，the form of the present tense $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ is a contraction of $\pi \rho a \gamma i \omega$. ．

246 Mézapa．See Introd．p．4．He throws in garlic，which was a plentiful product at Megara．Cf．Ach．761． Objections have been urged against the reading in the text on the grounds of （1）metre，（2）the form＇̇ं $\pi \tau \rho l \psi \varepsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ used passively，（3）the sanction given to $\bar{\omega}$ by RV．All modern editors follow Elm－ sley．But－
（1）a tribrach in the second foot may be followed by an anapaest in the third，if there is a sufficient pause after the tribrach．Cf．
 $\delta^{\prime}$ éкєìvo $\psi \eta \lambda a \phi \hat{\nu}$ ．And $A c h$ ．

## 






غ̇ $\pi \iota \tau \rho \iota\left\langle\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\right.$ Bentl．：$̇ \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \neq \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ Elmsl． 251 Trygaeo dedit Dobr． $253 \chi \rho \hat{\gamma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \theta a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ codd．et（omisso $\sigma \circ \iota$ ）Ald．：corr．Dind． $254 \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \beta \dot{0} \lambda \circ \sim$

47，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau o s^{\prime} \dot{o} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho^{\prime} A \mu \phi l \theta \epsilon o s$ $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho o s{ }_{\eta} \nu$（Bachmann，Conj． p．59）presents an exact parallel to the tribrach and two anapaests in feet $2,3,4$ ．Now the pause after the first Mé $\gamma a p a$ in our passage is at least as long as that needed in the line just quoted，where the speaker runs trippingly on into an explana－ tion．In the line，＂Oh Romeo， Romeo，wherefore art thou Romeo？＂no one can well imagine a good actress failing to pause before repeating the name， although there the arsis is ahead． I therefore regard the metre as at least as defensible as that of Elmsley＇s line，with dactyl， anapaest，dactyl in feet， $1,2,3$ ． Of this I can only find one instance（ $N u b, 256$ ）．
（2）It is now generally agreed that all middle forms except aorists were used passively both in prose and verse．The following are only a few instances from Thucydides ： vii． $42, \tau \rho l \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ itself，i．I42，
 ii． $87, \tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau \alpha l$ ，vi．I8，$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta$ ． $\sigma b \mu \in \theta a$ ．
（3）The solemnity of the whole passage would be lessened by a variation from the awe－striking $i \omega$ of 236 ， 242,250 ，with which we may compare the eight repetitions of oủai $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ in St．Matthew xxiii． For this special use of $l \dot{\omega}, \mathrm{cf} . f r$ ．
 т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a$ ；（quoted by Suidas as from the Peace）．
247 катацєцบттштєขนє́va．War is concocting a $\mu \nu \tau \tau \omega \tau$ ós，a dish of strong flavours．For the metaphor，cf．Vesp．

63，тòv aủтд̀ $\nu$ ä $\nu \delta \rho a \mu \nu \tau \tau \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$（with Starkie＇s note）．＂Oh Megara，Megara， what a drubbing you＇ll get in a minute－ every particle pounded into pudding！＂

249 т к $\lambda a \cup ́ \mu a \tau \alpha$, which comes in $\pi a \rho \alpha{ }^{2} \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o k l a \nu$ for $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ oкópoja，has a double reference to the effects of garlic and of distress．

250 ミıкелía．The Athenian expedi－ tion which went out under Laches to aid the Ionians in 427 B．C．had returned under Pythodorus after the congress of Gela in 424 ，much to the annoyance of the Athenians（Thuc．iv．65）．In 422 Phaeax had been sent out to organise opposition to Syracuse，but had failed （Thuc．v．4）．

каі бั̀ 8’．For ка亡．．．$\delta$＇́，see Jebb on Soph．Phil．1362，where it is maintained that in this combination kai is the con－ junction，while $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ adds the force of＂also．＂

251 Dobree can scarcely be wrong in giving this line to Trygaeus，who makes a comment in every other case．
módis．So the large island of Euboea is called a $\pi$ ó ${ }^{\prime}$ cs，Eur．Ion 294 ：Lemnos， Hom．Il．xiv． 230 ：Aegina，Pind．Nem． vii． 9 （Schol．）．But the immense power and wealth of Syracuse are perhaps chiefly in the speaker＇s mind．

סıaкvaเซӨグซєтal．＂Will be worn down，＂with a clear suggestion of катакขךбөウ́бєтає（cf．Eq．771，Vesp．965）， ＂will be grated down，＂like the cheese which War has thrown into the mortar to represent the Sicilians．For Sicilian cheese，cf．Theocr．i．58，Hermipp．fr． 63 ， 9 ，etc．

252 The Schol．comment at some length on the favour shown to the Athe－ nians，who are represented by a more dignified form of food and are not cursed．It would be strange if it were otherwise．

TP．ô̂тos，$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \omega ิ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \tau \iota ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$.

ПО．$\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \mathrm{K} v \delta о \iota \mu \in ́$.

## KYAOIMOE

$\tau i \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i s ;$
По．
к入аи́бєє $\mu а к \rho a ́$.
255

TP．$\quad$ ís $\delta \rho \iota \mu u ́ s$.
KY．oíцоє ноє та́入ая，$\hat{\omega} \delta \in ́ \sigma \pi о т \alpha$.

ПО．оїбєเई $\alpha \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \beta \alpha \nu о \nu ~ \tau \rho є ́ \chi \omega \nu ;$
KY．
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon$,

260



 a clear case of dittography（ $\Theta$ AT having given birth to $\theta \mathrm{AI} \theta \mathrm{AT}$ ）．Ë $\tau \in \rho o s$ fre－ quently suffers crasis（supr．15，Ran． 1104，etc．，Herodas passim）and pro－ delision（Ran．64，Ach．828，Lys．736）．

254 тєтрผ́ßoえov．Schol．àvti toû $\pi 0 \lambda v-$

 Blaydes（cr．n．）finally disposes of the correction $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \beta b \lambda o v$ ，which had been generally adopted．The termination －aios was used to form the adjective where a single（or half）coin，weight or measure was in question ；in the case of plurality the shorter forms are regular． Thus we find $\delta$ paxulaios（Ar．fr．425），
 （Dem．833），but $\delta$ itrá入avtos（Dem．329）．



тáтtเко仑̂．Cf．Thesm．1192，ís
 Hor．Od．ii．6．14，Ubi non Hymetto｜ Mella decedunt．
255 Kvбоццє́．＂Hurly－burly＂ （Paley）．Personified together with＂Epts， Hom．1l．xviii．535，with＂Apns，Emped． $a p$ ．Athen．xii． 5 Io C．Here the slave of Polemos．

257－8 The point of these verses was much improved by Hermann，who split
them up as in the text．Formerly they were both given to Kudoimos．No change to $\dot{e v e ́} \beta a \lambda_{\epsilon \nu}$ is needed．As in 253，Trygaeus addresses Polemos， though it would not do for the latter to hear or see him．

259 ol＇नets；Interrogative future of command，for oủk oïбets ；cf．Nub．1299， $\alpha \ddot{d} \epsilon \epsilon$ ；The Homeric form of the im－ perative，oì＇ol̃＇，which Dobree suggests， occurs Rant．482，Ach．1099，1101， 1122.
260 éX $\theta^{\prime}$ és．＂Only yesterday．＂
261 тaxù $\pi$ ávv．This phrase（Plut． 57，Thesm．916，Lys．864），or $\pi$ ávv $\tau a \chi$ v́ （Eupol．fr．311），gives the most probable correction of an unmetrical line．For the list of cases where a vowel is found lengthened before a mute and liquid in Aristophanes（in iambics），see Kopp $a p$ ． Starkie，Vesp．151．Putting aside the cases of tragic diction，they are few and easily disposediof．In Eq． 207 （ $\mu \bar{a} \kappa \rho \bar{\nu} \nu$ ） Dawes＇correction is necessary，as the article is required with $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} s$ in the comparison（cf．Eq．1074）；in Plut． 166 $\gamma^{\nu} a \phi \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \mathrm{i}$ is probable，since $\gamma^{\nu} a \phi \in i o \nu$ is found is an inscription only thirty years later than the Plutus（Meister－ hans，Gramm．p．75，note 647）；in Nub． 869，к $\kappa \epsilon \mu \bar{\alpha} \theta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ cannot be counten－ anced after к $\rho \epsilon \mu a \check{\theta} \theta \rho a s$ ，ib． 218 （read oữ $\omega$ for ou with Bentley）；in Thesm．

KY. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \omega \gamma є$ in $\Delta i^{\prime \prime} \cdot \epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \eta, \gamma \epsilon, \kappa \lambda \alpha v ́ \sigma о \mu \alpha$.

óрâtє тòv кivסuvov $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̄ \nu$ w's $\mu \in ́ \gamma a s . ~$



ПО. oûtos.
KY. $\quad$ тí $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$;
ПО
KY.
oủ $\phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$;
тò $\delta \in i v a ~ \gamma a ́ \rho$,
à $\pi o ́ \lambda \omega \lambda$ ' 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \quad \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i \beta \alpha \nu o s$,



II84, Tuyátpoov may be conceded to the barbarian $\tau \circ \underline{\xi} \delta \tau \eta s$, though vaîkı vaîkt (Fritzsche) is a probable correction. $\delta \rho \bar{\chi} \chi \mu \eta$ might with confidence be sent to join the above, but for the great difficulty of altering Pl. Io19. See infr. 1201, n.

As to other corrections, $\gamma \in$ is unsuitable in the negative question, and it is not likely to qualify 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$, since no other possible lenders have been mentioned. Bothe's $\tau a \chi \dot{v} \tau a \chi^{v}$ is very simple : cf. Ach. 425, $\pi 0 \lambda \grave{v} \pi 0 \lambda \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega \chi \iota \sigma$ $\tau \epsilon \rho o v$. This mode of forming the superlative of adverbs is common in Greek in post-Graeco-Roman times. See Jannaris, Historical Gk. Gramm., § 521; he quotes $\tau \alpha \chi \dot{v} \tau a \chi u ́$ from papyri.

263-4 Ada̛ressed to the audience.
263 тóvทp'. Of pity. Cf. Ran. 852, $\dot{\omega} \pi \delta \dot{\nu} \eta \rho^{\prime}$ Evjptrión. For the accent of the word so used, see Chandler, Greek Accent. § 405.
ảvӨрஸ́mเa. Cf. infr. 751, ảvөp $\omega \pi l$ lokous, supr. 51 , àvóploıбъ.
265 á $\lambda \in \tau \rho(\beta a v o v$. For the persistent attempts of the inferior MSS. (here and infr. 269, 282) to scan ádē $\tau \rho \bar{\beta} \beta a \nu 0 s$, see Intr. p. 43.

266 тарáget. This word has been suspected, as being inappropriate to the use of a pestle. But its constant connection with кика̂ $\nu$ may defend it; cf. infr. $320,654, E q$. 251 , etc. In any case катара́ $\xi \epsilon \ell$, "dash in pieces" (Kock, Verisim., p. 166), is no more fitting ; Herwerden's latest suggestion кaтєре८ $\xi \in \iota$ is better. Cf. Ran. 505, катєрєккт $\hat{\nu}$ : Vesp.
 Bacch. iv. 5 7, Tam frictum ego illum reddam, quam frictumst cicer.
käńp ${ }^{\text {ves }}$. "Without stirring from his seat."
$267 \Delta$ tóvvo'. No doubt Trygaeus turns in prayer to the statue of Dionysus Eleuthereus in the orchestra, brought regularly from his temple by the ephebi on the night before his great festival. Cf. infr. 442.

268 8eîva ( 0, , тò) in Aristophanes is always used in stumbling at something, generally to gain time. It thus either anticipates a clause, as here, "No, be-cause-what was I going to say ?--the Athenians. . . . " or a single word, like our "what's-his-name," "what-do-you-call-it." For the first, cf. $A v .648$, árà $\rho$

 ' $\mu \mu \in ́ v \eta$ ns; So perhaps $L y 5.921,926$ refer to the clause coming rather than to a single word. For the second use, cf. Th.621, ${ }^{*} \sigma \theta \theta^{\prime}$
 In Ach. II49 tò $\delta \epsilon^{i v} a$ is euphemistic.

In Demosthenes the word is always masculine, is used deliberately for "so-and-so," and is inflected in several cases, singular and plural.
y $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ here implies a negative answer. Cf. Eur. Alc. 147, etc.

269 à $\lambda \varepsilon \tau p!$ íavos. For Cleon as pestle,




270 ßupซoт $\hat{\lambda} \lambda \eta$. Cf. $E q$. passim.



 àv́vas $\tau \iota$ ；
KY．$\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \tau^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \pi o \theta^{\prime}$ ．
TP．$\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma, \tau i ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ ；v̂̀v ả $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s$.



 280
 $\eta^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon$ Buttm．：$\pi \rho i \nu \tau b \nu \delta \epsilon$ Bergk． $274 \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ V Ald．：$\gamma \epsilon \tau_{\iota} \mathrm{R}: \gamma \epsilon \tau \nu \nu^{\prime}$ Dind．

 ＂How kind of him to die．＂Here，as usual，this is not a formula of direct thanks，but an expression of thankful－ ness．Cf．Plat．Symp． 174 E，є $\mathfrak{\text { ITo }}$ oủv
 $\pi o t \omega ̂ \nu \sigma$ v́．＂I am very glad you did．＂
 tias ．．．íयeis кa入へ̂s $\pi$ oloûvtes toùs кd́ртоиs кєко́ $\mu \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．＂I am thankful to say．＂

272 èv Séovtı．Cf．Eur．Alc．817，

 $\gamma \in \uparrow \hat{s}, \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$ ．

273 ї $\pi \rho / v \gamma$ є．No correction of this impossible reading is satisfactory．$\pi \rho i v$ $\eta$ is is only found in Homer and Herodotus， $\epsilon i \pi \rho i v$ involves a highly improbable ellipse of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau \sigma$ ，and $\pi \rho i v \tau \dot{\partial} \nu \delta \dot{\delta}($（i．e．$\tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ $\Pi b \lambda \epsilon \mu \nu \nu)$ is most awkward owing to the close proximity of $\tau \grave{\nu} \mu \nu \tau \tau \omega \tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ ．Further， the sense of the line is poor，${ }^{\text {en }} \gamma x$ éar being distinctly out of place；it is the pounding of the $\mu u \tau \tau \omega \tau$ ós about which Trygaeus is anxious．No doubt the line has come in from an adscript．

274．$\delta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ ．For the reading，see Intr．p． 36.

275 тג̀ิт＂．＂Right you are，＂of a ready response to an order．Cf．Eq． 111，Vesp． 142 ：$\delta \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega{ }^{\prime \prime}$ тaûta，Lys．1030．
ท̂ke．＂Come back，＂as often．
276 To the audience，as supr． 263.
 in the mysteries of the Káßetpot，which are first mentioned by Herodotus（ii．51），who says that they were derived from the Pelasgians，and which are discussed in a somewhat rambling manner by Dio－ dorus（iv．43， 48 ；v．47－49）．They were saving gods，whose aid was sought in cases of sudden peril，often by sea．Cf． Diod．v．49，$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \epsilon \beta \sigma \eta \tau a \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ тоúт $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu v \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$. See Dict．Ant．s．v． Cabeiria，Dict．Biog．and Myth．s．v． Cabeiri．

278 vvิv द́ซтเv ．．．ка入óv．＂Now＇s the time．＂Cf．infr．292，Soph．Phil． 1155 ，etc．So $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \kappa \\ \lambda\end{gathered} \hat{\varphi}$, e．g．Soph．$E l$ ．


279 àmo $\pi$ трафฑ̂vai．Blaydes sug－ gests $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ ，which is regular for
 $\pi$ tojas（Hom．Od．xxii．173），$\chi \in i ̂ p a s, ~(L y s$. 455，Soph．O．T．1154），$\dot{\omega} \mu o \nu(E q .263)$ ， is used of forcing back a limb with intent to disable．But surely the sense expected after $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ is $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \kappa i \nu \delta v v o \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ， the verb $\dot{\alpha} \pi \frac{\sigma}{2} \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon L \nu$ being particularly applied to the averting of evil．When the messenger＇s feet are suddenly sub－ stituted，$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \sigma \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ does duty for


280 кäт＇ờог $\mu a ́ \lambda a$ ．＂And yet again，alas！＂Cf．supr．53，n．

HIO．$\tau i ́ t$ è $\sigma \tau \iota$ ；$\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oùk aî̀ фépets；
KY ．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\grave{\alpha}} \rho$

ПО．$\quad \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma, \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \nu o u \hat{\rho} \gamma^{\prime} ;$
KY．єiş тàmi Өрákทs хшpía

 285








281－4 The wonderful successes of Brasidas in the Thraceward regions， 424－422 B．C．（Thuc．iv．78－v．Io），had been ended by his death in the battle of Amphipolis eight months before the date of this play．
 article was regularly omitted in comedy and popular speech with the names of certain peoples，including $\Lambda а к є \delta a \iota-$
 See Bachmann，Conj．pp．43－44；cf． Meisterhans，Gramm．Inschr．p．225，14， and note．Hence Bachmann would read kaúroîs，not observing that the presence of $\kappa$ al makes the definite article practically a necessity．See infr． 503，n．

283 eis of the destination for which he was lent．Cf．supr．37，n．
 ward districts．＂Cf．Vesp．288，Lys． 103，Ach．602，Av．1369，Thuc．passim． It is absurd to question the meaning of $\epsilon \pi \ell$ in this phrase on the ground that Aristophanes does not use the preposi－ tion（with gen．）of motion elsewhere （Bachm．Conj．p． 67 ；Sobolewski，Praep． p．165）．The phrase does not belong to the composition of Aristophanes，but is a proper name．

285 є 271，n．

288 тоทंбона．．＂Make＂（for my－ self），not＂get made＂；cf．supr． 69.
 тои̂т＇＇єкєivo，the second pronoun is
originally a predicate：lit．＂this is that＂ （＂which we know of，＂a common use of éreivos，cf．240）．Hence it is used when what was，or might have been，expected actually comes about．E．g．Av．354， where the birds charge in battle array，
 they come．＂Cfo infr． 516, Ran．318， Eur．Hel．622．Then，in quotation，lit． ＂this is a case of that saying＂；cf．Eur．
 this sense the two pronouns are some－ times，as here，thrown together as a single subject，the predicative force of éкeìvo being forgotten．Cf．Eur．Tro．

 a passage which makes against the punctuation of Blaydes，тoìt＇$\epsilon^{\text {E }} \kappa \in \hat{i v}$＇， グкєє：Ach． 820 ；Plat．Euthyd． 296 в．
ท̈кєь．＂Comes in＂；not far from тробйкєє．
$\Delta a ́ t t \delta o s . ~ S c h o l . ~ \Delta a ̂ t ı s ~ . ~ . ~ \epsilon ̇ \beta a p \beta a ́ \rho-~$
 ঠ̀̀ tò $\tau$ тои̂to $\delta a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$＇s．The last sentence may be true，but the Schol．very likely invented $\delta a t \iota \sigma \mu$ ós on the analogy of $\beta a p \beta a \rho \iota \sigma \mu \delta s$ ，$\sigma v \lambda o t \kappa t \sigma \mu b s$ ，and Datis，the defeated at Marathon，was a natural person on whom Aristophanesmight father a bit of bad Greek．Another scholastic view makes $\Delta \hat{\alpha} \tau \iota s$ the nickname of the tragedian（Xenocles），son of Carcinus． This view has been defended and illustrated fully by van Leeuwen in Mnemosyne，xvi．p． 435 sqq．（Cf．his note on Ran．86）．
 v̂̀v є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu, \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma, \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{o} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \iota \pi \rho \alpha \gamma_{\mu} \alpha^{\tau} \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\mu} \mu \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$


 каі ঠпиноир үоі̀ каі $\mu$ є́тоькоь каі $\xi^{\prime} є о \iota$




## XOPOE






291 xalpopat is，of course，the offend－ ing word to which Datis is led by the analogy of the other two verbs．Cf．Eq．



293 Cf．Ach．269，$\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ каi $\mu a \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \mid$ каl $\Lambda a \mu \alpha ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ảma入入aүєís．

295 ＇́t $\epsilon$ pov．．．tıva．Not Alci－ biades，as A．Palmer suggests，but quite indefinite．Cf．Ran．767，etc．

296－8 All these classes，down to $\mu$ érosкot inclusive，would be opposed to war from the trading motive，while in the case of the islanders the pressure of tribute was greater，and the metics had very heavy burdens to endure．Blaydes seems to take $\mu \epsilon$ rotкоь каi $\xi \in \nu 0 \iota$ as one class，quoting Eq．347，катà そ̧́vou $\mu \in \tau о$ iкои，but that phrase has always been regarded as peculiar，and in $A c h$ ：505－ $508 \xi \in \nu 06$ are unmistakably separated from $\mu$ ย́тoוкol．छ́vol are here no doubt the foreign friends，present at the Great Dionysia even during the war，as we see from Ach．l．c．

300 áyatov̂ $\delta a l \mu o v o s ~ i s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma-~$ סокiav，dं $\rho \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ having been first under－ stood of the pulling．At the conclusion of dinner（or at any time by the bibulous， Eq．IO6）a libation was poured and a toast drunk to the Good or Lucky Spirit， before beginning the $\sigma \cup \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota o v . \mathrm{Cf}$ ．
 $\mu \iota \sigma$ Òv $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta$ oû $\delta \alpha l \mu o \nu o s$, and Starkie＇s note． The genitive may be explained by an ellipse of äкрatov oivov（cf．Eq．85），as of $\sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ or oivov in Eq．106，$\sigma \pi \in i \sigma 0 \nu$ dं $\alpha, \theta 0 \hat{u}$ jalpovos．Dr．Verrall suggests a colon after $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，rendering $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta 0 \hat{u}$ סaluovos，＂Here＇s to good luck！＂

301 єv่Өv̀ тท̂s ơwtทpias．＂Slapbang for salvation．＂

302 Пavє́ $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in$ ．See Intr．pp． 3－4．

303 какผิv фоเทเкเкผิv．＂Blood－red pests，＂alluding to the $\phi$ oiviclís of infr． II73，may be right，but，unless there is some topical allusion to the Phoenicians， it is hard to believe that Aristophanes used фоьขıкıкós for фоьขıкоûs．Schol．V evidently saw the possibility of $\phi \circ \iota \nu \kappa k l \delta \omega \nu$
 part of the line points strongly to как⿳⿵人一⿲丶丶㇒一⿱⿻土㇒日幺十 being a substantive，nor do the uses of какós make it a good epithet for the cloaks，unless indeed those provided by the＂War Office＂were bad ones．Schol． V also renders $\phi о \iota \nu \iota \kappa \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ by ai $\mu \alpha \tau \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ， ＂evils of bloodshed，＂but this is to use фоьขเкєко́s（never used except for＂Phoe－ nician，＂elsewhere）for $\phi$ oเขเкoûs，without the excuse of a pun on фoivixlís．
$304 \mu$ нода́ $\mu a \times$ os．For the form，cf． infr．662，щıботортакเбта́тך，Vesp．1165，


 ті̀ $\nu \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma і \sigma \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha i ~ \phi ı \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$.


XO．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ àкои́балтєs тоוoútov $\chi \alpha i ́ \rho о \mu є \nu ~ к \eta \rho u ́ \gamma \mu а т о s . ~$




$\mu$ нооג́ккш．For Aristophanes on Lam－ achus，see Ach．passim．

305 тpòs rád ${ }^{2}$ and $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a u ̂ \tau a ~ a r e ~$ used in taking up a strong position， properly＂regarding this as settled，＂and are followed by an imperative or its equivalent．Aristophanes uses $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau \alpha \dot{d} \delta$ only here and $E q .622$（never in senarii）， $\pi \rho$ òs raûta thirteen times（Sobolewski， Praep．p．177）．
ápXiтєктóvєl．＂Be our foreman．＂ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ is never an＂architect＂； apart from the fact that the first part of the compound，almost unfelt in English， is most prominent in Greek，the word is specially used of engineering．Cf．Herod． iii．60，д́ $\rho \chi \iota \tau \in \in \tau \omega \nu$ тồ ópúy $\mu a \tau o s$. Hence it is appropriate to dealing with this pit．

306 ठoк $\omega$ ．The reading of MSS．is a perfectly normal periphrasis for oủ $\dot{\text { oкर }} \hat{\omega}$

 Blaydes，who strangely regards $\delta о к \hat{\omega}$ as subjunctive（like Paley），most unneces－ sarily reads $\dot{\delta} к о і \bar{\mu} \mu \nu$ ．Herwerden follows suit．
$307 \mu \eta$ Xavaîซtv．Probably the im－ plements mentioned supr．299，though the phrase might also be taken as a quaint zeugma，helped by alliteration，as if we were to say，＂by pulleys and patience，＂＂by ropes and resource．＂
308 ф $\llcorner\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu . \quad$ Substituted for $\phi \iota \lambda a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ отá $\eta \eta$（Paley）．
$3098 \pi \omega$ s with fut．indic．，in a purely final clause，occurs frequently in Aris－ tophanes，though the construction is almost unknown to the best Attic prose． See Goodwin，M．T． 324.
 War－god＇blazing out．＂

311 кпри́үцатоя．The кท́риүца，so called for the sake of the next line，refers to Trygaeus＇s summons of 296 ．

312 ท̂v．Sc．кєкทриүне́vov from кทрúr－ натоs．
$\sigma เ \tau i ’$ ग่ $\mu \in \rho \omega ิ \nu$ трเติv．This form of order for campaigners is constantly re－ ferred to by Aristophanes，e．g．Ach． 196

 $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ т $\rho t \omega \bar{\nu}$ ．So it is parodied，with the substitution of oj $\gamma \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu$, Vesp．243， ऽ $\omega \mu$ óv，infr．716，for $\sigma \iota \tau l a$ ．

313 The impossible variant given by B Ald．is notable enough，being not easily explained as an adscript．Brunck， noticing that C also omits кd́ $\tau \omega \theta \in \nu$ ，con－
 but too much importance must not be attached to the vagaries of B Ald．See Intr．p． 43.

 $\kappa \dot{d} \tau \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{e} \nu \theta \dot{d} \dot{d} \epsilon$ ．As the scene is in heaven，the terms are curiously in－ accurate．

Kє́p $\beta$ єpov．For Cleon，when alive，as a vicious dog，cf．Eq．1030，фpásev，
 infr． 754 and Vesp．1031，$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ кархар $\delta \delta o \nu \tau \iota$. Now that he is dead，the identification with Cerberus is even more fitting．It may have been his regular nickname； Schol．tells us that Plato Com．also applied the term to him．
$314 \pi a \phi \lambda a^{\zeta} \omega \nu$ ．Used to remind the audience of Cleon the Пaф入аү⿳亠丷厂彡 in the Knights．Cf．infr．474，n．

314 кєкраүш́s．Cleon by his loud and passionate oratory was thought to have lowered the dignity of debate．Cf．
 ..... 315






 $\theta \epsilon \omega \nu$,



325
 codd．hic et alibi．

Eq．137，кєкра́ктәs，Kขклоßброи фшข̀̀ $\nu$ Є̀ $\chi \omega \nu$ ：ib．256， 486 （cf．218）：Vesp．596， К入є́ $\omega \nu$ о̀ кєкраछььá $\mu a s:$ Arist．Ath．Pol．


 parody of Eur．Heracl．976－977，тoûtov（＇，

 Med．793．The words oütı кai vûv can scarcely be right．The Greek will not stand the rendering＂now also＂（as well as when alive）；it must mean＂even now，＂which is nonsense．As an emen－ dation which explains the corruption，I venture to write oủ $\delta$＇$\epsilon \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ ，＂not even one of the dead．＂Cf．Alc．867，广ך入 $\hat{\omega}$ $\phi \theta \iota \mu \notin \nu$ ovs，кєivcuv＂̈ра $\mu \alpha \iota$ ，кєiv＇є่ $\pi \iota \theta v \mu \hat{\omega}$

 thrown forward makes the parody of Heracl．1．c．more complete．It should be added that the parody in Vesp．1160 makes it certain that the Heracleidae was exhibited before 422 B．C．In favour of Meineke＇s outi $\chi \alpha l \rho \omega \nu$ is the constant use of oürt in this connection（cf．Starkie on Vesp．186），but the corruption is not so easily explained．

317 lov，as a cry of joy．Cf．Aesch． Ag．25，Ar．Eq．1096，Av．8ı9．For the accent，see Chandler，Greck Accentuation， § 903.
319 тávтa тavтட．＂Everything round about．＂Cf．Eq．99：Cratinus fr． 186，वٌ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ таи̂та катак入и́бєє $\pi о เ \eta ่ \mu \alpha \sigma เ \nu . ~$
roîv moסoîv．＂With his paws，＂still of Cerberus．

320 ws кuкátш к．т．$\lambda$ ．If this is a case of $\dot{\omega} s$ for $\dot{\iota} \sigma \theta \iota \dot{\omega} s$ ，it is perhaps the only instance of its use with the impera－ tive．For the verbs，cf．Aesch．Pr． 994 ， Soph．Ant． 745.

323 8id $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \times \eta \mu a \tau a$. ＂For the sake of your antics．＂Cf．Eur．Cycl．220， $\epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{l} \mu^{\prime} \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma a \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \mid \pi \eta \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$


325 т $\omega$ бкє́ $\lambda_{\epsilon}$ ．Inscriptions prove that vowel－stems of the third declension uniformly showed $-\varepsilon \iota$ ，not $-\eta$ ，in the nom． and acc．dual．See Meisterhans， Gramm．Iuschr．p． 200 （note 1627）． Pre－Eucleidean inscriptions can prove nothing for themselves，as in the Attic alphabet E stood for H and EI；but the truth is proved for them by several inscriptions in the Ionic alphabet within a year or two after 403 B．C．

Aristophanes always uses the dual for a man＇s legs：т山े $\sigma \kappa \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$（as we must correct）is frequent，roîv $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda 0 i ̂ \nu$ ，supr． 24 I ，and $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \circ i \nu$ ，＂a pair of legs，＂Lys． II72；but $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \lambda \eta$ occurs Lys．II70，of the Megarian walls．

Xорєบ́єтоv．Aristophanes always uses a dual verb after a dual subject，except once in his last play，the Plutus（ 484 ，
 instance in inscriptions of a dual verb occurs 417 B．C．，the first of a plural verb， so used 408 B．C．See Meisterhans， Gramm．Inschr．pp．165， 199.






TP．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\circ} \rho \bar{\alpha} \tau^{\prime}$ ，oű $\pi \omega \pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \alpha \nu \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．
XO．$\quad$ тоито $\bar{i} \nu \grave{\eta}$ тò̀ $\Delta i a$





TP．




$326 \mu$＇$\tau i \mu \mathrm{~L} \quad \nu v \nu i \gamma$ ．For the rejection of кai $\nu v{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ ，see supr． 316 ，n． The confusion of кal and $\mu \circ \mathrm{o}$ is not uncommon；$\kappa$ and $\mu$ closely resemble one another in the cursive hands of the first three centuries A．D．
327 Cf．Eur．Herc．867；गᄁv iōov́，каi

kail $\delta \eta$ ．＂I have stopped．＂Cf．Av． 175．III．$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi о \nu$ ка́тш．ЕП．каì ठク̀ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega$ ．Cf．supr． 178.

328 € €кरv́ซal，of a dance or fling，with cognate accusative．Cf．Nub．540，oưסغ $\kappa б \rho \delta a \chi$＇єì $\lambda \kappa v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．
kal，＂and then．＂Cf．the use of et， Virg．Ecl．iii．106，Dic quibus in terris ．．et Phyllida solus habeto．

330 ＂Stop dancing？why，certainly，＂ say the chorus，without stopping in the least．The interest of this part of the play would be merely spectacular．Com－ pare the end of the Wasps．
$332 \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．＂We cease，＂not＂we stop．＂The verb is poetical and non－ Attic，except in relation to the year and its seasons．It is found elsewhere in Ar． only with epic phrases（infr．1076，I328）， though Plato and Xenophon，as might be expected，use it freely（ H ．Richards in Class．Revı，xi．p．419）．
 are the words used for a voluntary con－ tribution to the State．So here，＂I make you a free gift of that．＂
$\omega \ddot{\sigma \tau \epsilon}$ ，conditional．
$334{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \tau$ àvayкаíws eैXov．This peri－ phrastic phrase occurs also Aesch．Cho．


 $\mu \dot{\text { antes }} \hat{\eta}$ ．

336 тò $\gamma$ ท̂pas ėк $\delta$ v́s．The regular phrase for a snake casting its slough， $\gamma \hat{\eta} p a s$ being technical for the latter．Cf．



 other meaning of the words，as applicable to men，is also understood，and，if we would pile on jests，we may follow Bergler in seeing a pun on the two senses of $\dot{a} \sigma \pi i s$ ． The latter joke is worked out in full， Vesp．17－23．
338 т $\eta$ เккаิิта $=$ tum cum maxime， though often used with less exactness．

 take I rank as a man？＂O．T． 76. Originally it meant＂at that time of day．＂
$\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ ßоа̂тє ка̀̀ $\gamma є \lambda \hat{\alpha} \tau^{\prime} \cdot{ }^{\prime}$

$\pi \lambda \epsilon i v, ~ \mu e ́ v \in \epsilon \nu, \beta \iota \nu \epsilon i v, \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon u ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota a ̄ \sigma \theta \iota, \kappa о \tau \tau \alpha \beta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\sigma \cup \beta a \rho ı a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota v$, ioû iov̂ кєкраүє́val． 345




 exact than $\tau \eta \nu \iota \kappa \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ，equivalent to $\tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon$ $\delta \eta$ ．
$341 \pi \lambda \epsilon i v, \mu$ ย́vetv．A formula for following one＇s own devices：cf．Ach． 198 （of the $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta a l$ ），кá $\nu \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau t ~ \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma o v \sigma \iota$, $\beta a i v$＇$\delta \pi \pi \eta$＇$\theta \in \lambda \in t s$ ．The sea being the railway of Athens，there need be no allusion to trade in $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ ．With $\mu$ évelv understand otko．
Bıvєiv．In seven other passages of Aristophanes MSS．greatly favour кเขєiv in this sense（cf．$\pi \rho о \sigma к \iota \nu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}$ ），and it is hard to explain them all by the common confusion between $\beta$ and $\kappa$ （Cobet，V．L．p．217）．But it may be noted that if кıขoú $\mu \in \nu=\frac{1}{}$（ $N u b_{0}$ ．IIO3，etc．） is sound there must be an utterly inap－ propriate pun in Vesp．IIII．
$342 \pi a \nu \eta(\gamma v p i s$ is a very general term for a festal gathering，ranging from the great national festivals，such as that of
 $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$（Eur．Herc．1283）．
343 котта $\beta$ द彑єเข．Cf．infr．1244，note．
344 oußapıd́g＇tเv．The first syllable of $\Sigma u ́ \beta \alpha \rho / s$ is short（Vesp．1259，1427， 1435，1438，fr．216）：so the form given by MSS．is wrong．Meineke＇s correc－ tion is proved by Schol．V＇s reading of a line from Phrynichus，$f r .64$ ，$\pi$ ohùs $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$ $\sigma \cup \beta a \rho t a \sigma \mu \dot{s} s$ aủ $\eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu<\tau o ́ \tau^{\prime}$ Mein．＞$\hat{\eta} \nu$ ， where Ald．has $\sigma v \beta a \rho \iota \sigma \mu 6$ s．Hesychius gives the forms $\sigma v \beta p ı a \sigma \mu o ́ s$, $\sigma \nu \beta p i a ́\} \epsilon t$, which are as impossible here as $\sigma \nu \beta a p i \zeta \in \iota$ itself；cf．supr．261，n．If Aristophanes could have lengthened the vowel before $\beta \rho$ ，he would have done the same with $\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota s$ ，$\dot{v} \beta p i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$ ，in some of the thirty places where these words occur．Other
worthless corrections（e．g．$\pi v \delta a p i\} \epsilon \omega)$ have been proposed．

346 This line must be considered together with infr．385，582．All three lines must be either（1）trochaic tetra－ meter catalectic，or（2）trochaico－cretic． The difference consists in the presence or absence of one syllable．So far as the MSS．go，lines 346 and 582 must both be changed，and can easily be made to accord to either view，while in 385 the question depends on $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，in a position where the danger of haplography or dittography is alike great．We may write either ：
 таút $\eta \nu \pi о \tau \in ́$（Porson）．
385．$\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s, \quad \hat{\omega}$ ठ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \theta^{\prime}$＇ $\mathrm{E} \rho \mu \hat{\eta}$ ， $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{\omega}, \mu \grave{\eta}, \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{s}(A l d$.$) ．$
582．$\chi \alpha i ̂ \rho \epsilon, \chi \alpha i ̂ \rho$, 山ंs $\dot{j} \lambda \theta \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \in ́ v o l s, \dot{\omega}$ ф८ $\lambda \tau \alpha \dot{T} \eta$（Din－ dorf）．
 $\mu \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$（Bergk）．
385．$\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s, \tilde{\omega} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \sigma \theta$＇＇$巨 \rho \mu \hat{\eta}$ ， $\mu \eta \delta a \mu \omega \hat{s} \mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} s(\mathrm{~V})$ ．
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \dot{v} 0 เ s, \phi i \lambda \tau a ́ \tau \eta$（Bergk）．
The second view is more in conform－ ity with the cretic（largely paeonic） hexameter which follows，the first with the two trochaic tetrameters which then intervene．But the MSS．readings，slight as their help is，are on Bergk＇s side． Thus in 1． 346 the omission of $\overline{\text { wort }}$ from $R$ gives us our line，and its insertion is easily explained as a bungling attempt to reproduce the familiar trochaic metre． Porson＇s reading involves the transposi－ tion of $\eta \mu \hat{\mu} \rho a \nu$ as well as the omission of
$\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \sigma \chi{ }^{\circ} \mu \eta \nu$



 тoû， $35^{\circ}$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\alpha} \nu \mu^{\prime}$＇̋ooıs каì $\pi о \lambda \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu, \dot{a} \pi-$
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma^{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

о入入úцє $\theta \alpha$ каі̀ кататє－ 355
$\tau \rho i \mu \mu \in \theta \alpha \pi \lambda a \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$

$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{0 \prime} \tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \alpha \rho \iota-$



$\mu \varepsilon$ ．Then in 1． $385, \mathrm{~V}$ is a far safer guide than Ald．and B，who are both addicted to patching up metres．No－ thing is indicated by 1.582 ．
 same force as in $\xi_{\xi} \xi \sigma \tau \tau$ ，but while $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \tau \tau$ $=$ licet，$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma i \gamma \nu \varepsilon \tau a \iota=$ contingit，keeping up the idea of change proper to $\gamma i \gamma-$ $\nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ ．
347 àvєoxórŋv．For the single augment（required by the metre），cf．
 the double augment of this verb as the true form，see Rutherford，$N$ ．$P$ ． pp．85－86．
$\sigma \tau \iota \beta$ á $\delta$ as．The $\sigma \tau \iota \beta a ́ s$ or $\chi \alpha \mu \in \dot{v} \eta$ ，a low bed of leaves（［Eur．］Rhes．9）or rushes （Plut．541）was specially associated with the sturdy campaigner，Phormio．Cf． Eupol．fr． 254 （Schol．）：Suidas（s．v．Фop－ $\mu i \omega \nu)$ ，каi $\pi \alpha \rho о \iota \mu i a \cdot$ Фориi $\omega \nu$ оs $\sigma \tau i \beta a \dot{s}$, ė $\pi i$ $\tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \in u ̉ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．For its discomforts，cf．Plut．
 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ ．
348 The rough soldier－like character of Phormio（who is mentioned with evident admiration in $E q .562$ ）was sketched by Eupolis in the Taçiapqor． See Kock，Com．Att．Fr．i．pp．325－328， and long scholia in V．Bergler is right in seeing a pun（in connection with
$\sigma \tau \iota \beta \dot{d} \delta a s)$ on the word $\phi o p \mu o s_{s}$ ，which meant anything plaited of rushes，cords， etc．

351 áma入óv．We have no adjective in English which thus expresses the soft－ ness，suppleness and delicacy of youth． Cf．Av．667，ís ка入ò̀ тoưpvitcov，｜ís



355 кататєтрі $\mu \mu \in \mathrm{a}$ ．The simple verb is more usual in this sense．But cf． Thuc．viii．46，aủroùs $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{i}$ éavtoùs roùs


357 For the $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ called $\Lambda$ úkєเov， it must suffice to give references ：－ Suidas，Hesychius and Harpocration， s．v．；Dict．Geog．i．p． 303 ；Miss Har－ rison，Myth．and Mon．，pp．219－222．
 from the＂Momus＂of Achaeus：＂Ap A s o
 Hence the tragic form סópet，which should be read here and in the same quotation， Vesp．1081．Sópet is required by the metre，Soph．O．C． 620 ， 1314,1386 （in all of which passages，as here，all MSS．give $\left.\delta_{o p i}\right)$ ．On the possibility of its prevalence in tragic iambics，see Jebb on Soph． O．C． 1304.

359 aủтокра́тора．＂P Plenipotenti－


ary." The term was technically used at Athens almost entirely of ambassadors and civil officials. Cf. Av. 1595, Lys. roio, Thuc. v. 27, Arist. Ath. Pol. 3I, 2.

362 For the entrance of Hermes, see Intr. pp. 20, 24.

ֹิ $\mu$ rapè kail то $\lambda \mu \eta \rho \epsilon ́$. Cf. supr. 182183.

363 The Scholiasts are expansive on the subject of Killicon. He would seem at an unknown date to have betrayed Miletus (or Samos) to Priene, and, when asked what he was doing, to have answered $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mathbf{\gamma} \theta \dot{\alpha}$, a phrase which passed into a proverb. After oủṑv

 (Schol.).
364 ov̉kov̂v, خ̀v $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha x^{x} \omega$. "I suppose I shall, if my lot is drawn." Schol. says that at Athens, when a large number of men were sentenced to death, one was drawn by lot each day for execution, and that, as a reprieve was always possible, it was lucky to escape an early lot. This may be a mere guess from $e$ is $\tau\left\{\nu^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \rho a \nu\right.$ in 1. 366. Possibly, where numbers were large, some system like the Roman decimatio and vicesimatio prevailed. With Dobree's correction ỡк, $\bar{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \omega$ the general sense is the same, but $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in the next line is inconsequent. Still oủkoûv standing alone, with the verb understood, sadly needs confirmation.

 Hesych. s.v. : Schol. $\pi a l \grave{\zeta} \omega \nu$ тои̂тo $\lambda \epsilon \neq \epsilon \epsilon$.
 $\mu \in \kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$.
 the draw," lit. by means of the lot you will make me draw (or not draw) the lot. With $\pi \circ \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ understand $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \bar{\epsilon} \lambda a \chi \epsilon \bar{\nu}$, or

 But the phrase is suspicious; the best suggestions are Herwerden's $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \nu$ ("ut dicitur крiбty $\pi$ oteiv, sim. "), and Blaydes' earlier conjecture, $\kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \varphi \mu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ àmo $\lambda \epsilon i \hat{}$ ช̈ть.
366 єis тiv' $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \underline{p} p a v$; Cf. Eur. Alc.



For the casual tone, cf. $A v$. 1514,
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon$ єо

367 tis aùtika $\mu a \dot{\lambda}$ '. "This very instant." For $\epsilon l$ with an adverb (not always in the same sense), cf. $\epsilon$ is $\pi \dot{\sigma}$ т $\epsilon$
 єiov́otepov, єloaci, $\epsilon l s$ ö $\psi \epsilon$, etc. For a discussion on the subject, see Rutherford, N. P. p. 118 .

 Trygaeus takes the announcement of his fate as a summons to military service; not because death was so certain on campaign, but to point the moral of the play, that war and military service are accursed things.

EP． $\kappa \alpha i \not \mu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \iota \psi \alpha i \quad \gamma \epsilon$ ．
 $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \rho u ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu \varepsilon \cup \cup \rho \epsilon \theta_{\hat{l}}$ ；




369 каi $\mu \eta$ и，when introducing an emphatic statement（cf．the use of $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ and $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ in oaths），is usually followed， as here，by $\gamma \in$ placed after the emphatic word．Here the tone of voice would make the words adversative，＂Oh！but you＇re simply smashed，I declare，＂but in itself $k a \grave{\jmath} \mu \eta \nu$ merely states emphatically a new fact or thought．See Jebb on Soph． Aj．531，and（for instances in Ar．）A．von Bamberg，Exerc．Crit．in Plut．nov．p． 16.

 द̈ттароу；

370 áyatór may be merely ironical， but perhaps he means to imply that if ＂being smashed＂is all，that－death－is nothing to military service．Cf． 367 ，n．

ที่ $\theta \dot{\circ} \mu \eta v$ and $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} v$ seem to show that Trygaeus pretends to take the perfect tense used by Hermes literally．
371 âp＂oíq $\theta$ ．．．；＂Let me tell you plainly．＂ $\mathfrak{d} \rho^{\prime}$ oi $\sigma \theta a$ ；（ $\mathfrak{a} \rho^{\prime}$ t $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ ；）has four distinct uses or tones in colloquial speech：（1）in stating a sudden idea，as

 ．．．＂（2）in polite irony（sometimes correcting a misapprehension），as $N_{u z b}$ ．
 кака́；＂I may as well tell you that I delight ．．．＂Cf．Vesp．I336，infr． 479. （3）in threats or warnings，as $A v .1246$ ，
 $\mu \in \lambda a \theta \rho a$ ．．．катaıӨa入ف́ow；＂Let me give you fair warning ．．．＂So here
and $A v .1221:$ cf，the minatory ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \theta t, E q$ ． 948，Nub．39，1254．（4）in calling atten－ tion to the seriousness of a situation，as
 táxa；＂Don＇t you realise．．．？＂Cf． Vesp．4．Bachmann（Lex．Ar．Spec．，p． 4）classes all these nine passages together under＂apa exspectantis affirmationem， dubitantis tamen，＂but（apart from the fact that no answer is possible in any of the cases except Ach． 48 I ，Vesp．4）the pres－ ence of $\bar{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ is not essential．Thus for sense（2）cf．Eq．346，à $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\operatorname{T\sigma } \theta^{\prime} \ldots$ ； （3）$A$ v．1010－101I，oโ $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ．．．；（4） Eccl．547，oĩ $\theta$＇ôิv ．．．；
ôs div．The antecedent $\tau 0$ oú $\omega$ is sup－ pressed，as often．Cf．Soph．Aj．1050，
 orpatoû：Ant．ī35（Jebb＇s note）：Ar．Vesp． 586.

374 xolpíiov．A pig was sacrificed to Demeter in the rites of initiation at Eleusis，which are the rites here referred to．Cf．Ach．747，хоьрív $\mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota к \omega ิ \nu . ~$ Thus，when Dionysus and Xanthias in the Frogs come upon the $\mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau a t$ in Hades，Xanthias at once exclaims，
 （Ran．338）．For the purification by sea－ bathing of the $\mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta s$ and his pig，on the great day of ä $\lambda a \delta \varepsilon \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \iota$ ，see Miss Harrison，Proleg．Greek Rel．，p． 152.

375 The initiated were supposed to have special blessings in the world below．Cf．Ran．455，$\mu$ bvots rà $\rho$ huiv
 $\mu \epsilon \mu v \eta \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ．Compare the account of the Abodes of the Blessed，Virg．Aen．vi．

## EP．$\hat{\dot{\omega}} \mathrm{Z}_{\epsilon}$ к̂ кєраиขоßро́vта－

Scaliger． 382 ※＇p $\mu i \delta \iota \nu$ codd．：corr．Schwabe． 384 каil $\mu \eta$ 市 $\sigma \iota \omega \pi a ̂ \tau$＇R．

640，Largior hic campos aether et lumine vestit｜Purpureo，solemque suum， sua sidera norunt．

376 ฝ̂ Zєv̂．Probably not a mere interjection．Hermes yells out to tell Zeus，though the latter is＂out of Heaven＂（supr．207）．Cf．Intr．p． 11. For the form of the exclamation，cf． Bacchylides，viii． $10, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon p a v y \epsilon \gamma \chi \in{ }^{\prime} s$.
$378 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is shouted，and крє $\omega \hat{\nu}$ then substituted for the expected $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ． For the bribe，cf．supr． 192.
$379 \pi \rho \circ 0 \mathrm{v}^{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ is the emphatic word， the phrase being practically equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu o v ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s \quad \phi \in \rho \in L \nu$ ：his motive in coming was a passionate desire to feed Hermes．

380 d $\mu a \lambda \delta$ vivetv，a word of which the derivation is quite uncertain，is used by Homer only with $\tau$ ei $\chi o s$ as object，in the sense of＂crushing．＂So here，＂I shall be beaten into a powder．＂The word may now be found in Bacchylides xiv．3，
 cumbrous future is of course absurd，like the forms of the following verbs．

381 If there is any need to account for the quaint form тєтор $\eta \sigma \omega$ ，we must either suppose a reduplicated verb $\tau \epsilon \tau \circ \rho \hat{\omega}$ formed from $\tau \circ \rho \hat{\omega}$ ，like $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \mu a l \nu \omega$ in $N u b$ ．374，or，noting that Hesychius gives a reduplicated form rétopè（ex－ plained by ěrp $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ）imagine a＇comical future being formed from it．$\tau \circ \rho \in i \nu$ elsewhere is only to pierce，though the metaphorical use is familiar from the tragic ropŵs and Aeschylean topos．Cf．Thesm．986，

$\lambda \alpha к \eta \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} a \mathrm{t}$ ．A grandiose form in－
vented for the occasion．No future of入áokє $\nu$ is found．The verb is confined to Epic and tragedy，except for the numerous passages in which Aristo－ phanes brings it in to parody Euripides． See Rutherford，N．P．p． 43.
$382 \lambda$ ак $\eta \sigma \eta$ ns．The Chorus echo the conjugation used by Hermes，入aкท门бouac， è áкך $\sigma a$ ：they could do nothing else． The effect of $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta$ s ou（Blaydes and Herwerden）may be seen from a transla－ tion：＂I shall be pulverised，if I don＇t yozel．＂＂Oh！please don＇t yell．＂ Equally unhappy is Dr．Bury＇s reference （Hermathena，xxvi．p．96）to＂the un－
 410）．＂The latter form is either from the Doric $\lambda \bar{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon i ̀ v$ or（more probably） corrupt，while $\lambda$ ă $\kappa \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$ s is neither Attic nor Doric，but Hermean．The manuscript tradition of Aristophanes is not so good that we can afford to scorn a striking instance of its occasional soundness．

ఉิp $\mu$ ñंเov．Blaydes vigorously defends $\dot{\omega}^{\circ} \rho \mu \mathrm{i} i \delta i \mathrm{i} \nu$, pointing out that ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu \mathrm{\eta} s$ is a contraction of＇Eputas．But the exact parallel rnotoo $^{2} \mathrm{infr}$ ．570，to which Her－ werden refers，sets the matter at rest， since $\gamma \hat{\eta}$（from $\gamma^{f} \epsilon$ ）is an instance of the same contraction which we find in ＇Е $\rho \mu \bar{\eta} s$.
$384 \pi$ пóvpou．For the accent（which RV Ald．give correctly）see supr．263，n． $\epsilon i \delta \grave{~} \mu \eta$ ，from its frequent use after an affirmative verb，became such a formula for＂otherwise，＂that it was used even after a negative verb．Cf．


$\mathrm{XO} . \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \varsigma, \hat{\omega} \delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \pi o{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu \hat{\eta}, \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \varsigma, \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s, \quad[\hat{\alpha} \nu \tau, \alpha$.

Xoıрidıov oï $\theta a \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \epsilon-$



 390
 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu-$
Өршто́татє каї $\mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda о-$
ঠшро́татє $\delta \alpha \iota$ о́v $\omega \nu$,
 каí $\sigma \epsilon$ Өибіаเбเข iє-
$385 \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~V}$ : $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{s} \mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} \mathrm{R}$ : $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{s}$ $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{s}}$
 Pors. : $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi}$ codd. : $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ Bentl.: $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 \not \tau \hat{\psi} \delta \epsilon$ Dobr. $390 \mu \dot{\eta}$ - $\eta \mu i \nu \quad$ seclusit Dind. Locus desperatus; remedia promere non iuvat.


#### Abstract

385 For the metrical question, see supr. 346, n.

386 кєХaptopévov. "That has found favour." A Homeric participle, frequently found in the poetic prose of Herodotus and Plato. It never lost its Epic ring.

тap' 'frov. The extension from


 may be exactly paralleled by Plat. Rep. 406 D , тара̀ той laтрои̂ фа́риакоу $\pi \iota \dot{\omega} \nu$. Cf. Gorg. 467 c, Dem. Ol. iii. 33, and (perhaps) Lys. 562. Dr. Verrall takes the words as referring to a theft "from my premises" of the $\chi o t \rho \rho \delta \iota \nu$, which would subsequently be offered to Hermes by the thieves.

388 тоитчi. Richter would keep $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$, changing oủó́ to oủ in line 349 . We should then have a return to the trochaico-cretic adopted in lines 346, 385,582 . In the second antistrophe (infr: 582 sqq.) the verse corresponding to this or to the next line (probably to this) is unfortunately wanting.
$389 \theta \omega \pi \in$ viovor. It is bold of Trygaeus to use such an invidious word.
$390 \mu \eta े$ रévn- $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$. The metre is quite hopeless. There should be four cretics or first paeons, but what the MSS. offer is particularly nondescript. Recon-
struction is mere guesswork, but that of Hermann is worth quoting : $\mu \dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ mikotos


For $\pi$ a入íykotos used of a person cf. Theocr. xxii. 58, äypıos $\epsilon$ रो, $\pi \rho$ òs $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$ таліүкотоя.
392 גaßєîv. The subject is $\dot{\eta} \mu a \hat{s}$ understood.
 the well-known Peisander of Thuc. viii., who did so much to overthrow the democracy in 411 b.c. From Lys. 490 and fr. 8 I we gather that he was bribed to promote war, while his cowardice is spoken of in Av. 1556: ${ }^{z} \nu \theta a$ каi Пєi-

 Symp. ii. I4.
The mention of his "crest and brows" then is ironical, and he is merely a change for Cleonymus.
$\beta \delta \in \lambda$ v́t $\tau \epsilon$ เ. "Feelest sick at." Cf.


'̊фpûs. Of the hero's military frown. But in Ran. 925 Dionysus speaks of words of Aeschylus ò ópûs
 where $\delta \phi \rho \hat{s}$ s is not so easy. Possibly the word was used for the projecting "brow" of the helmet.
рaïஎ т тробódoıs $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́-$$\lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \delta_{\imath} \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ s, \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\omega}$
 ..... 400 

ö тoîs $\theta$ єoìs äт
 ..... 405

EP. ìva dì̀ тi тоû́o $\delta \rho a ̂ \tau o v ;$
'TP. óтiŋ $\nu \dot{\eta} \Delta i \alpha$410
$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ Ald.: $\tau \varepsilon \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho$ vûv $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda b \nu$ є $\mathfrak{l \sigma} \iota \nu$ V: versum om. R. 405 ảva-$\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon t s$ Hirschig. 409 iva ò̀ $\tau i$ Bentl.: iva $\tau i$ ò̀ RV: iva $\tau \ell$ ò̀ Ald.
$397 \pi$ poró8ors. A technical word for solemn processions, joined with Ovolat, as here, Nub. 307. Compare the $\pi \rho о \sigma o \delta \delta \iota a \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$ of Pindar.

400 ӧта. There must be some point in introducing such an ultra-poetical word into a colloquial line. Possibly
 tion from some familiar prayer or formulary. ö öa is quite in place, infr. 805 , in a lyric, with such a word as q ทpé $\sigma a \nu \tau o s$ next to it.

402 As $\Delta 6 \lambda_{\text {tos }}$ and god of thieves, Hermes would be accustomed to receive honour from such gentry, and he thinks little of the honesty of any one who prays to him fervently. The Chorus and Trygaeus are of course anxious to steal Peace.

V's $\tau \epsilon$ is impossible. No doubt it comes from dittography of -Tal (see Intr. p. 45, note 5); transposition was then necessary in the interests of metre. The omission of the line in R is due to homoioteleuton. Cf. supr. 187 (note), infr. S96.

405 тeíoars. The use of the obsolete form of the second pers. sing., first aor. opt., is no doubt a parody of Eur.

would strike Aristophanes as a ridiculous archaism. For these forms see Rutherford, N. P. p. 440.

Inquisitiveness is one of the points in the weak character of Hermes.

406 Scholiasts explain this conspiracy as referring to the eclipses and other meteorological portents observed during the Peloponnesian War. It more probably refers to the unsettled state of the calendar; the question is discussed on infr. 414 .
 of the participle, see 212, n., but here
 to throw the time back into the past.

408 roîs $\beta$ ap $\beta$ ápotot. Dr. Verrall points out that the Persian calendar was far better than the Greek.
троठíбorov. Both for tense and matter, cf. supr. Ios.
409 โva тi; sc. үє́vqтat. Cf. Nub.
 791: Plat. Symp. I95 A, "̀va $\tau \ell$ dè及oú入єтaı єủjaíh $\omega$ єival; Goodw. M1. T. 331.

410-11 Cf. Herod. i. 13I, Qúovat $\delta \dot{\text { è }}$





EP．$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \rho \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\tau} \eta \nu$ ，


412 vं $\mu a ̂ s$ Ald．：$\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ RV． $414 \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \in \tau \eta \nu$ Brunck：$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \tau \epsilon \tau o \nu$ RV $^{2}$ ： $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \circ \nu$ ГВ ：$\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau 0 \nu \not a \nu \nu$ Lenting． $415 \dot{a} \rho \mu a \tau \omega \lambda i a s$ codd．：corr．Bentl．

412 ipans gives a better sense than the $\dot{\eta} \mu a \mathrm{~s}$ of RV．The two words are almost invariably confused in our MSS．
$413 \lambda \alpha{ }^{\beta} \beta_{\text {oıv．}}$ ．For the extreme rarity of the optative（instead of subjunctive） in a final clause，where a potential optative with ${ }^{a \nu} \nu$ has gone before，see Goodwin，M．T．I80．
414 rav̂t＂＂pp．Used as a causal adverb．Cf．infr．617，Nutb．319，Aesch． Pers．159，тav̂̃a ò̀ 入ıтỗa＇iкáy хрvбєобтб́ $\lambda \mu$ оиs $\delta \dot{\mu} \mu$ ous．To understand otá is of course incorrect ；grammatically тaû̃a is a cognate acc．with the verb．
mádat with an imperfect is very rarely， if ever，to be regarded as making the tense pluperfect．Generally，the shade of difference between pres．and imperf． with $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha a$ is so slight that＂have been＂not＂had been＂is the better rendering for the latter．So here and infr．475．A good instance is Soph．El．
 $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a l$ ；Where the present is used，there is more emphasis on the adverb；where the imperfect，more on the tense of the verb．
 ＂Have been filching from the tale of days，and nibbling away from their full round＂（Merry）．The plural $\eta \mu \in \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ and the singular кúклоv are alike suitable in reference to the calendar，unsuitable to eclipses．In the Clouds，brought out （first）two years before the Peace，the Moon herself complains úpâs oủk äyєtv
 ка́тш киסо८ঠотầ（615－616），so that the festivals are all wrong кaт⿳亠 $\lambda$ रु́ov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$（ 619 ）．A vigorous defence of the Scholiastic reference to eclipses is put forward by Zielinski（Die Gliederung der altattischen Komoedie，p．67）．He maintains that Aristophanes is referring to the eclipses of 425 B．C．，mentioned


 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{~K} \lambda \in \omega \nu$ ．We may grant， against the strong arguments of Helm－ bold（Pax superstes zutrum prior sit an retractata，pp．13－15），that these lines do refer to eclipses，since astronomical cal－ culations prove the timely occurrence of such（Herwerden，Praef．，p．Io）；but I cannot see that Zielinski proves anything therefrom about the present passage．In the Clouds，l．c．，＂the withdrawal of light is duly emphasised ；but here the one idea is that of cheating，as may be seen from the repeated preposition of $\pi$ арєк $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau$－
 Moon，like unfaithful ministers resolved on conspiracy，have been systematically embezzling what they can of the goods entrusted to their care ；and these goods are time（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ），which is not lost by an eclipse．Finally，the rendering ＂have been nibbling away from their orbs＂cannot fairly be extracted from той ки́кخov тарє́тршyov：the genitive should refer to something external to the subject of the verb，seeing that it is active，not middle．
415 í $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ a $\mu a p \tau \omega \lambda$ ias．The reading of MSS．，$\dot{v} \phi ' \dot{\alpha} p \mu a \tau \omega \lambda i a s$ ，is cited as correct by Schol．on the evidence of Phrynichus and Herodian．It still finds supporters，as a $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o k i a y ~ o r ~ a ~$ pun－we might render＂by rash－car－ly （rascally）driving，＂－but Bentley＇s cor－ rection must be right．The added metaphor is inapposite，the division of the anapaest is unendurable，and we have the testimony of the Antiatticist（79．10
 Eürones Mapıкắ．The error is due to anagrammatism：an exact parallel is quoted by Blaydes from Soph．O．C．1062， where conversely the first hand of $L$ has $\dot{\rho} \iota \mu ф а \mu a ́ p т о \iota s$ for $\dot{\rho} \iota \mu \emptyset а р \mu a ́ \tau о \iota s$ ．The word $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \omega \lambda(a$ is Ionic（it is extant in Hippo－ crates），and means here＂rascality，＂not ＂error＂；so Arist．Eth．N．ii．9，3，and





${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \in$ бо九 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha \iota ~ к а к \omega ิ \nu$




Ar．Thesm．IIII，${ }_{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$（spoken by the barbarian тоц̆́т $\eta \mathrm{s})$ ．
416－7 $\xi \dot{u} \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon$ ．．．$\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon, ~ i . e . ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~$ $\sigma \chi o \not v i \omega \nu$ ．The reading of MSS．，$\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon$ ， presents great difficulties．$\quad \xi \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ tıva is only to grasp or seize some one，$\xi \nu \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v \tau t \nu o s$（neut．）to lay a hand to something，as infr．437，$\chi$ б̈бтts
 734．As it takes a hundred lines and several strong men to extricate Peace， $\xi \dot{u} \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon \tau \eta^{\prime} \nu \delta \epsilon$ is absurd，especially with छupe $\lambda \kappa v \sigma o \nu$ following．Another grave objection is the order of the words； to $\xi \dot{u} \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu i v \pi$ т $o \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ，＂help us with a will，＂lit．take a hand with us，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \varepsilon$ may be added or not，whereas $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \varepsilon$ would come indeed as a surprise，falsify－ ing our impression of the meaning of the previous words．
418 тà $\mu \in \gamma$ व́da．Schol．takes this as ＂that great＂Panathenaic festival．For the article，cf．Ranz．882，$\nu \hat{v} \nu$ रà $\rho$ à $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ ooplas of $\mu$＇ुरas $\chi \omega \rho \in \bar{i}$ ，＂that great con－ test．＂But it is pretty evident that тà $\mu \in y^{\prime} \lambda \alpha$ is here contrasted with $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha}$.
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ ：Meisterhans，Gramm．Inschr． p．228，note 1803.

For the festival，see Dict．Ant．ii． p． 324 sqq．
$420 \mu v \sigma \tau \eta p_{p} a$ ．Those of Demeter at Eleusis．The omission of the article is regular：see Meisterhans，Gramm． Inschr．p．228，note 1801.
＇Eprท̂ may seem awkward after ool， but the mention of the god＇s name in connection with these alien honours is not without point and humour．There is no good reason for rejecting the line．
$\Delta$ imo入lei．Against the $\Delta I I$－of MSS．here and Nub． 984 （ $\Delta u \pi$ лдtwóo） may be urged the fact that a proceleus－
matic（found in anapaests only Vesp． 1015，Nub．916，even if these passages are sound）is then required in Nub． 984. Again，the common corrections $\Delta i \pi \sigma \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \iota^{\prime}$
 do not tally with one another；$\Delta i \pi n-$ $\lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \omega} \delta \eta$ would be required．But in the CIA are two inscriptions（quoted by Meisterhans，Gramm．p．55，note 468）， by the aid of which taken together（for the word is mutilated in both）we may conclude with fair certainty that the true form was $\Delta \iota \pi o \lambda i \epsilon i a$ ．I venture to give that form here，and to suggest that in $N u b$ ．1．c．the correct reading is $\Delta i \pi 0$－ $\lambda t \epsilon i \delta \eta$ ，a humorous formation in which the termination，though $\epsilon \iota$ is really part of the proper name，is intended to suggest an adjective from $\epsilon \bar{\delta} o s$（ $\theta \epsilon 0 \epsilon \iota \partial \bar{\eta} s$ ， etc．）．Thus a modern weekly journal speaks of its patrons as＂Refereaders．＂ In accordance with this latter guess，I would scan the third and fourth feet of the present line spondee and anapaest， not dactyl and iambus．
In regard to the festival itself，Schol． calls it $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \tau \grave{\eta}$＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma \omega \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\eta}$ По入 $\quad \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \Delta i$
 end of June）．See further Miss Harrison， Proleg．Greek Rel．pp．III－II3．That it was an old－fashioned festival，rather out of date，is evident from $N u b .984$ ，ápxaidá $\gamma \epsilon \kappa a i \Delta \iota \pi 0 \lambda \iota \omega \bar{\sigma} \eta$（sic）．It should not be identified（as by Suidas，s．v．）with the $\Delta i a ́ \sigma t a(N u b .408$ ，Thuc．i．126），which took place in Anthesterion（Schol．Nub．1．c．）．
＇A8ẃvia．A festival to Adonis and Aphrodite，about which evidence is slight．See Dict．Ant．i．p． 25 ．
$422 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \xi \xi$ Łка́к．．A titlechieflyapplied to Apollo，though he is more often styled ámoтрbтalos in this capacity．At a later time it was given to Heracles．









EP. $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \eta$.



 434 Trygaeo continuat Dind. 435 єن́x $\dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ ( $-\epsilon \theta a \mathrm{R}$ ) RV: corr.

425 орно. "Oh dear!"
$\tau \hat{\omega} v$ रpuбt $\delta \omega v$ is $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o k i a v ~ f o r ~$


427 єiఠtóvtes. The scenic difficulties involved in this word are considered in Intr. p. 16 sqq. Kock is certainly right in maintaining (Verisim. p. 206) that eiotéval cannot stand for $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \beta a i v e \iota v$, and his conjecture $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ (perhaps $\epsilon l^{\prime}$ äтavтєs, cf. Plut. 760, where $\epsilon$ ta is impossible) is preferable to Bachmann's ingenious $\epsilon$ to $^{\prime}$ ióvtes (Conj. p. 134).
$429 \delta \eta \mu$ เоvруьк $\omega$ s. The $\delta \eta \mu$ ноvp $\gamma$ ós is not always the mere workman; he may be a great designer as well as a constructor. Cf. Plat. Rep. 530 A, $\tau \underset{\text { û }}{ }$ тoû oủpavoû ঠ$\eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma \hat{\varphi}:$ Arist. Pol. ii. 12, 13, ó
 rical significance of the request made to Hermes, see Intr. p. 28.

430 ข่тoupyeîv oủ kakov́s. "Not such bad subordinates." For the inf., cf. Soph. O. T. 545, $\lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ò̀ $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ós,

 supr. 309, n.

432 'тьaлоû $\epsilon \epsilon \nu$. The form $\phi \iota \lambda \lambda о \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ is generally treated as an obscure word here and Vesp. 1348. Bentley was the first to write 'ф८a入ovि $\mu \in \nu$, following Eustathius, who, writing on Od. xxii. 49,
 $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda_{0} \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon{ }^{\ell} \rho \gamma \varphi($ (so Schol.). The word chosen is a happy one : from the common
$\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho \alpha ~ \dot{\epsilon \pi} \tau \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ( $\tau \iota \nu i)$ (Nub. 933, etc.) comes the rarer $\varepsilon \pi \iota \beta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \nu \nu i$ in the same sense; on like analogy, from éтd́pots èmi $\chi \epsilon i$ ípas ža $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ (Od. ix. 288), Aristophanes perhaps indulges in a similar intransitive use of $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, "lay hand to" the work. But ${ }^{2} \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, throughout Homer, Aeschylus, etc., is found with a smooth breathing, and in Nub. I299 we
 The view taken in printing ' $\pi \iota a \lambda 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ is that a copyist was anxious to improve upon a pun which in pronunciation was already obvious enough, while oúס̇غ $\phi$ la $\lambda$ eis in Ves $\phi .1348$, a careless mistake possibly due to the influence of this passage (see infr. 752, note, fin.), should be changed to ouv ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i a \lambda \in i \hat{s}$. Little can be made of fro 552, and Phryn. fr. I, but that little all tells in favour of the above view; in the former passage (from Schol. Vesp.) the unaspirated $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota_{\eta} \lambda a \iota \mu \in \nu$ is quoted in support of $\phi \stackrel{\alpha}{ }$ eîs (sic), and in the latter $\mathfrak{e x} \pi \dot{a} \lambda a s$ is made to pun with


433-4 These words are assigned to Hermes by MSS. (those which mark the speakers), the Aldine and Schol. They are most appropriate to him, and it is hard to see why Dindorf took them away, and why he is followed by Meineke, Bergk and Blaydes.
 Schol., no doubt rightly, sees a reference to the saying of the Spartan envoy
" $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \omega{ }^{\circ} \rho \xi_{\alpha l} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$,
 тойтov тòv ${ }^{\circ} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v \pi o \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \alpha$.


TP.









Melesippus ten years before, $\ddot{\eta} \delta \bar{\delta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \rho$
 ii. 12).
 supr. 416, n.
439 stayayeiv. The error of the MSS. is a common one. Thus Vesp. 826, R alone gives $\epsilon i \sigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ for $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$, Plut. 406, V has $\epsilon l \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon i \nu:$ conversely Eq. 282, for the necessary $\epsilon \xi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu$ (Porson) all MSS. read $\bar{\xi} \xi a \gamma a \gamma{ }^{\prime} v$ (van Ijzeren, de Vitiis, p. 9). Kock (Verisim. p. 164) vainly attacks the aorist, and thinks $\delta$ dá $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ an explanation of $\delta \iota a \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$. The impossible $\mu \epsilon$, inserted for metre's sake in Ald. and B, is typical of their method.

440 бкалєи́ovт ävөракаs. Cf. Ach. 1014, тò $\pi \hat{\imath} \rho \dot{\nu} \pi \pi о \sigma к \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \cup \epsilon$. For the secondary meaning involved, cf. the use of the cognate $\sigma \kappa a \lambda a \theta \hat{v} p a r$ in Eccl. 6ri.

441 sqq. Schol. रúo $\pi \rho \sigma$ owna $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$

 Dobree saw long ago that this arrangement of the lines was right ; but, with the exception of Richter and Herwerden, editors have continued to give the threeline prayers entire to Trygaeus and the Chorus alternately. Neil's note on the $\gamma \epsilon$ of infr. 446 (quoted ad loc.) may be said to settle the question.
 Goodwin, M. T. 467, for instances of the indic. in general suppositions.
$442 \Delta$ tóvva'. Cf. supr. 267, n.
443 ò $\lambda \epsilon к$ рáv.гv. "Funny - bones." Schol. observes that a wound there is
painful! The metre shows that $\delta \lambda-$, not ढ̀ $\lambda$ ékpavov, is the true Attic form.
$446 \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \times$ O $\gamma \in$ тotav̂ $\theta^{\prime}$. A good prima facie case can be made out for Dindorf's roavêt' ärt', on the double ground of V's reading and the apparently otiose or misplaced $\gamma \epsilon$. But (I) whether the dittography $\tau 0$ has expelled $\gamma \epsilon$ or not, it is clear that rotav̂ra $\theta^{\prime}$ does not point
 gratia, absurdly), or roaû̃á $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$, or тouav̀' : (2) of the nine places in Aristophanes where the dissyllabic forms of rotov̂tos (i.e. tolaût', tolav̂ $\theta^{\prime}$ ) occur in iambics, the first syllable is twice long (Plut. 1125, Th. 399) and never necessarily short: (3) the vagueness added by $a ̆ \tau \theta^{\prime}$ is out of place ; (4) the $\gamma \epsilon$ (here as infr. 452) has been shown by Neil, Eq. p. 189 , to be quite regular. The first speaker gives the nominative, the second adds the verb, with $\gamma \epsilon$. "These cases have the optative in the final clause, and are mainly parodies of prayers: it seems likely that religious services sometimes took this form, the priest beginning the sentence and giving the subject of the prayer, and the congregation finishing it with the appropriate verb and wish. Plain cases are Ar. Plut. 180, KAP. ó
 Plat. Com. 173, 21 : A. $\sigma \kappa \delta \dot{\rho \pi} \iota \circ$ as ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}$,
 (Neil, Eq. l.c.). Cf. infr. 1074.
 Vesp. 19. The "fate in battle" looks like death until it is suddenly turned into the disgrace of the $\dot{\rho}\langle\psi a \sigma \pi t s$ Cleonymus.

| P． |  <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XO． |  |  |
| TP． |  <br>  | 450 |
| XO ． |  |  |
| TP． |  |  |
| XO． |  |  |
| TP． |  <br>  | 455 |
| TP． |  |  |
| XO． | $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$. |  |
| TP． |  |  |
|  codd．：corr．Richter． 455 ìn toivve iǹ iǹ R ：in in in（？）Toivve Bekk． 457 X0．＂A $\rho \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ ；（ $\mu \eta$ ．Bergk．）TP．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ．Bentl． |  |  |

This hero，＂the Aristophanic Falstaff＂ （Holden），is ridiculed chiefly on the grounds of his cowardice，bulkiness and greed．The most interesting passages about him are $A v .1475, N u b .353$ ，Vesp． 592，Pax 674，Eq． 1294.

447 Sopugos．That this is the correct form（though from $\xi \xi \omega$ ）is shown by the metre of infr．1260．Bentley proposed $\delta o \rho u \xi 0$ ûs（ $\delta o \rho v \xi$ goos being given by Suidas， s．v．，but not by V）．The word does not seem to be found in classical Greek out－ side this play．

448 ＇̇ر $\mu \pi 0 \lambda \hat{a ̂ . ~ " M a y ~ d r i v e ~ a ~ b e t t e r ~}$ trade．＂From this general meaning is derived the sense＂buy，＂supr． 367 ，etc． Schol．renders by $\pi \iota \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \eta$ ，wrongly here，but see infr．1201，n．

449 крı日às móvas．Schol．ảyјì toû $\mu \grave{\eta} \not \lambda^{\prime} \phi \iota \tau a, \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ aủtàs tàs kpidás．The prepared meal was the greater delicacy．
 ＇$\sigma \in v a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu a$（when an offer of крı $\begin{aligned} & \text { al } \\ & \text { has }\end{aligned}$ been rejected）．Cf．Vesp．718．Hóvas is also taken as（I）äpev ơみou（Blaydes）；（2） ＂one by one＂（Verrall）．
 would take this as a hit at Alcibiades（cf． supr．295，n．），but surely the next line， गो $\delta 000$ रos，shows that the sentiment is vague．
$\xi \cup \lambda \lambda a ́ \beta o l . ~ A s ~ t o ~ t h e ~ \xi u v \lambda a ́ \beta \eta$ of MSS． Neil（Eq．p．189）says that＂the imitation of ritual style may very well intend $\epsilon l$ with
subj．＂But see supr．437，cr．n．；the itacism is very common．

451 ì $\delta$ ov̀los，к．т．入．A few years later，on the occupation of Deceleia，more than 20,000 slaves deserted within a short time（Thuc．vii．27）．

452 द̀mi тоиิ трохой $\gamma^{\prime}$＂$\lambda$ коото．It is strange that doubting editors（of whom Blaydes and Herwerden actually print the mere guess $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda$ oito）should have missed the exact parallel in Her．i．92，
 $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \varepsilon$ ．For $\gamma \epsilon$ see supr．446，n．

454 äфєлє тò maletv．The play on words is not brilliant，any more than if we replied to＂Oh ！how ripping ！＂by ＂None of your ripping，please！＂

456 Xápıoьv，＂$\Omega$ paıテเv．Connected thus in Hesiod，$O p .75$ ，etc．

חó $\theta \omega$ ．For the connection with Aph－ rodite，cf．Aesch．Supp．1040．
$457 \mu \eta \mu \eta$ ．The various arrange－ ments of these words are all defensible， and invite thought rather than discus－ sion．The order given by MSS．seems preferable，except that Trygaeus，not the Choryphaeus，is the officiating priest．
＇Evva入ic．Distinguished from＂A 1 p s also in Soph．Aj．179，though in the Iliad＇Evválos is merely a further de－ scription of Ares．Cf．Aesch．Theb．45， ＊Apク $\tau^{\prime}{ }^{\text {＇Evvé．See Jebb on Soph．} A j \text { ．}}$ 1．c．and in Appendix，p． 222.

EP．$\hat{\omega} \epsilon \hat{i} \alpha$ ．［ $\sigma \tau \rho$ ．
XO．$\epsilon \hat{i} \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ ． 460

EP．$\hat{\tilde{\omega}} \epsilon \hat{i} \alpha$ ．
XO．$\quad$ t $\uparrow \iota \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ ．
EP．$\quad \dot{\omega} \epsilon \hat{i} \alpha, \vec{\omega} \epsilon \hat{i} \alpha$ ．
 ov่ $\xi \nu \lambda \lambda \eta \prime \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ；ô̂＇o’ $\gamma \kappa u ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ． оінஸ́ $\xi \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ oi Boıштоí．
EP．є สิส ขขิข．
TP．єi人a $\omega$ ．


470
$\kappa \alpha \pi \epsilon \mu \pi i \pi \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ ；





458 ขீтóteเv€．sc．тoùs кá入cs．
＂Make them taut．＂
 with the cables．＂He speaks of Peace as of a boat being hauled ashore．Not ＂funibus reduc exulem，＂as Her－ werden．

459 ఓ̄ єia．＂Heave－ho ！＂
460 єía $\mu$ á入a．＂Heave again！＂
462 ёть ца́ла．Cf．supr．53，n．
 you put on！＂Neil，on Eq．224，has a luminous note on the＂plebeian suffix $-\dot{v} \lambda \lambda \omega$＂and the＂coarse or comic mean－ ing＂which attaches to substantives and proper names ending in $-v \lambda \lambda$ os and the like．Following his excellent rendering of $\beta \delta \dot{u} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$（from $\beta \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ）Eq．1．c．－ ＂funk＂－we may translate $\epsilon \xi \xi a \pi a \tau u ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ （Eq．II44 and Ach．657）by＂swizzle，＂ and o oүкúdлєбӨat by some such slang phrase as is given above．
466 оі $\omega \dot{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$. Cf．Ach．1035，

Botwrol．The Boeotians refused assent to the Peace of Nicias（Thuc，v．17）， chiefly because they were unwilling to re－ store Panactum to Athens（id．v．36，39）．

469 ä $\gamma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．©．There can be no certain restoration of this line．For the im－ possible duals，cf．infr．1307，where MSS．give $\epsilon \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau 0 \nu$.
kaì $\sigma \phi \omega$ ．Schol．ó $\chi$ opds $\pi$ pòs тòv ＇Ериŋ̂̀ каі Tриүаîov．
470－1 See Intr．p． 24.
472 रшрє乞̂ тойpyov．Cf．रшрєî тò какóv，Nub．907，Ran．1018，Vesp． 1483.

473 Lamachus is the firebrand of the Acharnians and the Peace，the two definitely pacific plays；in the three intermediate comedies he is not men－ tioned．The ridicule poured upon him in the Acharnians is never exactly con－ temptuous，and the posthumous re－ ferences（Thesm．84I，Ran．1039）are complimentary．
 19 （quoted infr．477）．

474 нориóvos．Ву $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ тробдо－ кiav for Гoopbyos，as in Ach． 582 ，a $\lambda \lambda$＇，
 It is surely unnecessary to follow Schol． （and Hesychius s．v．I＇opyoरbosas）in supposing that a Gorgon shield and crest were marks of the real Lamachus．








It is evident from Lys．560－three years after the death of Lamachus－öтav $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta^{\prime}$
 кlvous，that it was usual to credit a miles gloriosus with the possession of a Gorgon shield．In the Acharnians，Aristophanes had made great fun by bringing in Lamachus so equipped（574，964，1095， 1181），and this line is meant to remind the audience of a previous success，just as the word $\pi \alpha \phi \lambda \alpha{ }_{S} \omega \nu \nu$ ，supr．314， serves to remind them of the Knights． For the apotropaic virtues of the Gor－ goneion，see Miss Harrison，Proleg． Greek Rel．，p． 187 sqq．

475 Argos had been strictly neutral so far throughout the war，as they had been at the start（Thuc．ii．9，тoúrois $\delta$＇＇＇s $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \notin \rho o u s{ }^{2} \lambda \lambda(a \hat{\eta} \nu)$ ．They had looked forward to the expiration of their thirty years truce with Sparta in this very year 421，and the Peloponnesian league which they then organised was certainly not in the interests of peace．It was not until the following year that they turned to an alliance with Athens．

єїко⿱ ．．．тá入aı．Cf．supr．414，n．
476 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$＂．＂Except，＂＂except that，＂a colloquial turn common after a negative in Aristophanes and Plato．It stands for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \hat{\eta}$ ，not $\tilde{a} \lambda \lambda 0 \dot{\eta}$ ，as the use of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ alone shows．Like the English ＂but，＂à入入d from being disjunctive became comparative：cf．Hom．Od．xii．

 pleonastically after $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a \dot{a}$ ，perhaps from a feeling that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}^{\text {w }}$ was inadequate as a comparative conjunction．The Latin nisi si may perhaps be compared．

477 Sıxógev，к．т．入．Cf．Thuc．v．28，


 $\pi \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 t$ ：Pherecr．（fr．19）ap．Schol．，


a＇$\lambda \phi$ เra，＂barley－flour，＂was the pro－ verbial＂bread and cheese＂（Starkie on Vesp．301）：cf．Eiq．1359，oủk ĕ́otiv u̇uì
 $\epsilon \sigma \theta \in \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu: N u b$ ．106，Vesp． 1．c．Also the proverb quoted by Suidas （Bernhardy，ii．p．607），p’भ́ $\mu a \tau a \quad$ à $\nu$＇ à $\lambda i \tau \omega \nu$（＂fine words butter no parsnips，＂ L．and S．，s．v．$\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ），and Herodas，vii． 73 （ $\dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon i s \tau_{\iota}$ ）$\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi$＂${ }^{\prime} \lambda \phi \iota \tau \eta \rho \dot{\nu}$, ＂don＇t name a starvation price．＂

479 âp’ oír $\theta^{\prime}$ ；See supr．371，note． The proximity of öбo is a little awkward， but the added $\gamma \in$ prevents ambiguity．
\％$\sigma 0 \mathrm{\gamma} \gamma^{\prime}$ ．The restrictive force of $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ with oios，öros：Lat．quidem（Neil，$E q$ ． App．I．p．190）．
 Xa入kev̀s oủk éạ．Hermes allows that the Laconians are pulling，but without much keenness；those who are really keen（ $\pi \rho \circ \theta v \mu o \hat{v} \nu \tau a \iota$ ），viz，the prisoners from Sphacteria，cannot pull at all，being fast bound．In other words，though the Laconian government is negotiat－ ing for peace（Thuc．iv．117，v．15），only the prisoners themselves，who can take no part in politics，long for it ardently．The phrase exovial tov̂ छủ ${ }^{2}$ ou is either purposely fanciful，the prisoners being represented as fondly clinging to the pillory which holds them fast，or is used to imply that they cannot ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \chi o v i \omega \nu$ ，like the rest．The fact that the relatives of the prisoners were most eager for peace（Thuc．v．15）should not have led Herwerden to believe that the words could ever mean＂qui d̀ $\nu \tau \iota \lambda a \mu$－
 liberent．＂© $\mathrm{X}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \kappa \epsilon \text { ús }}$ is the smith who fettered the prisoners；thus Schol．rightly，


Other views must be mentioned：（i） that \％$\sigma$ ol ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{X}$ ．$\tau$ ．$\xi$ ．are the Spartan $\xi \cup \lambda$ oup－ yoí，whose interests demanded peace，$\delta$ Xa入kєús，the armourers，whose trade would suffer（Paulmier，followed by

| TP． |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  |  |
| XO． |  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \hat{\nu} \theta \iota \stackrel{\jmath}{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \eta \pi \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu$. | 485 |
| EP． | $\hat{\omega}$ єia． | ［ $\dot{\alpha} \mathrm{v}$ ． |
| TP． | єio $\mu \alpha{ }^{\text {d }}$ 人 ． |  |
| EP． | $\hat{\omega}$ eio． |  |
| TP． |  |  |
| XO． |  | 490 |
| TP． |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| EP． | єi＜v̂v． |  |
| TP． |  | 95 |



Richter and Blaydes）．Those who thus reject the obvious almost disarm criti－ cism，but it may be pointed out that ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ ． $\tau$ ．$\xi$ ．in such a sense is extraordinary Greek（Blaydes＇s parallels，ö $\sigma a \quad \tau \in \chi \nu \eta s$
 the subjects are neuter）；that тov̂ छú入ov should be $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ छúncu：that the usual sense of $\xi u{ }^{2} \lambda o \nu$ in Ar．is wilfully set aside ； and that $\dot{\delta} \mathbf{X a \lambda k \in v i s , ~ t o ~ p o i n t ~ t h e ~ c o n t r a s t ~}$ of trades，should be $\dot{\delta} \delta o p u \xi$＇s s or the like （cf．infr．544－549， 1209 sqq．）．（2）．That the prisoners are referred to in broo к．т．入．，but that of xaגkєús is Cleon． For this view Zielinski（Gliederung der altatt．Kom．，p．66）refers to Eq．469，
 there the metaphor is purely accidental， the Chorus having urged the sausage－ seller to outdo Cleon in the choice of a heavy－handed trade；indeed，the series is continued with छuүкротovov（471），so that $\chi$ a入кєย́єтal cannot be a climax．A careful reading of Eq．461－471 will make this clear．On the latter point see the admirable discussion of Ruppersberg （Über die Eirene，pp．11－13）．
481－3 oi Mєүарท̂s，к．т．$\lambda$ ．For their state of famine，cf．Ach．passim．No doubt their straits were grossly exag－
gerated ；at least，they would not vote for peace，when they found that Nisaea was not to be restored to them（Thuc．v． 17），and they rejected the peace of Nicias， when made．

482 барка̧́ovтєs．＂Grinning，＂ ＂mouthing，＂with hunger．Hesychius： इapкáбas＂$\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ тıкрias $\hat{\eta}$ й $\rho \epsilon ́ \mu a ~$ tàs
 verb is thus used of the same physical act as $\sigma \in \sigma \eta \rho \epsilon \nu a \iota(\sigma \alpha i \rho \epsilon \ell \nu)$ ，infr．620， which is itself closely connected with $\sigma \alpha \dot{p} \xi($（originally a＂muscle＂in sing．）．So in Ran． 966 the $\sigma а р к а \sigma \mu о т ь т ข о к а ́ \mu \pi т \eta s ~$ does not＂sneer，＂but＂grins＂with malicious joy．

491 oükouv $\delta \epsilon$ เvóv．Probably two feet have been lost after these words，as the corresponding line 464 is a dimeter． It is quite conceivable that a monometer took its place here，but，as the Schol． is not given to amplifying such words as $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \delta \nu$ ，I have added кӥтотбv є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$
 к．т．入．（Since this note was written，Dr． Merry has published his кäтопоข，$\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. ．）
$493 \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha{ }^{2} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta^{3}$ ．On the various forms supplied to $\tau$ úrte in its two senses，see Cobet，V．L．pp．330－338， Rutherford，N．P．pp．257－265．

 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon i p \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \xi \sigma \pi \bar{\alpha} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \omega s$.

 $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \dot{\eta}$ Өєòs $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v \eta$.



 505
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \theta^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \nu$ ỏ $\lambda$ íyo $\nu \dot{u} \pi о \chi \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \tau \epsilon$.

EP. $\quad \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \gamma^{\epsilon} \tau o t ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \alpha \hat{\gamma} \mu \alpha \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma, \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu}$.





496 какóvol. K and Suidas alone recognised this word. See cr. n. and Intr. pp. 35, 37, 38.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} v$. The first person is clearly required. For the confusion with $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu} v$, see note on supr. 412.
$497 \mu \mathrm{k} \nu$ yoûv. The alternative correction $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu v \nu$ (Lenting), which has found more favour, is unknown to comedy except in the difficult line, Vesp. 771 (Starkie, Vesp. p. 417).

 " yearning " occurs also Vesp. 349.
$498 \sigma \pi a ̂ \tau^{\prime}$. Indicative.
$499 \kappa \omega \lambda$ viov๘เv. Aristophanes keeps the long $v$ of the present tense in anapaests (Av.463, Lys. 607), but shortens it in $\kappa \omega \lambda v ́ \varepsilon \iota$ at the end of an iambic line ( $E q$. 723, 972, fr. 100).
502 Cf. supr. 246-247. Such coarse, smelling stuff as garlic would drive a fair lady, like Peace, away. The idea of course is, "You nasty garlic-growers, the war is all your fault." See Ach. 526 sqq.

503 тоîs 'Apŋvaiolot. We saw supr. $2 \$ 2, n$. that the article is regularly omitted with the names of certain
peoples. Aristophanes has 'A A $\eta$ paiol without the article thirty-one times, with it nine times. Of these nine cases four are in foreign dialects, and three (this line and Lys. 1120, II49) are excused because the reference is to the Athenians on the stage (Bachmann, Corj. pp. 44-45). Bachmann would emend Lys. II45 (but there is no occasion, as the sentence is tragic) and 1229.

505 SLkágete comes in with intentional absurdity of those pulling, though natural enough as an accusation against the Athenians generally.

507 "Edge off a little towards the sea." "Hoc vult dicere, quod olim Themistocles semper suadebat, ö̃ ảv $\nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \in \in a ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \eta s . " ~ B e r g l e r . ~$

508 aủroi $\delta \eta \eta_{\mathrm{H}} \mu$ óvot, i.e. without looking for help to scheming states and interested tradesmen. Cf. infr. 511, and Intr. p. 28.
509 Х $\omega \rho є \hat{\imath}$. . . тò $\pi \rho a ̂ y \mu a . ~ C f . ~$ supr. $472, \mathrm{n}$.

511 тойрүov ésénkovat. Two phrases appear to be blended, той $\rho \gamma 0 \nu \pi \epsilon \rho a i v o v a \iota$ and $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \in \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \kappa o v \sigma \iota$. But Herwerden's éктє $\lambda 0 \hat{\sigma} \iota \iota$ may be right.

XO．${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \nu v \nu,{ }^{u} \gamma \epsilon \pi \bar{\alpha} s$ ．

XO．$\mu \eta \dot{j} \nu \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \nu-$ тєі̀v $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \rho \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$.

XO．$\hat{\omega}$ єia $\nu \hat{v} \nu, \hat{\omega} \epsilon i \hat{i} \alpha \pi \hat{s}$ ．



520
$\pi \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ä̀ $\lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta о \iota \mu \iota ~ \rho ́ \eta ิ \mu \alpha ~ \mu \nu р і \alpha ́ \mu ф о р о \nu, ~$

 oîov ס＇＇$\epsilon \chi \chi \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho о ́ \sigma \omega \pi т о \nu, ~ \hat{\omega}$ Өєшрía．
 525 $\gamma \lambda \cup \kappa и ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho а \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ к \alpha i ̀ \mu u ́ \rho o v . ~$

ỗ๘ Herw． 513 Hermae tribuit $G$ solus． 516 Hermae tribuit Blaydes．

513 кai $\mu \dot{\eta} v$＂never takes $\gamma \epsilon$ when it introduces a new character on the stage， or when it marks a new sight or the like＂（Neil，Eq．p．193）．
ópoû is more than the preceding Є̇ $\gamma$ ̛ús．Cf．Eq．244－245，äv
 $\mu \tilde{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$（Neil ad loc．）．
 she comes：＂cf．sutpr．289，n．Other views are（I）＂That＇s it，gently ！ gently！＂（Schol．），（2）＂Now for yo－ho again＂（Paley）．Peace is here drawn up，though the Chorus in their excite－ ment continue the cry used during the pulling．
 imates to a despairing wish，like $\pi \hat{\omega} s \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ ； （supr．68，n．），but is colloquial．Cf．Eq．
 Movos；fr． 24.
$\mu v p l a \mu \phi o p o v$ is perhaps suggested by
 in his exaggeration employs liquid，not linear，measure．Cf．Vesp． $48 \mathbf{1}$ ，тойто $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \beta a \lambda о \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \chi о \nu \nu \ell \kappa \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
 junctive，see supp：4i3，n．：Goodwin， M．T．180．As $\pi \dot{\prime} \theta \in \nu$ à̀ $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta o t \mu t$ is equivalent to a wish，$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon i \pi o c \mu$＇， adopted by Herwerden from Blaydes， is possible，though quite uncalled for．

Eixov．Cf．supr．142，n．
olko $\theta \in \nu$ ．＂Of my own．＂This sen－ tentious use of olkotev and olkoc is mostly confined to tragedy and Pindar，but is found in the orators．Here there may be a further reference to the literal meaning，the $\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a$ being spoken of as a commodity which Trygaeus might have brought in his pocket．
523 ＇Oпஸ́ра ．．Eєшрía．Schol．


524 oîov，i．e．$\dot{\omega}$ s $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ ，as below．But the line is suspicious，having homoio－ teleuton with the preceding and homoi－ archon with the succeeding line．
525 ＂And what sweet breath you send，to steal down so pleasantly into my heart．＂$\pi v \in \mathrm{is}$ is not for b̧̌cts （Blaydes），but in its most literal sense． When kard defines the place＂quo aliquid tendit，＂it always（in Aristo－ phanes）depends on a verb compounded with the same preposition，except here and Thesm． 60 （probably corrupt），and
 lewski，Praet．p．132）．Aristophanes would certainly not have written $\pi \nu \epsilon$ is кат⿳亠口冋丸 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ карঠlas，but，having done with $\pi \nu \in i ̂ s, ~ h e ~ m a y ~ q u i t e ~ f a i r l y ~ a d d ~ w ́ s ~ \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ кarà $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ кapoias as an afterthought，with $\kappa \alpha \tau a \pi \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma a$ present in his mind．

#  <br>    $\alpha u ̉ \lambda \omega \nu, \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu, \Sigma_{\text {офок }} \lambda_{\text {є́ous }} \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \iota \chi \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ， є่ $\pi v \lambda \lambda i ́ \omega \nu$ Eủpıाíóov－ 

526 à $\sigma \tau \rho a t \epsilon$ las kal $\mu$ úpov．For the comical combination，cf．Nub．1007，

$527 \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oûv．The force of the oûv in $\mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ has been lost．Cf．Plut．845， Aesch．Cho．177，etc．
\＄potov is excessively awkward．Its presence is probably due to a repetition of certain letters in $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ oũv．In this case $\dot{\delta} \mu$ i $\lambda o v$（Richter）is no more probable than any other word．
 depends on $(0$ ر$\mu \circ \circ \nu \nu) \pi \nu \in \hat{i}$ impersonal，under－ stood from $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\varsigma}$ ，the construction being then like of $\ddagger \epsilon$ ，infr． 529 ，except that here
 understood．＂I take it，then，a soldier＇s knapsack doesn＇t smell like that＂（of ex－ emption，etc．）：cf．supr．180，n．For the qu入tós，cf．Schol．on infr． 788 （quoted ad loc．）．Lamachus calls for his rudtós， Ach．1097．For the accent，cf．Chandler， Greek Accentuation，§ 245.
528 Schol．quotes this line from the Telephus of Euripides，with $\tau \hat{k}$ кos for $\pi \lambda$ ékos．Every word is tragic．The parody is varied by Plato Com．135，$\tau \delta$
 Somewhat similar is Eur．Heracl．Io06，
 （parodied Vesp．ir60）．
$\pi \lambda$ ékos．Cf，Ach． 454 （tragic）．A poetical formation for the ordinary $\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \mu a$ ，as $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi$ os for $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu a$ ，$\pi \rho a \hat{\gamma}$ os for $\pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$, etc．
529 For the double genitive after

 supr．180， 527.
кроцциоگขрєүнías．＂Onion－heart－ burn．＂Dobree＇s more natural－$\rho \rho \gamma^{\prime} \mu$ ias cannot be maintained against the many examples quoted by Blaydes from Hip－ pocrates and the grammarians of $0 \xi v \rho \in \gamma \mu l a$
（ $-\mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu,-\mu(\omega \dot{\delta} \eta \xi$ ，etc．）．Cf．Ar．fr．473，каì
 is usually explained as due to vowel meta－ thesis，but perhaps it is rather a case of vowel dissimilation．Adjectives in－vs cling to their stem－vowel in composition ：



For the smell of a $\gamma u \lambda t o$ s，cf．$A c h$ ． 1099－1 101，where Lamachus calls for $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon s$ $\theta \nu \mu i \tau a l$, кро́ $\mu \mu v a$ and $\theta \rho i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau \alpha \rho i \chi o u s \sigma \alpha \pi \rho о \hat{.}$
$530 \Delta$ iovvoicuv．The $\Delta$ iovífia tà кar＇á＇रpoús is most in the writer＇s mind， as it followed close on the getting in of the vintage（ $0 \pi \dot{\pi} \dot{p} a$ ），with its hospitality


 $\Delta$ sovíria．

531 т $\rho a \gamma \varphi \delta \omega ิ \nu . \quad$ Brunck＇s $\tau \rho v \gamma \varphi \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ （adopted by many editors）destroys the point．Great stress is being laid on the pleasure given by tragedy（both acting and chorus）in order to lead up to a hit at Euripides．
$\kappa<\chi^{\lambda} \bar{\omega} \hat{\omega}$ ．For the thrush as a favourite dish，see Thompson，Glossary of Greek Birds，p．86．кıХ入i乡єıv（Nub．983，fr． $333)$ is＂to live on the fat of the land．＂ Cf．infr．1149，1195，Ach． 1007 sqq． For such an item thrust in between the two poets，cf．Plut．190－192，an in－and－ out series beginning $\ddagger \rho \omega \tau \sigma$ ，$\ddot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \omega \omega$ ， $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s, \tau \rho a \gamma \eta \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$ ．

532 ėtu入入icuv．The word is again applied to the phrases of Euripides in Ach．398，Ran．942．It is clearly equivalent to p $\mathfrak{\eta \mu a ́ \tau \iota a ~ \delta ı к а \nu ı к \alpha ́ , ~ i n f r . ~} 534$ （see note）．Not for ia $\mu \beta \in i$ ，as Schol． on Ach．l．c．，nor＂versicles．＂$\neq \pi$ ºs，like $\dot{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu a$, has in Ar．the three meanings，verse （line），word，and phrase，and the dimi－ nutives carry the force of the last meaning．

TP．кוттой，т $\rho и \gamma о i ́ \pi o v, \pi \rho о \beta а т i \omega \nu ~ \beta \lambda \eta \chi \omega \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ， ..... 535   ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \in \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.

 ruv．Kock：$\beta$ o入hrov，$\gamma v \nu$ ．edd．Oxon．є̀s imyòv Ald．（ $\gamma \rho$ ．Schol．）． 537

534 ค $\eta \mu \alpha \tau i \omega y$ ，as in Eq．216，Vesp． 668，are＂telling catch－phrases of popular oratory＂（Neil）．Cf．Ach． 444 ：
 siavolas．
535 kเтrov̂．The ivy is mentioned as sacred to Dionysus and an accompani－ ment of feasting．There need be no reference to the Dionysia；Trygaeus is here beginning a short sketch of country life．

536 ко́ $\lambda \pi$ точ үчvaıкผิv．These words， though perhaps corrupt，are at least more possible than some of the correc－ tions proposed．It is unlikely indeed that $\kappa 6 \lambda \pi$ os，unaided by the context，refers to the carrying of dough，flowers，etc．in the bosom（Schol．），or to the awakening of desire（Paley）；for the latter sense it is useless to compare Lys．552，Eccl．964， where the idea is fully worked out．But in the common sense of the hanging folds of the loose Greek dress it may be claimed that $\delta$ tarpexour $\hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$（which gives the cause of the fluttering）makes the picture as clear as it is pretty．Paley （who dallies with this view）compares Aesch．Cho．29，$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \nu 0 \iota ~ \sigma \tau о \lambda \mu$ о̀ $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ ．As to emendations，${ }^{2} \chi \lambda$ ov is feeble，and yet no better word（connected with $\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu)$ has been proposed．Of those corrections where кó久 $\pi$ ov is re－ placed by a word disjoined from $\gamma \cup \nu a \kappa \kappa ิ \nu$ by a comma，кüभov is perhaps the best． к $6 \pi \rho o u$ ，cleverly defended by Kock （Verisim．p．244）on the analogy of Lys． 1174 ，is one of the countless words which Aristophanes may have used． Bonliov，to which the same criticism applies，is perfect palaeographically，$\kappa=\beta$ being such a very common error（Cobet， V．L．p．217），and $\pi=\iota \tau$ being at least not a rare one（cf．infr．745，cr．n．），but
one may doubt whether the singular would not mean a single $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \dot{́} \tau \eta \mu$ ． （Constrast the proverb fo入itou otкn， Schol．Eq．658，with the regular plural use．）Probably no importance is to be attached to the appearance of quvackós in lemma of Schol．V．

ठเatpexouvêv．Probably＂running across＂（as infr．838），not＂racing one another＂（ $\delta$ ca－of rivalry）．
áypóv．Schol．V was familiar with the reading $i \pi \nu b \nu$ ，given by the Aldine． Kock（Verisim．p．243）extracts from the two notes of Schol．（q．v．）the reading $\sigma \tau a i ̂ s ~ \phi \varepsilon \rho o v \sigma \omega \hat{\nu} v$ єis $i \pi \nu \delta \delta^{\nu}$ ．＂The coun－ try＂in colloquial Greek was áypós（with－ out the article）or oi à apoó．For details， see Bachmann，Conj．pp．40－42，Starkie， Vesp．p．218，Neil，Eq．So5．

537 Two doubtful blessings which would at least show that there was no lack．Editors follow Schol．in taking ảvaтєтрацц＇́vou as＂inverted＂（deliber－ ately，when empty），but the word has no such meaning．On the other hand，it is the regular verb for＂upsetting，＂e．g．
 There is probably no reference to the Xóes，the second day of the Anthesteria．

Xoós．The evidence of metre is in favour of $\chi 0 \hat{s}$ ，which is restored by the Oxford editors．In the accusative，$\chi 0 \hat{\alpha}$ is needed，Ach．1203，Eq．355，रoâs，Ach． 961，Thesm．746：other instances（four of acc．sing．，five of acc．plur．，one of gen．sing．）are in doubtful position，the short forms being never required by metre．On the other hand，Xóss（which does not occur in Aristophanes）is un－ doubtedly correct（Meisterhans，Gramm． Inschr．p．139，n．1214）．
539 Hermes points，not to the spec－ tators，who are first noticed infr：543，
$\delta_{\iota} \alpha \lambda \lambda \gamma \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \gamma_{\epsilon \lambda} \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu{ }^{\prime} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha l$ ，



 тì入入ov $\theta^{\prime}$ éautóv ；ó dé $\gamma \in \tau a ̀ s ~ \sigma \mu ı v i ́ a s ~ \pi o t w \nu \nu ~$

 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta о р \nu \xi ̆ ̀ \nu$ oîov є̇ $\sigma \kappa \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ；

TP．àкои́єтє $\lambda \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \cdot$ тоùs $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ оùs à $\pi$ téval





xoós Suid．：रoŵs codd． 542 кváӨous G Suid．：кvátoıs R（negar Herw．），V

but to those members of the Chorus who represented different Greek states．See Intr．p． 28.

541 i．e．in the war．
542 куáOous тробкє́pєval．The reading kvá⿴ots（general before Cobet） cannot be defended．We have here the passive of кvá $\theta$ ous $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$（quoted by Blaydes from Arist．Probl．ix．12）， and the same case is required．For the
 and Schol，there and here．

544 aißßoî rá $\lambda a s$ ．＂Ugh！dear me！ dear me ！＂So Eq． 957.

546 тindovo＇Éauróv．＂Tearing his hair．＂Cf．Il．xxiv．711，тóv $\gamma$＇ä äoxós $\tau \epsilon$ $\phi i \lambda \eta$ каі $\pi$ о́т $\nu \iota \alpha \mu \eta \prime \tau \eta \rho \mid \tau i \lambda \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ ．Schol． points out that the action is specially appropriate to a 入офотоcós．

ठ́є́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ．Cf．supr．20，n．
549 е̇бкцца́入ьテєข．＂Snapped his fingers at，＂but for the exact meaning see Schol．Cf．Ach．444，öт $\pi \omega$ äv aủroùs
 tradesmen mentioned visit Trygaeus，infr． 1197 and 1213.
550 ävєเாย．Regularly used of public notices，whether in the market－place，
assembly，law－court or theatre．One might have expected the herald Hermes to make the proclamation himself．For the purpose of the order，see Intr．pp．29－ 30.

551 ákov́єтє $\lambda \epsilon \oplus ฺ$. ．＂Oh yes！oh yes！ oh yes！＂The regular beginning of notices ：for this，and the acc．and infin． of peremptory proclamation（which is regular in public documents，e．g．Dem． 517），cf．Av．448，Ach． 1000.

554 єipク́vŋs $\sigma a \pi \rho a ̂ s . ~ T h e ~ a d j e c t i v e ~$ is usually translated＂mellow，＂loarpias （otvos）being commended by Hermippus，
 iakivoou．So Schol．and Photius（s．v．） guarantee the meaning＂old，＂without any idea of rottenness，to $\sigma a \pi \rho \sigma$ s．But the fact that it is used Plut． 1086 of the musty lees of wine，$\tau \rho \dot{\xi}$ тa入aıà каl oarpá，makes this view difficult．Dr． Verrall，taking távod́ठє as $\tau \grave{\alpha} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\pi \delta \quad \lambda \in \iota$ ，translates＂the city is all stocked with peace－gone bad，＂supposing that bitter jokes were made by the country people immured in Athens on the stale nature of the town supplies．
556 каí either has here its explana－











om．RV． $560 \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \in \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mathrm{~V}$（sec．Cobetum）． 562 入ıтарүเьû $\mu \in \nu$ Ald．： 

tory sense，or specialises the rewpyot as a prominent class among＂honest folk．＂

557 тробєเтeiv，＂to salute，＂$\tau$ ds


559 пол入огтஸ̣ хро́vఱ．＂After many a season．＂$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ до $\sigma \dot{\prime}$＇s is an inde－ finitely large ordinal，lit．＂the manyeth．＂Thus，just as $\chi_{\text {i }}$ ıoo
 small，so the force of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda_{0 \sigma \tau}$ s depends on its being used with an integer or a fraction．Thus in a fragment of Cra－ tinus II．（ $a p$ ．Athen．xi． 460 F）we
 olka $\delta^{\prime} \eta \eta^{\eta} \kappa \omega \nu$ ，i．e．after many years．But
 orators）is＂not a hundredth part．＂ mo入入ootós could not be joined to גpoyos in the sense of＂time，＂since time is not one of a series；it follows that xpóvos is here＂season，＂as in Aesch．
 $\chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \tau \beta \rho \iota \theta \epsilon i \bar{s}$.

561 入óфous ．．．Topyóvas．Cf． supr．474，n．

562 8тнs．Cf，supr．77，n．
 $\mu о ч \mu \in \theta a$ ．An obscure word，found also Nub，1253，oưkouv àvúfas rt $\theta$ âttov àmo－
 is a slang term ；Merry suggests＂ske－ daddle．＂
đà Xwpia．＂Our farms，＂as Eq． 1077，etc．
563 rapixiov．The diminutive is used iтокорьттькшิs（Athen．iii． 119 C）． Salt fish was a very cheap form of food；cf．Vesp．491，тov̂ tapíxous દ̇otiv
à $\xi t \omega \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon} \rho a$ ，with Starkie＇s note．But at least it is to be $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \delta \dot{\nu}$ not $\sigma a \pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \nu$ （supr．529，n．fin．）．The varieties and prices of $\tau$ ápıरos are discussed at great length by Athenaeus，iii．85－90（116 E－ 120 B ）．

565 ＂And compact，and dazzling， like barley－cake or feast of plenty．＇ тuкvóv refers both to the close array and the closely－kneaded cake，yopyóv both to theshining implements and to the dazzling appearance of a good spread．
yopyóv is properly applied to eyes， ＂flashing，＂e．g．Aesch．Theb．537，ropyòv
 note），Pr．356，etc．The effect of the flashing on other eyes is often，as here， present；thus in Eur．Andr． 1123 ， रopyòs óm入itqs iofiv，the full meaning is＂a warrior in flashing arms，dazzling to behold．＂
 ＂Makes a gleaming weapon．＂This difficult phrase is clearly suggested by tragedy，perhaps by the extant Aesch．
 $\dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$（＂borne weapon－wise＂）． This inversion of $\dot{j} \pi \lambda i \zeta_{\epsilon \in \sigma \theta a t}$（contrast Eur．Bacch．733，$\theta$ v́proıs $\delta \iota a$ Х $\chi \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ $\dot{\omega} \pi \lambda(\sigma \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu a l)$ is made easier by the well－ known adaptability of verbs in－lis ， although it would not be possible to say $\dot{\sigma} \pi \lambda i \zeta \in \epsilon \nu \lambda a \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha$ in the sense required． $\epsilon \xi \omega \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ has hitherto been taken to mean＂prepared，＂＂decked out，＂but the comparison which runs through the whole passage demands ö $\pi$ 入oy in the sense of a＂weapon．＂The procession is a $\sigma$ тí申os ．．．yopyóv－but of peace，




$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \varsigma$ ，
$\tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \delta_{\iota \alpha i} \tau \eta_{S} \tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \hat{\alpha}_{S}$ ，
$\ddot{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon i \chi \chi$ аӥт $\pi \theta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i v$ ，
$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma^{i} \omega \nu$ є̇кєं$\nu \omega \nu$ ，

not war：the sun strikes upon the glitter－ ing－shovels；what a flashing weapon is the－mattock！The use of the ad－ verbial neut．sing．adj．$\lambda a \mu \pi$ póv（a rare construction，except in the case of a few common words，such as $\left.\mu \in ́ \gamma a, \pi o \lambda \dot{v}, \tau a \chi u^{\prime}\right)$ further points to tragedy ；cf．Eur．Supp． 104，$\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a ́ s \omega \nu$ oikт ${ }^{2} \nu$ ．（ $\lambda a \mu \pi a ́ s$ for $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ v$, from Aesch．1．c．，would be too bold a suggestion）．
$\eta \mathrm{\eta} v$ d $\rho$＇shows that the military com－ parison strikes him now for the first time，though he might have thought of it long ago．Cf．supr．22，infr．819， Goodwin，M．T． 39.

567 өрivakєs．Hesych．$\theta \rho i v a \xi$ ．$\pi \tau$ úov oitou $\eta^{\eta}$ тpiacva．The $\theta$ piva is fully described and discussed by Miss J．E． Harrison in the Hellenic Journal，xxiii． （1903）pp．303－307，where a drawing is given of a modern $\theta v p \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$（i．e． Өрเขáktov），brought from Crete by Mr．R．C．Bosanquet．This is a wooden implement used for winnowing，with a long handle（ 40 inches）and a spade－ shaped head，in which five teeth have been cut．Schol．Ven．on Hom．Il．xiii． 588 expressly distinguishes between the iron $\pi \tau \dot{v} \dot{o}$ and the wooden $\theta \rho i v a \xi$ ，the latter being shaped like a hand－an excellent description of the $\theta v p \nu a ́ k ı: ~$ but it is added that in Attica the general name $\pi$ río is given to both． Miss Harrison further shows that both in ancient and in modern times the $\theta \rho i \nu a, \xi$ could have either three or five prongs． Applying Miss Harrison＇s researches to the present passage we notice at once that here the $\theta$ pivakes are of iron（ $\delta$ ia－ $\sigma \tau i \lambda \beta=v \sigma t$ ），not of wood ；hence not only could the $\theta \rho \hat{i v a}$ 白 be called $\pi \tau \dot{o} o v$ ，but the iron $\pi \tau$ viov could go by the less generic
name of $\theta \rho i \hat{\nu} a \xi$ ，which was normally restricted to a wooden winnowing－shovel． One may，however，be permitted to doubt，on philological grounds，the derivation from tplaiva，however much it explains the facts．
sıaбtinßovat．For $\delta \iota a-$ showing the radiation of light，cf．$\delta \iota a \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，and Lat．internitere．
568 ка入ิิs ék $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ á $\pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \xi ̧ \in \epsilon \in v$. ＂Come off well from their help．＂For the verbal phrase，cf．Aeschin．Ctes．158，
 $\sigma \nu \mu \beta o u ́ \lambda \varphi$ х $\chi \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s:$ Aesch．Ag．1289，
 for the preposition，Xen．Mem．iii．13，6，
 The MSS．are clearly wrong with au่ $\hat{\omega} v:$ all the force of $\dot{a} \pi o ́$ is expended adverbially in this phrase，and none is left to govern a case．Herwerden reads aúroîs（for $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ av̇T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ），but I submit that the correction in the text is preferable． Kock＇s emendation is fairly simple，but
 supported by ка入 $\omega$ s．
 ő $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ ．Cf．$\mu \in \tau a i \chi \mu \iota \nu$ ，originally for $\tau \delta$ $\mu \in \tau a \xi \bar{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ai $\chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$（Eur．Phoen．1361）．

570 sıà Xpóvov，＂after all this time，＂ is often sentimental，＂as in old days，＂ ＂for the sake of old times．＂Cf．Plut．
 So Vesp．1252，Lys． 904.

Tò रñठiov．A playful term for $\tau$ र $\chi \omega \rho i o v(s u p r .562)$ ．For the contraction， cf．supr．382，n．

573 aút 7 ．Pointing to Peace，not to Theoria，though her virtues were en－ larged on last，supr．524－532．
$574 \pi a \lambda \alpha \sigma{ }^{i} \omega \nu$ ．Suidas gives $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha-$ $\theta i \omega \nu$ ，which has good authority else－

```
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma u ́ \kappa \omega \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu u ́ \rho \tau \omega \nu\),
тท̂s три о́́s тє ти̂s \(\gamma \lambda\) икєías,
т \(\hat{\eta}_{\varsigma} i \omega \nu \iota \hat{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~\)
\(\tau \hat{\omega} \phi \rho \in ́ \alpha \tau \iota, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \lambda \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu\)
\(\hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \theta o u ̂ \mu \in \nu\),
```

$\alpha \nu \tau i$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ тท́vঠє $\nu v \nu i$
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \epsilon \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon і$ ítate.
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є́ $\delta \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \pi o ́ \theta \omega$,



*     *         *             *                 *                     * 


тâatv óто́ $\sigma 0 \iota \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho-$


$59^{\circ}$
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu$




where, but Hesychius recognises both forms. They were brick-shaped cakes of pounded figs (Phot. s.v.).
$575 \mu$ и́ртан. See infr. $1154, \mathrm{n}$.
 pansy-bed by the tank." Though the $\mu t \lambda a \nu$ tov or violet may have grown in Attica, ̌ov (and loofé申avot 'A $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ) probably refer as a rule to a larger manycoloured flower. Cf. Pind. Ol. vi. 9r,


For the form $\not \omega \nu L a ́, ~ c f . ~ p o \delta o \omega \nu l a ́, ~ к \rho ı \nu \omega \nu t a ́ . ~$
578 è̉âิv. Distinguished by the words $\bar{\omega} \nu \pi \sigma_{0} 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$, as being the typical tree of Attica, the gift of Athene. Cf. Soph. O. C. 701. So Schol., rightly; Herwerden refers $\hat{\omega} \nu$ to the whole series.

582 For the metrical question on which the rearrangement of this line depends, see supr. 346, n.
à $\sigma \mu$ évolotv. For the construction, cf.
 $\dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \in$, and often. So Tac. Agr. 18, Quibus bellum volentibus erat.
583 б̣̂̂ $\pi o ́ \theta \omega$. . The possessive pronoun fills the place of an objective
genitive, $\sigma 0$ û. Cf. Soph. O. T. 969, el' Tt $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \pi \delta \theta \omega \mid \kappa a \tau \notin \phi \theta_{t} \theta^{\prime}$ : Ter. Phorm. ior6, Neque neglegentia tua neque odio fecit tuo.

É $\delta a ́ \mu \eta \nu$. Two other forms of the aorist occur both in Homer and Tragedy - $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \alpha \mu \dot{d} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \mu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$.
 trochaic tetrameter is needed before (or after) this line to make the correspondence with supr. 349-350 and 388-389 complete. Richter, who would make 349 and 388 trochaico-cretics (see supr. 388, n.) repeats line 582 ( $\chi a \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon, \chi a \hat{i \rho}{ }^{\prime}$, к.т. . . $^{\text {) }}$ after àvep
$588 \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho$ yòv $\beta$ lov. For the adjectival use of a substantive like $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \delta$ s, cf. Eur.
 993, $\tau \iota \mu$ às $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} p a s$ è $\chi$ оитєs.

590 This verse should be a trochaic dimeter catalectic, if it is to correspond with supr. 353 and 392. See cr. n.
$592 \pi \rho i v \pi o \tau^{2}$. "In days gone by." See note on $\pi \dot{d} \lambda a l$ mort́, supr. 133. Cf.
 quotation, like Vesp, 1074). Aristo-


$\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha ́ \tau^{\prime} \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \alpha$

$\tau \not ้ \lambda \lambda \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad \phi \cup \tau \grave{\alpha}$



EP．$\hat{\dot{\omega}} \lambda_{\imath \tau \epsilon}$





phanes does not use $\pi \rho i v$ adverbially elsewhere；it was alien to the diction of comedy（Bachmann，Conj．pp．47－48）．
$\dot{k} \pi l$ oov．＂When thou wert with us．＂So commonly $\epsilon \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} y$ ，etc．，＂in our time．＂In Hom．Il．ix． 403 we
 meaning＇here．
594 ¿́sárava．The dapes inemptae of Virgil（G．iv．I33）and Horace（Epod．ii． 48）．Cf．Ach．33－36，т̀̀ $\nu$ ס＇$\epsilon \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \bar{\eta} \mu о \nu$



595 ＂Wheaten groats and life secure．＂For the combination，cf．supr． $526, \mathrm{n}$ ．；for $\chi \hat{\imath} \bar{\rho} \rho a$ and peace，cf．Eqq．

 àväappír ．Schol．（both here and Eq． l．c．）differ as to whether $\chi^{\imath} \hat{\imath} \rho a$ was made of wheat or barley；but Athenaeus xiv． 648 B，says，$\chi \hat{\delta} \hat{\delta} \rho o \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta$ oì $\pi v p o i ́$ ．

596 á $\mu \pi$ е́̀ıа ：．бvкiồa．En－ dearing diminutives，convenient for metrical reasons in a paeonic system．

600 тробүєлáóєтац．Cf．Aesch．
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \tilde{a ̣}$ ：Lucr．i．8，tibi rident aequora ponti．
入aßóvs＇ä $\sigma \mu \in v a$ ．＂Right glad to find thee．＂For the participle with ä $\sigma \mu$ evos， giving the cause of pleasure，cf．Thuc．vi．
 тарацעєิ．．
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．For $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\jmath}$ ，＂away from，＂after a simple verb of rest，cf．Hom．Il．ii．292，
$\mu \hat{v} \omega \nu$ àmò ग̂̀s ả̉óxow：Thuc，i．7，ámò $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$ بُкi $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$.
$603 \AA$ むे $\lambda_{เ \pi \epsilon \rho \vee \eta ิ \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ к . т . \lambda . ~ T h e ~}^{\text {．}}$ Scholiast tells us that Cratinus uses in the חutivy a verse of Archilochus，$\hat{\omega}$
 $\dot{\rho} \eta \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ，and Diodorus（xii．40）quotes the
 к．т．入．Most editors keep бофஸ́тaтo九， holding that Diodorus has fallen into a （very natural）misquotation．But（I）it is hard to deny that the pitying epithet is the more－appropriate to the passage． ooфẃtatot is naturally used in the epirrhema of a parabasis，where the choryphaeus tempers reproof with com－ pliment（Nub．575， ஸ̂ бофஸ́татоt $\theta$ єataí， Ran．700，$\hat{\omega}$ боф $\omega$ тatot $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon t$ ）：but Hermes，a character in the play，is on a different footing from an epirrhematising choryphaeus，and he shows no fear or favour（infr．635－636，641－645）：（2） бофढ́тaтol is particularly inappropriate with $\gamma \in \omega$ proi：（3）the substitution of a common for a rare word is a fruitful source of error，and here the common word could be at once supplied from the Clouds，－a play perhaps more familiar to copyists than even the Plutus ；（4）the Scholia show no sign of $\sigma \circ \phi \dot{\text { úrazot，but they could scarcely }}$ have failed to remark on such a strik－ ing variation from Archilochus and Cratinus．

605 как $\omega ิ v$ үà $\dagger \hat{\eta} \rho \xi \xi_{0} \quad$ Corrections of the unmetrical words aưT $\hat{\jmath} \mathrm{j} \eta \mathrm{\eta} \xi \varepsilon$ are very numerous．The simple transposition， $\hat{\eta} \rho \xi \in \nu \quad \alpha u ̛ \tau \eta)(s c . a \dot{a} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta s)$ ，will con－








 düv $\hat{\eta} s$ Madvig: alii alia. $606 \mu \in \tau d \sigma \chi \eta \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ סikns Ald. $607 \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ R. $610 \kappa \dot{\jmath} \xi \epsilon \varnothing \dot{\sigma} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ Bentl.: $\epsilon \xi є \varnothing \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon$ (add. $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ Ald.) codd. : 

vince no one, though it carries the weight of Bentley's name. $\hat{\eta} p \xi \in \nu$ ä $\tau \eta s$ (Seidler) would be a quotation from tragedy; this proposal is justly popular (cf. Aesch. Ag. 1192, тро́тарХоข diт $\eta$, Eur. Med. $1372, \hat{\eta} p \xi \in \pi \eta \mu \circ \nu \eta) s)$, and far the best of those which aim at a slight alteration of auviरोs. Out of these mention should be made of $\hat{\eta} \rho \xi^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \dot{u} \hat{\tau} \hat{y}$ (Madvig), which is scarcely so probable as Seidler's conjecture, $\epsilon โ \rho \xi \in \nu$ aưrín (Blaydes, an improvement on Bergk's aút $\{\chi$ ' $\epsilon i \rho \xi \xi$, which keeps the order of words, but cries
 (Ruppersberg, omitting $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ), which would not be a bad suggestion but for the rare division of the tribrach (see Starkie, Vesp. p. xliv, note 2). Corrections which introduce the nominative aủtós are improbable, while Zielinski's
 the play to which reference is made in Intr. p. 12, note I. But all these suggestions introduce transposition without attaining anything like certainty; it is less violent to suppose that autrôs itself was an adscript to какढ้̈ (Prof. Bury in Hermathena, xxvi. p. 96). Herwerden had previously put forward a conjecture embodying this view, and his order of words I adopt in preference to
 какйv is the one word which very frequently follows tipşal (see instances of Blaydes, comm.), and the repetition какผ̂̀ . . . какйs is pleasing. For the somewhat rare separation $\mu \grave{\ell} \nu$. . $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, cf. Plut. 1205.
$\Phi$ Etסias $\pi$ págas kakŵs. See a full and acute discussion in Dr. Merry's edition, Introd. iv.

607 тд̀v aủtoסà̧ трóтоv. "Your
mad-dog temper." Cf. Lys. 687, ruval$\kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ aủrodà $\mathfrak{\xi} \dot{\omega} \rho \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{v} \nu \omega \nu$.
608-9 Cf. Ach. 530-534, кảvтєù $\theta$ н




 But there Pericles is said to have acted because of the affront put upon Aspasia by the Megarians.
610 к $\dot{\xi} \xi \in \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon v$. With Bergk's punctuation (full stop after $\pi \delta \delta_{\lambda}(\nu)$ no change is needed, but the swing of the lines suffers considerably. Also the inserted $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ of Ald. B and lemma of Schol. (see Intr. p. 44) shows that the pause was traditionally taken after $\psi \eta \phi \ell \sigma \mu a \tau o s$. Words in crasis were constantly garbled by scribes (cf. Cobet, V. L. p. 139 sqq.), and the omission of $k a l$ when blended with another word was made easy by the prevalence of such forms of writing as $\kappa^{\prime}$ a $\xi \in \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu($ Zacher-Velsen, Eq. p. xvii.). Thus supr. 447, RV have $\epsilon l$ for $k e l: A c h$. 116, all MSS. but R have oưk for коưk, ib. 515, oúxi for коúxi, and Ranz. 408 (an exact parallel) R has $\begin{aligned} & \xi \\ & \xi \\ & \epsilon \\ & \rho\end{aligned} \epsilon s$ for $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \xi \eta \dot{\cup} \rho \epsilon s$.

611 ठakpûбal. The word is suggestive ; in the metaphor the eyes water under the smart of smoke, but heartfelt tears were the result of the war. Cf. supr. 248-249, where garlic leads up io the same idea.
toùs ékêt. The Peloponnesians.
612 äkovo". "All against its will," "protesting." "The word is anything but "ineptum" (Blaydes) ; it adds a very distinct touch to the sprightly personification of the vine, as $\dot{u} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ does to that of the cask in the next line. Both are in a bad temper, the one sulky







 620




and the other passionate. The conjecture $\dot{d} \phi \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma^{\prime}$ (adopted by Herwerden from Blaydes) goes far to weaken both lines. "̈коva' is not convincing. There was no particular news for the vine to hear ; the verb sadly needs an object;
入ákтtஏev apodotic we weaken the climax of line 614.

छ́భóфŋテєv. "Crackled" under the flames, during the 'yearly raids of the Peloponnesians into Attica at the beginning of the war. Cf. Ach. 512, к $\dot{\dot{\alpha}} \mu \mathrm{o}$


613 Schol. $\delta \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\imath}$ тoùs $\pi i$ ifous vimò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi о \lambda є \mu i \omega \nu$ ката́ $\gamma \nu \nu \sigma \theta a \iota$. Cf, infr. 703.
614 oủkย́t $\tau^{\prime}$ ท̀v . . . © $\pi a v ́ \sigma \omega v$. A somewhat stately expression, which does not belong to the ordinary language of Comedy, but it is quite in place in these didactic trochaics. Cf. Soph. El. I197,
 Aesch. Prom. 27, $\dot{\delta} \lambda \omega \phi \eta \gamma^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oủ $\pi \epsilon \in \phi u \kappa \epsilon \in \pi \omega$. For the idiom, see Goodwin, M. T. 826.

616 aủrn̂ пробท́коь. "Was related to her" will perhaps cover the two meanings. Trygaeus means only "was concerned with her," but the Chorus seize on the other meaning of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, and imagine a family relationship.
ŋ̆кпкón. For this, the only correct form, see Rutherford, $N^{\top} . P$. pp. 229-238, Starkie, Vesp. p. 417.
617 тâ̂t'. Cf. supr. 414 , ก.
618 бvyүєvís. The Chorus speaks as if the carver of beautiful statues must have beautiful sisters and cousins. This $i$; in the spirit of Johnson's parody of

Brooke, "Whodrives fat oxen should himself be fat." In Thesm. 165-166 Agathon says of Phrynichus, aủrós $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \partial \partial^{\prime} \hat{\eta}^{v}$ каi
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda ’ \hat{\eta} \nu \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \rho a ́ \mu a \tau a . ~$
 reflection. "What a lot of things one fails to notice!"

621 'ॄ่ ${ }^{\prime}$ ' ن̂นîv. Cf. Ach. 660, кail $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$

tov̀s фópovs фоßoúpeval. Cf. Thuc. i. 99, aitiaı $\delta^{\prime}$ ả̉ $\lambda a \iota \tau \varepsilon \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảmo$\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ каl $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma เ \sigma \tau a \imath$ ai $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ фóp $\omega \nu$ каі $\nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}{ }^{\ell} \kappa \delta \delta \epsilon u$. But the bearing of $\phi о \beta \frac{\dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \mathrm{var}}{}$ is not very clear. The words are usually understood to imply fear of further taxation, but in that case the motives of the subject states in stirring up war are inexplicable. War would be sure to increase their burdens; they might revolt indeed and escape all tribute, but then they would not have acted originally through fear of increased taxation. Again, the sight of party strife at Athens (1.620) could scarcely make the allies anticipate increase of tribute, since they could not know which party would prevail; if Pericles had been driven from power in 433-432 B.C., the position of the subject allies would no doubt have been improved. It seems more probable that the allies are represented as seriously alarmed at the amount to which the tribute had already risen, and at their own é $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \iota_{l}$ in regard to it, and that $\phi о \beta o v ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha i$ has no future reference. The article $\tau$ ovis makes for this view.

623 aïxpoкєpסєîs. Applied again to the Spartans in Eur. Andr. 45 I (in a









Bentl. $630 \gamma \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ Bentl. : $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ RVF: $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}$ Ald. $631 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \notin \delta \mu \nu \nu \nu$ Pors.
passionate attack on Sparta), oủk aiбхpo$\kappa є \rho \delta \epsilon$ is; Schol. quotes an old oracle,
 oùder (Plut. Inst. Lacon. p. 239 F).
Stetp $\omega v$ ógevor. "Very tricky with strangers." The dislike felt by the Spartans towards foreigners was proverbial. Cf. Thuc. ii. 39 (Pericles con-

 गे $\theta$ єá $\mu a t o s:$ Ar. Av. Iol2. Here the छ'vos is Peace, who after being entertained is rudely ejected. Intensive $\delta$ tá with non-material adjectives (an extension of the physical $\delta$ iuypos, $\delta$ it $\phi \theta o s$, $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o s$, , $\delta a \lambda \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime}$, etc.) is rare except where the adjective is formed direct
 But $\delta \operatorname{la\delta \epsilon } \xi \bar{\xi} \cos$ (Herod.), " very propitious,"

 correctness of the capital letter will perhaps hardly be doubted. The Spartans drive out Eip $\eta \dot{\eta} \eta$, and lay violent hands on Mó $\lambda_{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{os}$, whom they carry off to their city to fill her place. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \rho \pi d \zeta \in \epsilon \nu$ is specially used of kidnapping: cf. Eur.

 Od. xv. 427, etc. Editors, writing $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \mu_{0}$, have been driven to translate by "bel'um acriter susceperunt" or the like, though (as Herwerden admits) there is no authority for such a use of the verb.
$625 \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon i v \omega \nu$, i.e. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \omega ิ \nu \mu \varepsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$

roîs $\gamma$ ewpyois. Those of Laconia, the oưסèv ai̛T Loo ă $\nu \delta \rho \in s$ of 1.627 .

626 ẫ does not imply that the Peloponnesians had used triremes; the Athenians retaliate with cruisers for the land
invasions they had suffered. Cf. Thuc. ii. 25 and 56 .
 67 , n.
крádas. Schol. крáồ єโ̄os $\sigma \cup \kappa \hat{s} s . ~ S o$ Hesych. : крáoŋ $\quad \sigma \nu \kappa \hat{\eta}$.
катŋŋбөเov. A surprise for катєкоттод.
$628 \mu \mathrm{E} \mathrm{v}$ oธิv, of vigorous protest ancl correction. Cf. Ran. 612, 626, Soph. O.T. 705, etc. The words objected to are oủồv alticu.

корашшє $\boldsymbol{v}$. For the termination - $\epsilon \omega$, peculiar to figs and fig-trees, cf. Ach. 802, $\phi\langle\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ l $\sigma \chi \alpha \dot{\sigma} a s$, and many more in Athen. iii. 75A-77A.
$629 \kappa \dot{d} \xi_{\epsilon} \in \rho \in \psi \alpha ́ \mu \eta v$. In his tenderness Trygaeus adds to '̇фúteváa (used either of a father or a planter) the distinctly parental $\epsilon \xi \in \theta \rho \epsilon \psi d \mu \eta \eta$. Cf. Eur.
 $\psi \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$.
 is improbable. The evidence of inscriptions and papyri, though not of positive, is at least of negative value for literary Attic in such matters as the accommodation of consonants. It so happens that inscriptions do not show a case

 before hard mutes (e.g. $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi \\ \pi\end{gathered}$ गous and

 twice, $\begin{gathered} \\ \gamma \\ \delta \\ \delta \alpha \kappa \tau \cup ́ \lambda \omega \nu \\ \text { often). See Meister- }\end{gathered}$ hans, Gramm., pp. 109, 158. This is fully enough to show that the rules applying to $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ apply to $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \xi\end{gathered}$ also, except that in the case of the numeral the original ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi$ may remain unchanged. As to the preposition, the evidence of inscriptions for $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma$ and against $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ is over-









whelming（Meisterhans，pp．105－109）， and the occurrence of $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu a \nu \theta$ divoss in the Antiope papyrus is very striking．In Soph．O．T．1137，where MSS．give i$\mu \mu \eta \eta^{\eta} \nu o u s, ~ t h e ~ o r i g i n a l ~ r e a d i n g ~ w a s ~$ probably $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu \eta{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ ous，as $\Gamma$ and M are often confused in majuscules．

632 oบ́pyátךs $\lambda \epsilon \omega$ s，i．e．of $\gamma \in \omega p \gamma 0$ ， the earliest sense of ${ }^{\prime} p \gamma a$ being＂tilled lands＂or＂agriculture．＂For the immigration of the country folk into Athens，see Thuc．ii．14，16－17．Neil on Eq． 224 points out that there is a kindly touch in the periphrasis，as infr． 921，Ach．162，Eq．l．c．
$633 \pi \omega \lambda$ ouv $\mu$ vos．＂Being bought and sold．＂The word implies betrayal for interested motives，not（like our slang use of＂sold＂）mere deceit or disap－ pointment．Cf．Ach．374，кả $\nu \tau \alpha v \hat{\theta}$ a
 978，оїио $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \rho а \mu а \iota ~ к а \dot{\pi} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \lambda^{\prime}$ ：Plaut． Bacch．iv．7，16，Nescis nunc venire te．

634 үเүápт $\omega v$ ．Jocularly substituted for $\beta_{0}$ of ${ }^{\prime} \omega y$ ．Schol．is plainly romanc－ ing in the statement that farmers cut up grape－stones to eat with their dried figs．
ioxádas．For the popularity and excellence of the Attic variety，see Athen．xiv． 67 （ $652 \mathrm{~B}-653 \mathrm{~B}$ ），who quotes， among other things，from Alexis：ci $\sigma$－
 ＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．Cf．Ar．passim．

636 ác日єvoûvtas．＂Needy．＂Cf．

 here mentioned were by no means the usual lot of the Greek $\pi$ tév $\boldsymbol{s}$ ，any more than of the Roman pauper；his condi－ tion of life（in contrast with that of the $\pi \tau \omega \chi \delta s$ ）is defined in Plut．552－554．
d̀ $\lambda$ itcov．Cf．supr．477，n．
637 8ıкроís
кєкра́ $\boldsymbol{q}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ абเข．
＂With two－pronged－shrieks．＂The last word is suddenly substituted for zù ${ }^{\prime}$ ots，after the poet＇s manner．Ruther－ ford（ $N . P$ ．p．310）quotes from Timocles （Athen．vi． 243 B ），тд̀̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu a \sigma \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$
 Phrynichus vouches for the form $\delta i k \rho o u v$ ．
638 тол入а́кเs фаveîбav．For the facts，cf．supr．219，n．fin．，infr．665，n．
aủtท＇v，＂of her own accord，＂for аง่тона่тทリ．Cf．Soph．O．T．341，etc．

639 ยैбєเо้ ．．．dy．＂Kept on
 фavtŋ̂oat，a gloss which is fully borne out by his quotations；Ar．（fr．219），
 $\phi$ ávtovv，Telecleides（ $f r_{0} \cdot 2$ ），$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$

 same sense is hinted at in a pun，$E q$ ． 840，бєlقy $\tau \epsilon$ каl тара́ттшy．The metaphor is obscure；Photius says ＂from shaking fruit－trees，＂and it is just possible that it is an extension of the supposed original meaning of ovko－ фavteiv．

тaxєîs．＂Substantial．＂Neil＇s view， that＂$\pi a \chi$ us，＇bloated，＇was the retort phrase used by the lower orders to the bilyou＂（on Eq．II39），rests on rather slender evidence．In the four instances found in Herodotus（v．30，77；vi． 91 ； vii．I56），oi $\pi a x \in \epsilon$ is a perfectly compli－ mentary term for the oligarchical party in different cities，chosen no doubt originally by themselves，to show that they had a real stake in their country． This Ionic title might afterwards have been used slightingly at Athens，but the three instances quoted from Aristophanes do not show that it had any political significance．In Eq．l．c．，тоút $\omega \nu$ òs àv $\dot{y}$


## 




 $\chi \rho v \sigma i(\omega$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ тоtoúvт $\omega \nu$ є́ßúvouv $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$,

 Buрбот $\omega$ д $\eta$ s．
TP．



RVF．Bpa⿱tiov codd．：Bpaбiठa Dobr．（Suid．s．v．）． 643 ärтa Fl．


be a，demagogue who is to be＂fattened up＂for the table，and Neil＇s explana－ tion of the inconsistency is at best problematical．In Vesp．288，kal ràp
 Opqंk $n s$ ，the culprit would indeed be an oligarch，but $\pi$ axús probably refers solely to his wealth（cf．Eq．265，$\pi$ 入ov́ctos in a similar connection）．In the passage before us，тov̀s $\pi$ axeîs kal $\pi \lambda$ ovaious is a single expression，＂those who are rich， fat，and flourishing．＂

640 wंs фpovề тà Bpartסov．Cf． Vesp．474，$\dot{\omega} \mu \iota \sigma \delta \dot{j \eta \mu \varepsilon}$ каi $\mu$ оуархіаs
 （quoted in last note）．It has been suggested that these passages refer to the prosecution of Thucydides for his failure to save Amphipolis；see Starkie on Vesp． 288.
фpovei．For the objections to the form $\phi \rho \circ$ ô̂，see Rutherford，N．P．p．442， sqq．

642 èv фó $\beta \omega$ ка日 $\eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \mathrm{v} \eta$ ．＂Sitting helpless in terror．＂Blaydes finds diffi－ culty in this phrase，but there need be none if we note that the words are not （as in the common phrase ì $\phi \delta \beta \omega \epsilon$ єlvac） to be taken closely together，and that каө $\eta \mu \dot{\ell} \nu \eta$ means more than＂sitting＂（cf． Gildersleeve on Pind．Ol．i．83）．

643 siaßá入o．Until the word そु $\sigma$ tev comes as a surprise，$\delta$ ra $\beta \dot{d} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ or bears only its ordinary meaning，＂what－ ever slanders any one tells her；＂but at the end of the line we see that the first
verb suggests $\pi a \rho a \beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda_{0}$ ，＂whatever morsels any one throws her，＂referring to the kuvidea of 641 ．We may trans－ late，＂whatever is thrown out．＂So in Eq．262，$\delta\llcorner a \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ has a hint at $\delta \iota a-$ $\beta a \lambda \omega \nu$（if Casaubon＇s $\delta \iota a \lambda \alpha \beta \omega_{\nu}$ is right）， and see supr．279，note on $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0$－ $\sigma \tau \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha$,
644 oi 8 É．Taken up by of Ǧ́vou．
＇єтv́тtov日＇．The change to＇̇тúnte日＇is quite unnecessary．The imperfect passive of $\tau \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega$ is beyond reproach （Plut．1O15），and the subject，of $\delta$ ta－ $\beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \mu \dot{e} v O L$ ，is understood without difficulty．
645 रpuбị̣ ．．．éßúvovv tò नтópa．
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\rho} \eta \tau \delta \rho \omega \nu:$ Cratin．fr． 186. The phrase is even more natural in Greek than in English，owing to the habit of carrying money in the mouth（Vesp．609， 791 ；Av．503；Eccl．818）．
＇́ßv́vovy．＂In usu erat $\beta v v \hat{\omega}, \beta \dot{v} \sigma \omega$ ，
 V．L．p．138．B Ald．give the unsup－ ported form $\dot{\epsilon} \beta$ viouv．
646 ẅ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ is here followed by two clauses，which，though strictly parallel， are differently constructed．But mồ $\sigma a t$ states a fact no less than é $\lambda a \theta \varepsilon$ ．The infinitive points out the natural result without definitely stating its occurrence ； but the occurrence is distinctly marked by the indicative clause co－ordinated with the first．Cf．Soph．El．7SO，ひ̈ $\sigma \tau^{\prime}$ oưte



 каì 入á入os каĭ бикофа́vтทs каі кúкŋ $\theta \rho о \nu$ каі та́рактроу， $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi^{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ขvvì
тoùs $\sigma є \alpha u \tau o u ̂ ~ \lambda o ı \delta o \rho \epsilon i ́ s . ~$
à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \omega \pi a ̂ s, \hat{\dot{\omega}} \pi о ́ \tau \nu \iota \alpha, \kappa а \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \circ \iota$ ．
EP．à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oưk ä̀ є’ıтoı т oós $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ toùs $\theta \epsilon \omega \mu$ évous．

TP．$\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ є i \pi a ́ \tau \omega ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu . ~$







 674 oûv om．R． $675 \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu(o m . \gamma$＇）B．
 See Goodwin，M．T． 584.

650 oós．Hermes was officially $\psi v \chi \circ \pi о \mu \pi \dot{s}$ and $\chi$ đóvios．Cf．Soph．$A j$ ．
 $\chi$ ®८vıov єṽ $\mu \varepsilon$ коццібац．Hor．Od．i．24， 18 ，etc．
 supr．320，Eq．691，ò Паф入аүஸ̀り ．．．каі тара́тт $\frac{\text { каі кикйข．}}{}$
$659 \hat{\omega} v$ ．The causal genitive after a verb or verbal phrase denoting anger is common in Sophocles，e．g．$A j .41$ ，$\chi \dot{j} \lambda \omega$


660 à $\lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \pi \rho \grave{s} \sigma \epsilon_{0}$＂To you any－ how，＂an extension of the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ of appeal． Cf．Soph．Trach．320，$\epsilon$＇$\pi^{\prime}$＇，$\dot{\omega}$ Td́ $\lambda a \omega \nu$＇，
 O．C． 1276.
$\mu$ цкрóv．＂Just a word or two ；＂cf．
 Eur．Heract．1oI8，тараı $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma a \iota$ боь $\sigma \mu \kappa \rho о ́ \nu$ ，＇А入к $\mu \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$ ，$\theta \in \lambda \omega$ ．Not＂in a low voice＂（Blaydes）．The use of
 does not justify this rendering．Blaydes takes $\mu$ óvov as masc．，comparing Eur．

Ion 1520．It seems to go rather with $\mu$ ккрóv，as ề $\mu$ úvov，Ach．477，Plut． 199.

661 ठ̊t voEis av̉roî̃t．＂How you feel towards them．＂For the dative， cf．Soph．El．334，סך $\eta \omega^{\prime} \sigma a \mu \mu^{\prime}$ av ot＇aủroís $\phi \rho o \nu \omega$ ．The dative in these cases does not follow the simple verb；in Soph．1．c． the underlying meaning of oia $\phi \rho o \nu \bar{\omega}$ is $\dot{\omega}$ s oprl $广$ oual，and here that of 0 o $\tau$ $\nu 0 \in i ̂$ is ${ }_{0} \tau_{\iota} \mu \epsilon \mu \phi \in \iota:$ the construction is influenced by the sense．
$662 \mu$ нотортакьбта́тท．Cf．supr． 304，uıбo入ápaxos，and for the comic super－ lative Vesp．923，ноvoфariftatov，Plat．


663 eiev．The last syllable is long， as infr．1284，Aesch．Cho．657．B Ald． insert $\gamma$＇，their usual remedy．For the possibly correct spelling etè see Neil on Eq． 1078.
665－7 $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тảv Пú $\omega$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．Cf．




 Cf．supr． 212 s ．


 ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ какóvous à่ $\bar{n} \mu \dot{\mu} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta a ́ d \epsilon$,

TP. єن̉vov́бтатоs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \mu а к \rho \hat{\omega}$ K $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu о s$.






 680
'TP. ' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \in \rho \beta$ ол


 pavaiv. "Our minds were then wrapped up in the - tanned hides," i.e. we followed Cleon the tanner blindly. An extreme statement of his treatment of Spartan embassies is given Eq. 794796. It is most unlikely that Schol. is right in supposing a reference to the proverb $\sigma \kappa u ̛ T \eta$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Vesp, 643), involving the further meaning, " we were afraid of a tanning" (from Cleon).
674 тоốs тis . . . Soкeit; " ' What sort of reputation has . . .?" Cf. Vesp. 530 , etc.
676 oûтєр . . . тоиิ тarpós. Dobree (Adv. ii. p. 210) suspected $\tau 0 \hat{\text {, }}$, but when the antecedent is drawn into the relative clause the article is regularly retained.

 907 , etc. etc. The line merely leads up to the pun in $\dot{a} \pi \circ \beta$ òruaios, and does not imply that the father of Cleonymus was a brave man.
 positious of his arms": a pun on $\dot{\boldsymbol{i} \pi-}$ Bòı $\mu$ aios, a "supposititious " child. For Cleonymus as $\dot{\rho} i \psi$ a⿱㇒日, $\pi$ s, cf. supr. 446 , n., Nub. 353, Vesp. 19 sqq., 592, etc.

680 той $\lambda$ ( $\theta$ ov тoû $v$ тй $\pi u k v$ l. "The stone" was a special name for the $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{c}$ of the Pnyx: cf. Eccl. 87, inठ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\lambda \alpha ́ p o s ~ к є \chi \eta \nu \dot{\omega} s \quad \grave{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho a s \quad \delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$. Thus кратєí $\tau<\hat{v} \lambda(\theta o u$ is equivalent to $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau o \hat{u}$ òñ $\mu$ (cf. infr. 684), the unofficial title of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta s \tau$. $\delta$. being given to the leader of the popular party for the time being ; cf. Eq. 1128 , Thuc. ii. 65, viii. 89, etc., Arist. Ath. Pol. xxviii. (a list of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a ́ \tau a c), ~ i i . ~ 2, ~$ etc. See Whibley, Political Parties int Athens, p. 51 sqq.
$\pi u k \nu$. The later form $\pi \nu v \kappa i$ ( B Ald.) is usually given by the majority of MSS. ; e.g. Eq. 42, 165, 749, 751, Eccl. 243, 281, 283.

681 'Yтє́ $\beta$ ßолоs. We have no materials with which to "whitewash" Hyperbolus, but there is no need to take him at the valuation of Aristophanes and Plato Comicus. Indeed the bitterness of our poet, who attacks him in every extant play prior to his ostracism, is a kind of tribute to the demagogue. But Thucydides, however biassed, commands respect when for once he uses strong language, viii. 73, $\mu \circ \chi \theta \eta \rho \partial ̀ \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \circ \nu$,

 aio $\chi \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu \tau \eta ิ s \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega$. The strictures of the ancients are summarised by Holden, Onomasticon, s.v.; for a brief apologia see Dr. Hager in Dict. Ant. i. p. 819 .







TP. єи’ßоидо́тєроц $\gamma є \nu \eta \sigma о ́ \mu \in \theta \alpha$.
EP. тро́тн тivи;

є́ұ $\eta \lambda \alpha \hat{\phi} \hat{\mu} \mu \nu$ є่ $\nu$ бко́т $\omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, $\nu v \nu i \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \lambda u ́ \chi \nu о \nu ~ \beta o v \lambda є и ́ \sigma о \mu є \nu$.
EP. $\quad \ddot{\omega}$,

TP. тò тí;
EP. $\quad \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$, к $\alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \hat{i} \ddot{u} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$ то́тє.
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ö тı $\pi \rho а ́ т \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \Sigma о ф о к \lambda є ́ \eta \varsigma ~ \alpha ̀ \nu \eta \prime \rho є \tau о . ~$


 Perhaps the statue was made with a loose head, which Hermes, who is standing close beside it, might turn with a string.

 $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu) \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \quad \chi \rho \omega \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta \nu \mid \dot{\alpha} \in \grave{\imath}$ đounpoîs. Every metic had to be enrolled under a patron, and the term for this was $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \dot{a} \tau \eta \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \gamma \rho \dot{d} \phi \in \sigma \theta a$, In referring to the $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a \sigma t a$ тồ $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$, Aristophanes naturally uses language applicable to the more familiar $\pi p o \sigma$ -
 Topyóva, "you took the Gorgon for your patron" (of Lamachus) : Soph. O.T. 41I, $̈ \sigma \tau$ ' oủ Kрє́oעтоs $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau o u ~ \gamma є \gamma \rho a ́ ~ \psi-~$ ода..
687 téws, "for the time," "as a temporary arrangement," the limit being clearly defined by line 686 (viz. till an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \rho o \pi$ os is found). So infr. 846, Av. 1689 , "meanwhile" (till you come back again), Eccl. 707, "to fill up the time" (till they have finished), Kan. 989, "all the time" (till the talking is over), Eur. Heracl. 725 (till we reach the army).

For other uses of $\tau^{t}$ tws see Jebb on Soph. Aj. 558.
 him" (Merry). This surprise word is suggested by $\gamma$ vuvós.

690 גขхขотоוós. Cf. Nub. 1065, ' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \in \rho \beta$ оोоs $\delta^{\prime}$ ои́к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda$ 入ú $\chi \nu \omega \nu$ (the lampmarket), Eq. 739,'I 3 I 5 .
 Phaed. 99 B, $\delta$ ठй $\mu$ оь фаivovтal $\psi \eta \lambda a-$ $\phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ol $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ̇̀े $\sigma \kappa b т \varphi$ (Blaydes).
692 трòs $\lambda$ úxvov. Cf. Vesp. 772, $\dot{\eta} \lambda c a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ трòs $\ddot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu \nu$, Lat. ad lucernas. See the list given by Sobolewski (Praep. p. 171).

693 тò $\tau i$; No reasonable defence of $\tau \dot{d} \tau \ell$ has ever been advanced.
694 "Heaps of questions-especially about the old state of things she left behind her years ago." tóтє points, as so often in Thucydides, to an occasion in past time which will be readily remembered.
 extraordinary thing is happening to him." The full force of the present máox ${ }^{\text {L }}$ must be taken, as we see from $\gamma$ lyveraı.

| EP. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TP. |  |
| EP. |  |
| TP. |  <br>  |
| EP. |  |
| TP. |  |
|  |  |
| EP. | $\tau i \pi \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu ;$ |
|  | 695 трártol Ald. 700 ôal $\mathrm{\Gamma C}$ : ôè RV Ald. |


 whole note, and Arist. Rhet. iii. 2, 14. Court poets could scarcely escape the imputation of greed, at least at Athens.
 lived sixteen years longer, and, according to tradition, composed the Oedipzis Colonzers only shortly before his death.
 even go to sea on a mat," i.e. would run any risk. Schol. (and Plut. NMor., p. 405 B) quote a proverb, $\theta \in o \hat{v}$ at́ $\lambda o y \tau o s$


700 Kpativos. For his death, see next note. In the Kinights (526-536) Aristophanes had enlarged on this poet's former greatness, and his pitiable, neglected condition in old age; two years later the old man had revenged himself in his play the חutiv $\eta$, which won the first prize against the Clouds of Aristophanes.
ó roфós. "The poet." Cf. infr. 799, Ran. 883 (ả "ள்v $\sigma o \phi i a s$ ), Pind. Ol. i. I87, etc.
$7018 \theta^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda a ́ k \omega \nu \in s$ évé $\beta$ a $\lambda$ ov. As a matter of fact, the Spartans had not invaded Attica since 425 B.C., being afraid lest the prisoners taken from Sphacteria should be put to death. Now we know that Cratinus produced the
 (Acharn., Arg. I.), and the IIurivn at the City Dionysia in 423 B.C. (Nub., Arg. V.), $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{̣}$ têtel tô̂ Blou (Lucian, Macrob. 25). His death must therefore have occurred between April 423 and April 421 (in spite of Schol. to Av. 521). For he was certainly dead when these lines were written; Bergk's view of áméavev, that it means "all the
life went out of him and he produced nothing great " after the first invasion, is an effort of despair. In the first place, the accusation is absurd, when Cratinus had scored a splendid triumph in 423 B.C., after which Aristophanes would not dare to repeat the sneers of $E q .531-536$; then a misleading answer is out of place, after the truthful information given about Hyperbolus and Sophocles ; and, finally, such an ill-omened jest would not be popular with a Greek audience. It remains to discuss the brilliant hypothesis advanced by Cobet (Obs. crit. in Plat. Com., pp. 87-95). He argues that the Aákwles of Plato Comicus must have been produced in 422 B.C., and that the feast described in a fragment of that play ( $f r$ r. 69) ended in a drunken frolic, in which the banqueters "invaded" the scene, and among other riotous acts broke a cask of wine. Cratinus happened to die soon after, and Aristophanes suggests that such a waste of good liquor had caused the death of the bibulous old poet. Such a view can neither be proved nor disproved, but the chances are greatly against it. An impartial student of Plato's fragments will scarcely put his date of authorship so early as 422 B.C., in spite of Cobet's plea for the early date of the Zevis Kакои́мєขоs (pp. 97-10I); the banquet with which fr .69 deals shows no signs of a riotous ending; and, as Cobet is fain to admit (p. 89), the words " $8 \theta^{3}$ oi
 Plato and his play if the scene had passed into a proverb. It seems reasonable to suppose that the little joke is fully explained by supr. 613 , кai $\pi i \theta$ os $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \in l^{2}$
 conjunction with the drunken habits of











$703 \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~B} . \quad 705 \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$（ $-\epsilon \theta \alpha \mathrm{sec} . \mathrm{Herw)}$.R ：$\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \xi \delta \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$


Cratinus；Spartan invasions had wasted any quantity of wine，and Cratinus died of a broken heart in consequence．As to the dates of invasion，the poet makes an audacious anachronism to lead up to his joke；the audience know it，and know that he knows it，and may be trusted to laugh．

702 £́ракıáбаs．An obscure word for fainting，wrongly connected，even in ancient times，with $\dot{e x p l a ̂ v . ~ C f . ~ M o e r i s, ~}$ s．v．It occurs also Ran．481．
$703 \pi$（Өо⿱亠乂，катаүvípevov．Cf．supr． 613.
olvou．Aristophanes refers to the fondness of Cratinus for wine in Eq． 400,534 ，and Cratinus himself in the Hurivy jokes at and glories in this characteristic ；see Cratin．fr．183，187， 199．Cf．Schol．on Eq．400，where the plot of the $\Pi u \tau i \nu \eta$ is partly sketched ； Hor．Ep．i．19，I－3：Anon．ap．Athen．
 Kрativos，à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\omega} j \omega \delta \omega ̀ s ~ \pi i \theta o v$.
$704 \pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau^{\prime}$ ；Cf．Ran．173，$\pi \delta \sigma^{\prime}$ ă äтa（ $\sigma \kappa \in \cup a ́ p \iota a)$ ；＂how much luggage？＂ But in the line before us the interrogative form is merely idiomatic for a super－ lative；＂and any amount of other things have happened．＂Cf．Nub．1368，
 ópex $\theta \in \mathrm{\epsilon iv}$ ；Ach．12，24，etc．

708 ßóтpvs．Put $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o к i a v ~$ for тєкva．Cf．Rañ．422，òs ėттє́тทs む̀v оن̉к єैфибє фра́тєраs：Ach． 225.

710 âp＂àv $\beta \lambda a \beta$ ท̂vaL，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＂Do you think that it would do me any harm to take autumn fruit after this long abstinence－into my arms？＂The point lies in the difference of meaning between ＇$O \pi \dot{\omega} \dot{\rho} \rho a$ and $\dot{\delta} \pi \dot{\omega} \rho a$ ，and the substitution
 At first Trygaeus seems merely to be asking whether he may safely indulge his long－pent－up appetite for fruit，but the verb shows that he is meditating an attack on the goddess herself．
Sıà Xpóvou with катє入áбas．
711 кате入áras．Cf．Eccl．1082，
 $\lambda a \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$

712 єl $\gamma \epsilon$ ．It is pleasing to see that the Oxford editors have restored the order of words．In accordance with Porson＇s dictum，that $\epsilon i^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon$ conjoined is bad Greek，recent editors follow Bach－ mann in reading $\epsilon l$ кvкє $\hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}$ ，and in altering Plut．1202，which almost defies alteration．But there further remains Nub．696，where RVA give $\epsilon \check{l} \gamma \epsilon \chi \rho \eta$＇． The Aldine made the line scan by
 more probable correction is that of the Oxford editors，$\epsilon^{2} \nu \gamma \in T a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ for the imposs－ ible t̀vtav̂日（RVA日）．In Eq．1350， Porson himself restored каi $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta l^{\prime} \epsilon l{ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon$ ， which is accepted by Velsen．Instances from prose are collected by Sobolewski， Praep．p．120，Ast，Lex．Plat．i．p．601． The collocation is rare，because $\gamma \epsilon$ is
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{u} \beta o v \lambda \hat{n} \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu, \hat{\eta} \sigma \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \pi о \tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu$.


тиภí $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ \nu$.

generally held back to emphasise some later word：cf． $\bar{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} . \gamma \epsilon$ and $\hat{\alpha}^{\rho} \rho \alpha \ldots \gamma^{\epsilon}$ （supr．II4，n．）．
$\beta \lambda \eta \chi^{\omega v i a v}$ carries on the double entente．A draught of penny－royal，says Hermes，will save Trygaeus from in－ digestion，but there is a reference to the sense which $\beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \chi \omega \nu$（ $\gamma \lambda \alpha \dot{\chi} \omega \nu$ ）bears in Lys．89．Penny－royal is an ingredient in the кикєढ́v for which Demeter asks， Hom．h．Cer． 209.

714 тท̂ $\beta$ ounへ̂．Schol．$\dot{\eta}$ خà $\rho$ ßou入خ̀ tàs $\theta \epsilon \omega p l a s \quad \epsilon \xi \in \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ ．Information on this point is curiously lacking，and Arist． Ath．Pol．tells us nothing．

 656.

คоф $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathrm{\epsilon}$ ．The middle form is shown to be correct by Vesp．814，where
 Rutherford，N．P．pp．392－393．For an exhaustive note on $\dot{\rho} \circ \phi \in \hat{v}$ ，which is used of thick or hot liquids，see Neil on Eq． 51 ．
 The familiar words emphasise the change from war to peace．

720 оі้ка $\delta^{\prime}$ о＂ка反’．Unnecessarily changed to oika $\delta^{\prime}$ a $\hat{\theta} \theta$ is by Cobet．
722 ＂Yoked to the car of Zeus he bears along｜The lightning－flame．＂
 Eviputidov．This would of course be said of Pegasus，and so the par－ ody studiously worked out at the be－ ginning of the play（see supr．73－77， 135－136，146－148，154，181）is com－ pleted by the apotheosis of the beetle－ Pegasus．
áбтратпфорєิ．Cf．Eur．Bacch．3， $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \eta \phi o ́ \rho \psi ~ \pi v \rho i$.



$726 \tau \eta \delta i$. ＂This way，＂of place．
 question，see Intr．iii．passim．＂Close by the goddess＇s side．＂For $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ airiǹ in this sense，cf．Av．390，Ran． 162 （Bachmann，Conj．p．120）．But Bach－ mann can scarcely be right in translating the words＂juxta，praeter ipsam deae statuam．＂It is not for an actor to call attention to the lifelessness of Peace． The Schol．notices an objection，to the


 тоєєiv.
 $\theta$ $\epsilon a \tau \alpha i s$,



effect that Peace ought to remain in Heaven in place of War, and that she is not referred to again in the play. But her real place was on earth, except during times of war. Cf. supr. 665, 695, etc.
$\dot{\text { in kopal. Addressed to Opora and }}$ Theoria.
728 є̇бтvкóтєs. Perhaps $\pi$ apà $\pi \rho 0 \sigma-$


729 This is the only First Parabasis in Ar. from which the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \rho \rho \eta \mu a$ and $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \epsilon \pi i \rho \rho \eta \mu a$ are missing. Otherwise the scheme is complete, thus: комддtıov, 729-733, àvánalatol or parabasis proper, 734-764, $\pi \nu i \hat{\gamma}$ os or $\mu \alpha к \rho \dot{\nu}, 765-$ $774, \dot{\omega} \dot{\eta} \eta, 775-795, \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \delta \dot{\eta}, 796-816$. For Zielinski's division between the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{a}$ and the Epirrhematic Syzygy, see his Gliederung d. a. K., pp. 175185.
à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ret xalp $\omega v$. A regular form for the beginning of the конца́тtov. Cf. Nub. 510, Eq. 498, Vesp. 1009.
т $\alpha$ бкєún. The agricultural implements with which the Chorus paraded (supr. 552, 566), and perhaps also the ropes, levers, mattocks, etc., used for the raising of the goddess (supr. 299). The Chorus need to be unencumbered and to have space for dancing.

731 тàs $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu a ́ s$. Here the dressingrooms, waiting-rooms, etc., attached to the wooden building placed upon the orchestra as a background (Dörpfeld, Griech. Theater, pp. 283-284); but in Thesm. 658 the $\sigma \kappa \eta v a l$ are the tents of the women of the chorus.

киттá乌̆ఢ้. "To poke about," a
frequentative form of кúntєєv, as



733 The metrical difficulty of this line has been much exaggerated; it amounts only to the testimony of the Scholia that the ко $\mu$ áтьo contained five anapaestic tetrameters catalectic. Such a statement is worth little, if unsupported by internal evidence. But there is a good reason for the variation, viz., to mark definitely the end of the ко $\mu \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \iota \nu$, and allow the parabasis proper to start fair. Even where the metre of the коцца́тьov differs already from that of the parabasis proper, such changes are found ; thus in Nub. 510-517. short anapaests give place to choriambics, and in Vesp. Ioog-1014 to trochaics. In fact, the коцца́тьоу is marked off by metre from the anapaests in every play except the Acharnians and Thesmophoriazusae, where it consists of two lines and one respectively, and can scarcely be called a кощца́тוov. The line itself is of course unimpeachable as a trochaic tetrameter; for the second foot, cf. sutpr. 310 (ròv
 doubt contains parody. The poetical use of ódós is affected by Euripides, and so might tempt our author; cf. Phoen.
 Hipp. 391, etc. The phrase öซa... vov̂s ${ }^{\epsilon}$ © $\mathrm{X}\llcorner$ may further be laughed at as unusual, and very possibly the words $\delta$ obov... ${ }^{\epsilon}$ 'Xet were an iambic line from Euripides. voîv, the correction of Blaydes, introduces a common phrase which is entirely out of harmony with ó $\delta \dot{\partial} \nu \lambda o{ }^{\gamma} \gamma \omega \mathrm{v}$.
Tots.
735
 $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta о \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda$ оs $\dot{\iota} \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ каі̀ к $\lambda є \iota \nu о ́ т а т о \varsigma ~ \gamma є \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta т \alpha \iota$,

 є́ $\pi \alpha \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu$
 بои̂̀таs.
$74^{\circ}$
 єєєі́ขous

740 roîs V vulg.: roùs R solus.

734 тоѝs $\mathfrak{\rho} \alpha \beta \delta$ oúxous. The presence of the "chucker-out" in the Attic theatre seems to be mentioned only here. Schol. says that certain $\dot{\rho} a \beta \delta o \phi b \rho o t$ stood $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \eta{ }_{\eta} s \theta v \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \eta s$, but of the $\theta v \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$ we know nothing for certain. That such officers were often needed is evident from Plat. Leg. 700 c , Dem. 314, etc. See Haigh, Attic Theatre, p. 383. Dem. 572 seems not to have been quoted:




$\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta о \pi о \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$. More commonly referred to as $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta о \delta \iota \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda$ os (infr. 737), since his duties as trainer and stagemanager were more within view of the public than his poetic labours.
735 трòs tò $\theta$ éarpov, with ma $\quad$ aßás, "after coming forward to address the



 Blaydes perversely quotes these passages to show that $\pi \rho \dot{s} s \tau \grave{\partial} \theta$. goes with aúvò ė $\pi \dot{\eta} v e t$ : but Ach. l.c. is conclusive.
"Aéarpor, 'the house,' the only meaning the word has in literature till well on in the fourth century B.C. (Wilamowitz, Hermes, xxi. 602)." Neil on Eq. 233.
$736 \mathrm{Ei} \delta^{\prime}$ oûv cikós. "But if after all it's only right." The Schol. quotes as the original a rather disjointed couplet from Simonides: $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ apa $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$,



740 és тà ṕákta бкผ́tттоутas. Aristophanes could not endure either the pathetic or the comical beggar. For the former, as the speciality of Euripides, see Ach. 415-449; the latter according to Schol, wasa favourite character of Eupolis, against whom the whole attack is probably directed, as in Vesp. 56-60 (see Starkie, ad loc.). Such scenes were perhaps first suggested by the encounter between the beggars Irus and Odysseus (Hom. Od. xviii. 1-123), which caused the suitors to "throw up their hands and die of laughter" ( $i b, 100$ ).
 gaging contemptible adversaries (cf. infr. 75I, iò $\omega \dot{\omega} \tau a s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \sigma \kappa o u s)$, unlike Aristophanes, who $\theta \eta \rho \sigma i \quad \mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau o u$ $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \rho \rho \in($ infi: 752). The metaphor from vermin is no doubt suggested by р̀́кıа.

741 тои́s $\theta$ ' 'Hракле́as, к.т.入. Cf.

 in later plays Aristophanes himself makes capital out of the hero's appetite, e.g. Av. 1583-1604, Ran. 549-576. Cf. Eur. Alc., 747-772, Soph. Tr. 268,
 (4II A-4I2A) for his dंō ${ }^{2} \phi a y l a$.
$\mu$ drtovtas. Probably Heracles had recently been introduced as a baker into one of the comedies of Eupolis: thus in Ar. Av. 1689 he eagerly undertakes the duties of cook. But Schol. (who absurdly explains $\mu a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ by $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ̀$
 'Hрак $\lambda \epsilon \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu \tau \alpha$ : perhaps the writer of this last note had a different reading.

 dignantly drove from the stage" (Rogers), but "disfranchised and drove into exile." Having mentioned one penalty drawn from the language of politics ( $\xi \xi \in \lambda a \dot{v} \nu \epsilon(\nu)$, the poet adds another ( $\dot{a} \tau \mu(a)$, which was sometimes (by no means always) a concomitant of the first. Cf. Asch. Supp. 643, oúסè



тарєฝvбєv. "Cashiered," another political term. Cf. Thus. viii. 54,
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, and often in the histoxians.
$742 \boldsymbol{k} \pi i \pi \eta \delta \epsilon$. Since line 744 is almost certainly an interpolation, $\dot{e} \pi i \mathbf{i} \eta \delta \epsilon \boldsymbol{s}$ is here followed as usual by iva (cf. inf: 931, Eq. 893, etc.). If the following line were sound, we should have to translate the word " merely for the sake of it," i.e. quite unnecessarily, in order to raise a laugh, and to compare Dem. (Maid.) 532, $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \beta p l{ }^{\prime}$ ! $n$ $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon l \bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \pi i \tau \eta \delta \epsilon s$.

744 оטิร $\mathfrak{\ell} \xi \eta ิ \gamma จ v$, к.т.入. A clumsy conglomeration of adscripts. ' $\xi \hat{\eta}$ nov is probably formed from a note on $\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \lambda \lambda a \sigma \epsilon$ (perhaps $\xi \xi \xi \beta a \lambda \epsilon$ ), while the end of the line clearly points, as Rutherford says, to a comment on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi(\tau \eta \delta \epsilon s$ which ran kail oüveка тoútov. Perhaps toútov further
suggested roúrous. Difficulties prosented by the line as it stands are (1) interference with the construction of
 which cannot be defended by the use of $\dot{\epsilon} \xi_{t} t v a t$, to enter the stage by one of the doors of the $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta_{\eta}$. To "represent on the stage," in the general sense here required, is $\epsilon i \sigma d y \epsilon เ \nu(c f . N u b .546)$ ), (3) kail тоv́тovs, by attraction for cal тâ̂ra, cannot mean "and that too merely."

746 єiซé $\beta a \lambda \in \nu$. This verb occurs also in Sch. 762 (in a Megarian speech) and (in a different sense) Vest. 1056. Elsewhere Aristophanes uses $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, as supr.63I, 70I, and very frequently (Bachmann, Conj. p. 80). For the metaphor, cf. Ach. 164, vim ঠे $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '0 $\delta 0$ $\mu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa o ́ p o \delta a ~ \pi о р \theta$ oú $\mu \in \nu 0 s$, " they've looted my sprig of garlic."

748 какdे каl фо́pтov go closely together. "Such vulgar nuisances," "such low ribaldry." Cf. Arist. Eth. $N$.

 фортько!. Blaydes explains кака́ by "convicia," which seems less appropriate.

749 éróñ白. "Built us up a mighty art." Cf. Milton, Lycidas, "He knew | Himself to sing, and build the lofty rhyme." So "condo" in Latin. The idea of manual labour is continued in
 aiolis,
$75^{\circ}$

 єХєi $\rho є \iota$,


 ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \sigma \nu$, 755
 753 及apßapoө́ŕpous Bentl. (collat schol.): $\beta a p \beta a \rho o \mu v ́ \theta o u s ~ M e i n . ~(c o l l . ~$



Tex $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{v}$, which is used in a double sense.

 $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu$ á.
 Vest. 1029 (if the line is sound) he goes further, claiming that mere men were too
 $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi t \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \downarrow$.

752 ad $\lambda \lambda$ ' 'Hрак $\lambda$ є́ovs, к.т. $\lambda$. The passage from here to the end of 757 is found also in the Wasps (1030-1034), the only differences being that line 753 has no place there, and the counterpart of line 754 begins differently. It is generally supposed that the lines had "caught on" the year before; but such repetition cannot be paralleled from ancient drama. Moreover, in the Wasps the passage is awkwardly introduce by line 1o29, which Cobet condemns on sufficient grounds, while in the Peace the connection is perfectly natural. In suggesting that they are an importation from the latter play to the former, one may point out that in RV the Peace precedes the Wasps.
'Hракле́ovs. The poet boldly compares himself to Heracles as "vindex terrace" (Ovid. Met. ix. 241) and destroyer of monsters. So Epicurus is pitted against the demigod in regard to the same functions by Lucretius ( v . 22-36).
өךpol. Dr. Merry's correction is conwincing. roil $\mu \in$ riotots is here at the
best a weak antithesis to §ocurtas $^{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega$ míkous, but in Vesp. 1030 (unless one absolutely condemns the passage) it is impossible, since human beings have been excluded altogether in the line before.

753 sap ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}$, к.т. $\lambda$. This line preserves the comparison, of $\sigma \mu$ ais $\delta \in t v a ́ s$ probably referring to the Augean stables, while סıaßás and $\beta$ op $\beta$ opoov́pous suggest the toilsome journeys of Heracles.
ßopßopoQúnous. "Muddy-tempered." Cf. $E q$. 308, $\beta$ op $\beta$ oporápagt. The substitutions mentioned in cr. $n$. were inevitable.
$754 \pi \rho \omega ̂$ nov $\mu \dot{\mu} v$. Nothing later answers this $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \nu$. Haymaker is possibly right in his restoration (see cr. n.).

кархаро́סoyть. Cf. sup. 313, $K \in \rho \beta \in \rho \circ \nu$, with the oracle from the Knights (313, n.). The thought of Cleon as Cerberus would first suggest the comparison with Heracles.

755 8єเvótaтal. The correction $\delta_{\epsilon \in v}$ nepal is tempting, and the confusion is an exceedingly common one; but it is none the less striking that all MSS. in Vest. 1032 agree on the superlative.

 is also an intentional jingle, serving to suggest the кvขds ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \mu \tau^{\prime}$ ex $\chi \omega \nu$ of How. Il. i. 225" (Merry ad Vest. 1032).
 highly poetical, are perhaps a quotation, but the whole description is Epic.

 тєтокиіая，
 $\delta^{\prime} \in \kappa \alpha \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda o v$.
 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$

 б́єогта．
 758 кац خ̄गov Ald．：

756 ékaròv $\delta \dot{\text { é，}}$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．This detail is probably first suggested by the thought of Heracles and the hundred－headed hydra，but the description is worked out on the lines of Hesiod＇s account of Typhoeus（Theog．824）：$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \epsilon$ oi

 $\mu$ óres．The fact that Aristophanes is trying to out－Hesiod Hesiod explains the absurdity（an intentional one）of the heads licking round the head of Cleon， and disposes of Bentley＇s correction $\gamma \lambda \omega ิ \tau \tau \alpha$.
 or，as in Hesiod，l．c．，oै $\phi$ tos（ ${ }^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ）．

757 фढvخेv ：．．XapáSpas．For Cleon＇s blustering tone，see note on supr．314，and，for the metaphor here employed，cf．Eq．137，кєкра́ктクs，Kuкло－
 fr． 636 ．

тєтокvlas．Aeschylean，as in Ran．


 $\dot{\partial} \delta \mu \eta^{\prime}$（Schol．）．

Laplas．Schol．äypıov ．．Sب̣̂ov кal
 Schol．has a long mythological note． Cf．Vesp．1177，Hor．A．P． 340 （with Palmer＇s note）．
$759 \pi \circ \lambda \notin i\} \omega \nu . \quad$ Doing battle．＂ Sense and metre suggest this Epic word here，as $N u b .419$, Thesm． 807.
 for the empire as well．＂This idiomatic use of äd $\lambda$ os is common in Greek from Homer onwards．Neil on Eq． 170 shows that ai $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o l$ often means＂our empire．＂

762 т $\alpha^{\prime}$ gas katà voûv．＂When I won my desire，＂i．e．by gaining the first prize in 425 B．C．with the Acharnians， in 424 with the Knights，and in 422 with the Wasps．

та入alбтраs，к．т．入．Cf．Vesp．1025，
 The imputation is levelled against Eupolis（Schol．on both passages）．See a very full note by Starkie on Vesp． 1026.
 up my traps＂（Merry），in a modest， business－like way．The metaphor is quite natural，and is not improved by imagining a reference to the stage－ properties．

764 ảvıáoas．The $\iota$ of $\mathfrak{a} v i a ̂ v$ and ảvıa－ pos is alwayslong in Homer and Sophocles， always short in lyric verse（Theognis） and in comedy，except $\dot{\alpha} \nu i \omega \hat{\omega} \nu, E q .349$ ， where，as Neil suggests，the irregularity is probably due to a reminiscence of Soph．Aj． 266.
 ..... 765
каi тоùs ävঠрая каi тоùs таîdas．каì тоî ф $\alpha \lambda \alpha к \rho о i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu о и ̂ \mu \in \nu$770$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \omega \gamma \alpha \lambda i ́ \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha i \quad \mu \dot{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\imath} \rho \in \iota$
$\gamma \in \nu \nu a \iota о \tau \alpha \tau о \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \iota \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$той фíरov đó $\rho \in v \sigma o v$,776$\kappa \lambda \epsilon i o v \sigma \alpha$ $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ үáuous $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$ даîtas


765 єivaı $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$＇$\mu \circ$ v̂．＂Should take my part．＂Cf．Av．1672，Ach． 661.
767 тоîs фалакроїб七．0 Schol．каi

 фаıброs 入а́ $\mu \pi о \nu \tau \iota \quad \mu \in \tau \dot{\epsilon} \pi \psi$ ：Nub．545， ouv ко $\mu \hat{\omega}$（in a double sense）：Eupolis，fr． 78，ка̉кєivous тоùs＇I ITтéas｜бvveтоínoa $\tau \bar{\omega}$ фалакр $\hat{\omega} \tau о$ úт $\psi \kappa \dot{\alpha} \delta \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$.
 The Greek dinner and $\sigma u \mu \pi \delta \dot{\sigma}$ to were independent of one another，being separated by the removal of the tables and by the $\sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta \alpha i$ ．
771 фєрє，к．т．$\lambda$ ．Paley compares Juv．v．135，Da Trebio，pone ad Trebium ；vis，frater，ab ipsis｜ Ilibus？

772 тршүа入i．wv．The more usual form is траүŋंната（Ach．1091，Razz． 510）．The ou $\quad$ тóotov began with a dessert of fruits，cakes and sweetmeats， eaten with wine．See Bekker，Charicles， p． 330 （ET 1895）．
каl $\mu \eta$ ．．．€̌Хоутоs．＂And refuse nothing to one who bears the brow of the very（ $\dot{a} \nu \bar{\partial} p o ̀ s)$ noblest of our poets＂ （Verrall）．It has been wrongly thought that the Scholiasts read $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \dot{\nu} \nu$ for
 фалакро́тга might indeed be a note
 Schol．R，with the one word $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ v$
（not $\gamma \rho$ ．$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \partial \nu$ ），shows that both notes refer to $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \hat{\tau} \tau \omega \pi$ ，Schol．clearly mis－ translated，＂the man with the forehead．＂ The older editors make $\gamma$ evvacoтárou depend on $\alpha \phi a i \rho \epsilon t$ ，not seeing that the ad－ vantage is to accrue to the фалакроi generally，from their likeness to the poet ； moreover，with this beginning we must either take line 774 as Schol．or read $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \dot{v}$ ．All editors now follow Holden，who gives the order of words

 rô̂ is then needed before $\gamma \in \nu \nu a, o t a ́ \tau o v . ~$

775 ＂Die Oden beginnen sehr feier－ lich，lenken aber dann $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta o \kappa i ̀ t \omega s$ auf Karkinos und Melanthios ein．＂Zielin－ ski，Glied．d．a．K．p． 179 ．So in the Acharnians the $\psi \dot{\phi} \dot{\eta}$ of the first para－ basis（665），though beginning with an appeal to the Muse，passes on at once to topical matters．
Mov̂ซa，ซù $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} v$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．Schol．merely states that the $\pi$ 入oк $\eta$ is Stesichorean， but probably most of the words down to $\mu a \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ are from the Oresteia of Stesi－ chorus，as are those which commence the antistrophe．Perhaps Aristophanes inserts only $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ ous $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ ，or even only $\pi$ o $\lambda \in \neq \mu$ ous．

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ ．
$\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \beta o \lambda \hat{l} \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu \chi \chi^{\rho} \rho \in \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ，$\mu \dot{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} к о v є \mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \lambda$－

783 àptıßo入єî R（et V sec．Cobetum）． 785 únakove Bentl．：vimakoúans codd．

782 Carcinus and his sons come in for plenty of contemptuous treatment from Aristophanes；see the following notes．Schol．on Vesp． 1502 mentions four sons，but the words $\dot{o} \mu \hat{\prime} \dot{\sigma} a t o s$（Vesp． l．c．）seem to show that there were three only，as says Schol．here and on Nub． 1261．

784 xopevิซal．Dancing was the chief accomplishment of the Crab family． Cf．Vesp．1501－1515．

786 бuvépitos．＂Handmaiden，＂as of arts in Plato（Rep． 533 D，Legg． 889 D）． All the earlier instances of this word are conscious repetitions of the playful use found in Hom．Od．vi，32，but Alex－ andrian and later writers falsely connected it with eptov．

788 ópryyas．The quail is chosen as being tiny and quarrelsome，as Schol． explains．For quail－fighting at Athens， see Bekker，Charicles，p． 77 （ET 1895）． The added oiko $\boldsymbol{\epsilon v \in i s}$ is depreciatory， implying pugnacity on a small and inglorious scale，as in the case of the
 and perhaps the èvoiktos ópyıs of Aesch． Eum． 866.

үu入ıav́xєyas．Schol，цакротрахグ入ous．

 other note says aúxévas oủk éxoytas， ка $\theta \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ó үửıos，while Schol．inffr．864， implies the rendering（Rutherford ad loc．），＂With wallets，i．e．tumours，on their necks．＂

789 vavvoфueîs．Cf．Vesp．1510，ó

$790 \mu \eta \mathrm{x}$ avo $\delta$（фas．＂Searchers－out of stage devices．＂Schol．tells us that Xenocles，the tragic poet among the sons， was fond of introducing the supernatural into his plays，and quotes from Plat．

Com．（fr．134），园 $\epsilon 0 \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ó $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha-$
 In Thesm．44I， $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\epsilon \nu} \in \kappa \lambda$ 访 $\dot{\delta}$ Kapкivov is named（as an orator），and in Ran． 86, Thesm．169，a tragedian Xenocles is mentioned slightingly．Cf．Vesp． 1510 ， where one of the sons is $\dot{\delta} \sigma \mu$ ккро́татоs， ôs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho a \gamma \psi \delta i a \nu \pi \sigma \in \hat{\imath}$. In 415 B．C．one Xenocles gained the first prize from Euripides（Aelian，Var．Hist．ii．8）．See supr．289，n．$\mu \eta \chi^{2}$ avo $\delta$（фas suggests both abstract $\mu \eta \chi a v a i$ and the instru－ ment $\mu \eta \chi$ аu ${ }^{\prime}\left(s u p r_{0} 82\right.$, n．）．

791 kal yàp＂фабX＇，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＂For indeed the father of the family declared that the play which，to his own surprise， he had managed to get ready，had been strangled in the evening by the weasel．＂ We know nothing about Carcinus him－ self as a tragedian，unless we can accept Bentley＇s correction（Kapkivos for $K$ pativos）in Athen．i． 22 A ．The Kаркivou סaiuoves of Nutb．126I may refer to some of his characters，but probably $\delta a \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu$（like $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \beta i \lambda \omega \nu$ ，infr． 864）is a surprise for $\pi a l \delta \omega \nu$ ．What happened to the father＇s play we can only guess．Schol．ঠ $\rho a \mu a$ éroinoe roùs
 If this is true（and the fitle would suit a satyric play），the ra入 $\hat{\eta}$ may be（1）a rival poet，by whom Carcinus was crushed，（2）the judges，（3）the archon， who refused a chorus．But 7 he Mice may well be an apposite invention，and the $\gamma \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta}$ be thievish（infr． 1151 ，Vesp． 363，etc．）rather than destructive， $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \xi{ }^{2} \alpha \iota$ being unexpectedly substituted for $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \notin \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{l}$ ：in this case Carcinus must have complained that the MS．of the play which had cost him so much trouble had been unfortunately mis－ laid．

|  <br>  тòv $\sigma \circ \phi \dot{\partial} \nu \pi о \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ | $\begin{gathered} 795 \\ \text { 「 } \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 800 |
|  $\mu \eta \delta_{\epsilon} \mathrm{M}_{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\nu} \theta \iota o \varsigma$ ，ồ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ |  |
|  <br> $\dot{\eta} \nu i \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$ <br> Tòv Xopòv єî Xov óde入－ <br> фós $\tau є \kappa \alpha \grave{\text { aùтós，}{ }^{\alpha} \mu ф \omega}$ | 805 |

790 і́токрlбцата R ．

793 єīxє．Cf．supr．142，n．， 522.

тò $\delta \rho$ âpa．For the article，cfo supr． 676，n．

796 тоน ${ }^{\delta \varepsilon}$ ，к．т．入．From the Ores－ teia of Stesichorus．Schol．quotes the passage as follows：тocá $\delta \varepsilon$ х $\rho \eta$ そे Xapit $\omega \nu$

 t́vou．The close correspondence of strophe and antistrophe is noticeable throughout the odes；thus the dithyr－ ambic vein closes at the same syllable （ $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu$ and $\kappa \in \lambda \alpha \delta \hat{y}$ ）：Melanthius takes the place of Carcinus as a bad tragedian in the corresponding foot of the anti－ strophe；while the sounding lines full of abusive names（öprvyas－$\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu o \delta \ell \phi a s$ and 「op $\gamma \dot{\nu}$ es－i $\chi \theta v o \lambda \hat{v} \mu a \iota)$ correspond very closely．

Xapiт $\omega \nu$ ．．．．ка入入ıкóp $\omega \nu$ ．Cf． Hom．1l．xvii．51，ко́цац Xарітєббьv о̀ $\mu$ îa ．

סанஸ́цата．＂Pleasing lays，＂properly lays to catch the public ear ；cf．Pind． Isth．vii． $8, \gamma \lambda v \kappa u ́ \tau \iota \delta a \mu \omega \sigma \dot{j} \mu \epsilon \theta$ a．

799 бoфóv．A common epithet of poets（supr． 700, n．）；but here the words
 from боф＇a to $\delta а \mu \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ．

800 \％тay ท̀pเvá，к．т．ג．Still from Stesichorus：Schol．quotes，öтaì j̉pos $\ddot{\omega}^{\circ} \rho \underline{\varepsilon} \kappa \epsilon \lambda a \hat{o} \hat{\eta} \chi \in \lambda \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ．For the construc－
 iii． 38 ，＂As the wakeful bird ．．．Tunes her nocturnal note．＂The time of year mentioned is that of the Great Dionysia， when this play was performed；cf．Хорòv $\delta \epsilon ́, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．



 thought a noticeable thing that the swallow should be seen to perch at all．

Xopòv $\delta \epsilon \mu \eta$＇$x \eta$ ．For the Great Dionysia the $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ ย̇ $\pi \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu o s$ awarded choruses to three tragedians and three comedians only．
Morsimus was a grand－nephew of Aeschylus，and a tragic poet．In Ran． 151 among the damned who wallow in the mud of Hades is classed $\epsilon l$ ．．
 400，єौ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \tau \sigma \hat{\omega}$ ．．．$\delta \star \delta а \sigma к о і \mu \eta \nu$

804 Melanthius，brother of Morsimus， was more famous as a gourmet than as a tragedian．As an byoфd́ros he was the butt of Pherecrates，Plato，Callias and other comedians besides Aristophanes （Athen．viii． 343 b，Schol．ad Av．151）： he yearned for the throat of a long－ necked bird（Athen．i． 6 c ）；and he suffered from a leprous disease（Av．l．c．）． His greed for dainties is ridiculed infr． 1005－1015，with a travesty of his own Meder．
oû $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ．$\delta \dot{\eta}$ adds a touch of scorn，as in Ran． 679 （quoted supr．Sor，n．）．So w＇s ón（Eq．693），ola ón（Eur．Or．32）．
805 тькротárךท suggests a＂shrill＂ and a＂bitter＂cry：cf．Jebb on Soph．
 фөóryov．

ӧта．See supr．400，n．ӧта үпр v́б－ avtos is para－tragoedic．

 $\hat{\omega} \nu \kappa а \tau а \chi \rho \epsilon \mu \psi а \mu \in ́ \nu \eta ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \dot{v}$ ，

 єै $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \in \tau$ тоь $\pi \epsilon \pi о ́ \nu \eta к а к о \mu \iota \delta \bar{\eta} \tau \grave{\omega}$ бкє́ $\lambda \epsilon$ ．

820



OI． $\hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \sigma \pi \sigma o \theta^{\prime}, \eta ँ \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ；

821－823 Pro Rutherfordii emendatione vide Comm． 822 a $\pi$ ov́pavoû Ald．＇фaive $\theta \epsilon$ Bentl．：$\phi a l \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Ald．：фalvє $\theta a \_$RVr．

809 Гopyóves，к．т．ג．＂Grim Gor－ gons dainty－feeding，flat－fish watchers， snatching monsters．＂Topyoves（cf．Ran． 477）describes their forbidding expres－ sion，diprutat their rapacity in the market． ßatiסoшко́тоь is no doubt suggested by the thought of the familiar ovvoo－ бк $6 \pi$ о（（cf．Ěq． 313 ），watching for shoals of tunny from a high rock．But the brothers＇post of observation is the market．The $\beta$ aris or＂ray＂was much in favour，as we may judge from the context of Vesp．510，oưठè $\chi$ alp $\omega$ 及atioıv ov́d $^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \chi^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma t \nu$ ，and quotations given by Athenaeus，vii． 26 （286）．

811 үpaoróßal．Such gourmets would not＇scruple to jostle and intimi－ date feeble purchasers；they are repre－ sented as＂shooing＂them away．As no sense can be more admirable，it is hard to see why Blaydes and Herwerden accept the Schol．＇s alternative explanation rpaopidou，for which we have to suppose a verb allied to oopas，a word which itself we know only from the line of Eupolis quoted by Schol．；whereas $\mu \nu v o \sigma \delta \beta \eta$（which Blaydes himself quotes） is a clear parallel for the first sense．

813 траүона́бхалоь．Cf．Ach．852，
 Tpaya⿱亠alov．
ixӨvo入̂̂par．Cf．Hor．Ep．i．15， 3 1， Pernicies et tempestas barathrumque macelli．
 internal accusative，cf．$A v$ ． $132, \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ रd̀ $\rho$ é $\sigma \tau \iota a ̂ \nu$ भá $\mu$ ous．

819 єv่̉ư．Cf．supr．68，n．
$820 \gamma^{6}$ tol，as always，introduces a
fact which is＂anyhow＂strong evidence in support of a statement just made．Cf． Vesp．934，Eq．787，etc．
коцเбŋ̆．＂Uncommonly，＂Lat． oppido．

бкє́̀єt．Cf．supr． 325 ，n．
821－823 $\mu$ ккроl $\delta^{3}$ ذ̀ $\rho a ̄ v, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ D r . ~$ Rutherford＇s theory of this passage is important．On the note of Schol．R，
 he writes：＇This is adscript to what is itself a comment．The original text evidently ran as follows：$\mu$ ккрol $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\text { o }} \boldsymbol{\dot { \rho } a \hat { \nu }}$

 enough to look at from above；from here you seem quite monsters－of iniquity．＂We may replace in the

 какоך $\theta$＇бт $\epsilon \rho о \iota^{3}$ ．The perspicuity and point of the two lines thus attributed to Aristophanes reside only in the clever translation which follows them．The Greek does not justify the antithesis of
 no way suggests physical size；while the antithesis of the adverbs could only be procured by the transposition of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \cup \theta \in \nu!$ and ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \circ \circ \gamma \epsilon$ tor．I suggest that Dr． Rutherford＇s lines could only be trans－ lated thus：＂You were quile tiny to look at from above；I think anyhow that here you look particularly ini－ quitous＂－which is nonsense．As to the marginal comments，it may be remarked ： （I）that ánoे $\tau 0$ ưpayov is very much in place，though it is quite immaterial whether it is expressed or the sense of

TP．

OI．$\quad \tau^{i} \delta^{2}$ ё $\bar{\pi} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon$ ；
$\delta_{\iota} \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta \omega$ ẃs．
OI．
TP．

OI．ä $\lambda \lambda o v \tau \tau \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \hat{i} \delta \epsilon s$ äv $\nu \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho ́ \rho a$ $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \alpha \cup \tau o ́ v$ ；
TP．ойк，єi $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ тои

OI．$\tau i \delta^{\prime}$＇$\epsilon \delta \rho \omega \nu$ ；
TP．
 830 тàs є̇vסıaєрıаขрıขך $\chi$ є́тоия тıvás．


 avpl－）． 832 Virgula a fine versus transposita Lentingio debetur．
${ }^{\alpha} \nu \omega \omega \theta \nu \nu$ carried on ；（2）that $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{u} \tau_{t}$ is very strange as a comment on $\pi \alpha^{v} v$, but natural enough in Aristophanes，who uses it，Vesp．1280，пто入ú тı Өицобофıки́та－ тоу．какоウ̇ $\theta$ es is put $\pi$ apà $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o к i ́ a \nu$ for $\mu$ uкроí．
 me．＂
825 Tl $\delta$＂${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \pi a \theta$ es；＂And how did you get on？＂

829 бьөvрацßобьঠaбка́入 $\omega v$ ．Schol．
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \in \operatorname{lov\sigma \iota } \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha ́$ ．Cf．$A v$ ． 1372－1409，where the dithyrambic poet Cinesias is all for wings and air and clouds ：e．g．1388，$\tau \omega \bar{\nu} \delta \delta_{\imath} \theta \nu \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 каі киауаиуєє｜каи птерод́òทта．
 Av．l．c．Cinesias desired wings，that he might gather from the clouds depooov ${ }^{\prime}-$ tous каi vıфовòoovs ávaßo入ás．For the idea of going out to gather verses like firewood，cf．Ach．398，$\dot{\delta}$ yoûs $\mu \in \grave{\varepsilon}\rangle \xi \omega$ $\xi v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \dot{v} \lambda \lambda \iota a$, Ran． 1297.
831 єvסเaєpıavpเขך入єтous．．＂That in－ noontide－airy－Zephyr－floating kind．＂ The omission of $\epsilon$ in the letters ave $\rho t$ is a simple expedient，since its presence may well be due to the preceding $\alpha \in \rho$ ．But the
repetition－$\alpha \epsilon \rho / \alpha \epsilon \rho-$－（Richter）is not with－ out point，and－aı $\theta \epsilon \rho t$－（Reisig）is possible． Cf．Nutb．337，àepovnұєîs．Various other suggestions may be found in Blaydes＇ cr．$n$ ．The shortening of $t$ in the Homeric èvoios is noticeable；Bentley proposed $\varepsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \iota$ ．
tàs ．．．trvás．The addition of tuvas，where the article has preceded， may perhaps be defended by reference to Soph，O．T．107，O．C．289，quoted by Paley；but in those passages the in－ definite pronoun is added to show that the identity of the persons mentioned is unknown，whereas here the exactness of the description given by the mountainous compound is slightly discounted．

832 катd̀ тòv áfpa．The older punctuation is impossible；karà cannot mean＂in regard to．＂But the position of the phrase，as placed before is，is very awkward，and it will be noticed that кãò tòv áépa is also the ending of line 827 （only five lines back）．It is possible that a subject to $\lambda$＇́yovoı may have been lost by a copyist whose eyc wandered to line 827 ；if Aristophanes wrote oúk $\hat{\eta}^{\nu}$
 presence of rivás in the preceding line would be explained．

＇ТР．$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．




OI．$\tau i v \epsilon s ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \epsilon i \sigma^{\prime} ~ o i ~ \delta \iota a \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \varsigma$,


## à $\pi o ̀$ ócímvou тù̀̀s





 каi таûта бра́баs $\hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho^{\prime} \alpha \dot{u} \theta \iota s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~$

OI．$\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \delta^{\delta \prime}$ ẻ $\lambda a \beta_{\epsilon \varsigma} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau a s ~ \sigma v ́ ;$

$$
\pi о ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ; \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \text { той } \rho \alpha \nu о и .
$$



845 aĩ̀ R． 847 taútàs；TP．o̊ $\pi 6 \theta \in \nu$ ；Elmsl． 850 тoúrou Dind．

[^43]
## 

841 invoús may possibly denote little stoves，carried about as in Juv．iii．250， Sequitur sua quemque culina．At any rate，we have only Schol．＇s authority for the meaning＂lanterns，＂which is generally adopted．
842 тavтๆทi，i．e．＇0 0 túpav．
843 т $̀ v$ т out the bath，＂for the $\nu \cup \mu \phi \iota \kappa \delta ̀ \nu$ 入out $\rho \dot{\nu}$ （Lys．378）．
$847 \pi \pi^{\prime} \theta \in v$ ；Elmsley＇s conjecture is simple and may be right．An interro－ gative retorted by another speaker is usually made indirect；see Kock on Nub．214．But there are three cases in Ar．of an interrogative retorted in the direct form，which（unlike $A v .608$ ，etc．） defy emendation：$A v$. 1234，$\pi$ olototv； Ran．1424，Tiva；Eccl． $761, \pi$ ̂s ； Therefore no change is justified，the divided tribrach being unimpeachable． Dobree（Obs．ed．Cant．，p．138）continues $\pi \delta \theta \in \nu$ to the servant．
848 оธ̉к む้̈ ย้тъ，к．т．入．Cf．Soph．Aj．

## EIPHNH


 тดút！l $\tau!$ ；




855

及út $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ，ö $\sigma \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ i iotiv， $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ ．

 $\alpha \hat{v} \theta \iota \stackrel{\text { véos }}{\omega} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ ， $\mu \nu ́ \rho \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon ו \pi \tau \sigma \varsigma$.

 ＇TP．ойкоил ঠıкаiшs；б̈бтья єis

 ö́ciLs．．．
850 oűk，à $\lambda \lambda a ́$ ．＂Oh no！it＇s only that some．＂Originally，ov denies the sweeping assumption，while $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́$ a makes a smaller concession．But probably the words had come to coalesce into the meaning，＂Oh ！it＇s merely that ．．．＂ C．Vesp．945，ФI．à $\lambda \lambda$＇oưк Є‘ $\chi \in \iota \nu$ oûtós

 that＇s the matter with him．＂



roúrav is neuter，＂such pursuits．＂ Trygaeus is being as vague as he can． The correction toútou is not needed， though the two words are sometimes confused（e．g．Vesp．661）．Herwerden＇s reasons for omitting this line are very poor（see his cr，n．and Comm．）．
$857{ }^{\circ} \delta^{\prime}$ is deictic，almost for $\epsilon^{2} \kappa$ $\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon$ ．
$859 \tau \ell \delta \hat{\tau} \tau^{*}$ ；i．e．$\tau i \not \phi \eta \mathfrak{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ；cf．Ach． 1011.

дацтро́v．＂Spruce．＂
860 ү ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho o v_{0}$ ．See cr．$n$ ．and Intr． p． 48, n．I．

864 тติข Kapкivov $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \beta$ ì $\lambda \omega$ ．For Carcinus and his dancing progeny，cf． supp．781－791，notes．The Schol．says that a kind of shell－fish was called $\sigma \tau \rho \delta \beta_{l} \lambda o s$ ；it is thus a contemptuous term for the children of the father－crab， besides referring to the＂tops＂（cf．Vesp． 1530，$\beta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \iota \kappa \epsilon s \in \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ ）which they resembled when spinning round in the dance．It is implied（by the sudden $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho 0 \sigma \delta o k(a \nu)$ that they are of all men какоданоуєєтатоь．
865 óх $\eta \mu$ ка каvOápov．In the tragic phrases im $\pi \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu$ b $\chi \eta \mu a$ ，$\nu a o ̀ s$ ö $\chi \eta \mu a$ ，etc． ơ₹ $\eta \mu \alpha$ is always abstract ；though，like our＂conveyance，＂it would no doubt have come to mean a carriage if it had been a possible word for prose．So here we have a pompous periphrasis for $\epsilon \pi i$ кауӨápou ỏ хои́uєvos．
＇тгßàs ．．á áба入へิs．Editors omit to notice the remarkable sigmatism of these eleven words．The presence of E＇owoa shows that Aristophanes is parodying Euripides，whom this word always impelled to sigmatism ：cf．Med．

 $\sigma^{\prime}$ еєрŋ̄v，I．T．765，784，975，Нес．249，

# ő $\chi \eta \mu \alpha$ кал $\theta$ ápov＇$\pi \iota \beta \dot{\text { м }}$ <br>  <br> èv roîs à $\gamma \rho o i ̂ s$ <br>  <br> ßıveî̀ тє каi каӨєúdєєข． 


$\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa o u ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha \iota, \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \bar{\eta} \xi \nu \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$,

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \cup ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ．
OI．
тis aiv $\eta^{\prime} ; ~ \tau i ́ \phi \eta^{\prime} ;$


Chr．：кıveîv codd． 869 б $\quad \sigma a \mu$ ồs Brunck． 872 tis aúrni．Dobr


Or． 7 11－712．This habit is parodied by Plato Com．（fr．30），光 $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha{ }^{2} \kappa \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\sigma \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ т $ิ$ ע Eúpıт $\delta \delta o v$ ，and by Eubulus （both ap．Schol．Med．l．c．）．But in Aeschylus also we find（Eum．754） $\hat{\omega}$ Пà入ás，$\hat{\omega}$ $\sigma \dot{\varphi} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ тoùs émoùs ò ómous．

866 erowar．For the iota found in the aorist as in the present，see Meis－ terhans，Gramm．Inschr．p．I81，note 1501.
èv roîs áypois．The amplified reading of the inferior MSS．is probably an attempt to make this line uniform with infr． 920 ，where $\delta \mu \mathrm{m} \lambda o \nu$ is due to ditto－ graphy．

868 тà $\tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi u \gamma \eta ิ s ~ к a \lambda \alpha$ ．No doubt

 ка入д́．
$869 \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \hat{\text { ．}}$ ．Schol．$\pi \lambda a \kappa 0 \hat{s}$ б $\gamma \mu \iota \kappa \delta>$
 üs $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ Mévavópos．

872 тis avitŋl；$\tau i$ фท́s；As àvú⿱－ avte．．．$\tau \iota$ cannot be taken together because of the intervening words，it is clear that $\tau i(\mathrm{~V})$ or $\tau i$（Ald．B）begins a new sentence（the servant＇s question）． It is possible to keep the words of V ， punctuating $\tau i$ ；тav $\tau \eta \nu i$ ；But this gives wrong sense；the servant manifests surprise，not at the kind of woman who is to be restored to the Council，but at the revelation of the woman＇s identity．
$<\tau i>\tau a u \tau \eta l$（sc．$\tau \hat{\eta} \beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ）is absurd，and so at first sight R seems to fail us．Tis then is the right word，and in Ald．we read tis＂$\epsilon \sigma \theta \theta^{\prime}$ aüv $\eta$ ；But no one can suppose that ${ }^{\prime}$ قै $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ represents a tradition ； it is a fairly intelligent correction（pro－ bably made by Musurus）．Dobree＇s correction， $\boldsymbol{\tau} i \boldsymbol{s}$ aúv $\eta i$ ；explains everything and vindicates R ．The MS．which R copied had $\mathfrak{T}$ autnt（i．e．$\tau$ ts autทı）， and the superscript letter passed un－ noticed．
$\tau i \phi \eta$ ；；also belongs to the servant． The Oxford editors give it to Trygaeus；it would then be an abstracted＂Eh？what did you ask ？＂－for Trygaeus can show no surprise at the question．But I find twelve instances of $\tau i \phi$ भ́s ；in Aristo－ phanes，all of which except $\tau i \phi$ 访；$\tau i$ ocrậs；in Thesm．144，Lys．70（＂what have you got to say for yourself？＇＂）refer with intense indignation or incredulity to words just spoken by another．So in tragedy，Aesch．Cho．778，Soph．O．T． 330，Eur．Herc． 546.
873－4 aivi ${ }^{2}$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．These lines can－ not be a statement made by Trygaeus， because the＇words $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime}$＇$\quad \sigma \theta c \quad \mu \dot{0} \lambda \iota s$ are plainly a reply to a question which has just been asked．The only alternative arrangement possible is that of Dobree （see cr．n．）．But the servant has heard the woman＇s name perfectly well already．

## EIPHNH

є̇ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{~B} \rho \alpha \nu \rho \omega \nu \alpha \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ и̇ $\pi о \pi \epsilon \pi \omega \kappa о ́ т \epsilon \varsigma ;$TP．$\sigma \dot{\alpha} \phi ’{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta \ell, \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta{ }_{n} \phi \theta_{\eta} \gamma^{\epsilon} \mu o ́ \lambda \iota s$.$$
\hat{\omega} \text { 厄 } \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o \tau \alpha,
$$oи̂тos，тí $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;$





874 ＇$\pi \pi \in ́ \mu \pi о \mu \in v$ ．Kock＇s correction （Verisim．p．259）is simple and satis－ factory．The traditional explanation of $\dot{\epsilon \pi a \alpha o \mu \epsilon \nu}$ accepted from Schol．，viz． ouvovoad́\}ouev, cannot be maintained with Bpaupaydód．Kock indeed denies this use to $\pi a i \epsilon t \nu$ unless helped（as infr．899） by the context ；but it is quite probable that it was common enough argot，like крov́ $\epsilon \nu$ ．But then $\pi$ ateiv will be used of a definite act，and it will be as absurd to
 тalovecs）as to say in English，＂we kissed her to Windsor，＂meaning＂we escorted her to Windsor and kissed her on the way．＂Other renderings，such as ＂hustle，＂try to conceal the fact that $\pi a l \epsilon t \nu$ is not $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，and that the verb has no wider range of subsidiary con－ structions than the English＂strike＂in its most literal sense．The corruption probably began with the substitution of ac for $\epsilon$（producing $\epsilon \pi a<\mu \pi о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ）．For this very common error see Cobet，V．L． p．121．From the Wasps alone ten instances of this confusion in RV are quoted by Starkie（p．liii．）．ザV ．．． $\dot{e} \pi \dot{\ell} \mu \pi \mu_{\mu} \mu \nu$ has a double meaning，ac－ cording as $\eta \mathrm{\eta}$ represents（1）$\theta \epsilon$ coplav，of escorting the lady，（2）$\theta \in \omega$ plav，of cele－ brating the procession．An exact parallel to the latter construction is
furnished by Kock（op．cit．p．261）from Plat．Phaed． 58 c．

For the festival of Artemis celebrated in the Attic deme Brauron，see Dict． Ant．i．p．316，where，however，the view that there were two festivals（one decent． the other indecent）is strangely repro－ duced from the former edition．
ілтотєтшко́тєs．＂Half seas over．＂ Cf．Lys． 395.

876 ӧ́ๆท้，к．т．入．＂Sensus hic videtur esse，＇Quantam culus iste quinto quoque anno voluptatem affert！＇＂ （Blaydes）．The Brauronia was cele－ brated every five years（Arist．Ath．Pol． 54，7．etc．）．
$879 \pi \epsilon \rho เ y \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon เ s . S c . ~ к u ́ \kappa \lambda о \nu$, which is expressed in Eupolis，fr．250．The sense of the two lines is correctly given by Schol．There is no reference to drawing circles on the ground with the foot，as Bergler supposes．

тò $\delta \in i ̂ v v^{\prime}$ ．Cf．supr．268，n．
880 бкทレๆ้̀ ．каталацßávш． Aristophanes wrote a play called $\Sigma \kappa \eta \nu d s$ каталацßávovoau．The verb is regularly used of＂securing＂a position，e．g．Eccl．


881 ov．Addressed to Theoria．
882 єis $\mu$ ยَ́ov $\sigma^{\prime}$ ．See Intr．p． 37.
883 ＇Apıфpáßŋs．Cf．Eq． 128 r ，Vesp． 1280.
ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ aútò̀ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \beta o \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.

$890 \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \rho \omega$ Blaydes: $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \omega \rho a$ codd.

 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\eta}^{\nu} \nu . . . \pi o \tau \varepsilon$ Dind. $894 \gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{V}$ Ald.: $\theta^{\prime}$ R. 896 est in R solo

886 тà $\sigma \kappa \in u ́ \eta$ are here not stage implements, as supr. 729, but the ornaments of Theoria, who is addressed in ката́ $\theta$ ov. Trygaeus here seizes hold of her, and drags her forward.
890 ảváppuotr. Schol. $\mu$ la $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ 'A $\pi a \tau o v \rho i \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \mu \hat{\rho} \rho a$. It was the second day: see Dict. Ant. i. p. 134. Suid.

 There is a further reference to $\dot{p \in i v}$, p̀́vers.
892 каі кєка́тขเк'. All editors keep $\tilde{a}^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ (or $\tilde{a}^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ ), with the expulsion of which any restoration of this corrupt line should begin. Aristophanes never elides the word at the end of a sentence, nor is it likely that any Greek could do so. This excision disposes of the only reason which existed for supposing the second syllable of кєка́тขเк' to have been lengthened in iambics; nor did the fantastic Kamviov of Vesp. 151 ever give any warrant for this view, in face of the
frequent occurrence of $\kappa \check{a} \pi \nu \delta \delta$ s. If this verb and каi are both sound, the line possibly ran ólà taûтa $\mu \tilde{v} \nu \tau o \iota$ каi кєка́т$\nu u \kappa^{\prime}$ - Ėvaav̂ $\theta a \quad \gamma \dot{a} \rho$. But it is highly probable that one, if not two, of the syllables of KAIKEKAIINIKAP are due to dittography; if two, the repeated letters would most naturally be KE and KAP. This would leave KAIKAIN as the genuine substratum, for the insertion of I would be of course the last step of all in the corruption. Such a theory (which can be merely destructive) is preferable to Dindorf's expedient of introducing $\hat{\eta} \nu$ from the next line ; this fails to clear up any of the difficulties.

Comparing Alexis $a p$. Athen. ix. 35
 é $\chi \in \iota$; one might boldly write $\delta \iota a ̀$
 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$

896 For the omission of this line in most MSS., owing to homoioteleuton, cf. supr. 187, n.

## EIPHNH

${ }^{i \prime \nu} \alpha \delta_{\eta}^{\eta} \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta S \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ ，


 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ т а i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ к а \mu \pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \eta ~ \eta \nu i o \chi o ı ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega к о ́ т є s . ~$




XO．$\hat{\eta} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \grave{\eta} \rho \pi \lambda_{i}^{i-}$
［à $\nu \tau$ ．
тクs є̇ $\sigma \tau i \nu \ddot{\partial} \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ö $\sigma-$
TLs $\gamma^{\prime}$ є́ $\sigma \tau i$ toloûtos．

XO．каì $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{v} \gamma^{\epsilon}$ d̀ǹोos $\epsilon \hat{i}$ ． $\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu-$ $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi$ oıs $\gamma \in \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \sigma \alpha$ ．


（et in G sec．Blaydes）． 907 өâ $\sigma^{\prime}$ Dind． $908 \pi \rho o i ̂ k a$ Ald．：$\pi \rho o i ̂{ }^{\prime}$ äp RVI＇．$^{2}$ ． 910 то入ıтаเs Herm． $911 \gamma^{\prime}$ Ald．：om．RГ． 912 光 $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta$ R． $916 \phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$


907 0＇a a ${ }^{\circ}$ ．Synizesis is very rare in Comedy，but Vesp． 1067 vєavıкív， 1069 $\nu \in a \nu t \omega ิ \nu$ ，are perfectly valid examples，not to be explained as due to tragic parody． Dindorf（followed by all editors except edd．Ox．）reads here the Doric $\theta \hat{a} \sigma^{\prime}$ ，and in Thesm． 280 बà $\sigma a t$（from $\theta \dot{a} o \mu a t$ ）．But such a form，appropriate in the mouth of the Megarian，Ach．770，is absurd in an Attic setting．Moreover，sudden impera－ tives lend themselves naturally to ab－ breviation both of construction（cf．infr． 943, n．）and pronunciation（ $\epsilon a$ is a mono－ syllable in Eccl．784，but éáo $\omega$ would never be a dissyllable）．

908 є $\tau t$ троїка．A hit at the venality of the Presidents in introducing suitors or ambassadors to the Council． For such introduction mpooayayєiv was the technical word，and $\pi a \rho \epsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \xi a$ ato leads up to it．

909 ข́méXovia．The object expected is $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \chi \in i \rho a$ ，for which the skilfully－
punning éкє $\epsilon \in \rho i a \nu$ is substituted．For $i \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi є i ̂ p a$ ，of holding the hand under for a bribe，cf．Dem． 421 （Fals．
 $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \chi \omega \dot{\nu}$ ，and for $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \omega$ ，to plead in
 ékeXєьpia has here its rarer sense of ＂holiday，＂＂off－day．＂
$910 \pi 0 \lambda i \tau \eta s$ ．Hermann＇s correction mo入liaus is simple enough，but unneces－ sary ；\＆̈ँraot does not need a substantive， and $\alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \rho$ $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s$ can perfectly well sustain an epithet，as in $E q$ ．I 304，advópa
 тo入itns $\pi$ oùúmous és toùs $\tau \rho 6 \pi$ ous．
$916 \phi{ }^{\prime} \sigma$ ets $\gamma^{\prime}$ ．The insertion of $\gamma^{*}$ seems to be justified by infr．I35I，Eq． 1388.

入єтaoттйv．Schol．єiõos $\pi$ ornpiou
 by Athenaeus xi． 70 （ $484 \mathrm{~F}-486 \mathrm{~A}$ ）， with many quotations from Old Comedy．

| TP. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 920 |
|  | тò̀ $\delta \eta \mu$ о́т $\eta \nu$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 92 |
| OI. |  |  |
| TP. |  |  |
| XO. | $\chi^{\chi}$ |  |
| TP. |  | 925 |
| XO. |  |  |
| TP. |  |  |
| XO. | $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$. |  |
| TP. | $\tau \cdots$ |  |
| XO. |  |  |

 $925 \tau \hat{\psi}$ $\alpha a i$ Blaydes. 926 ठtǒ codd.: corr. Dind. 928 Өєartvous

920 тòv $\delta \eta \mu$ нó $\eta \downarrow$. We cannot admit ${ }_{o}^{\mu} \mu \lambda o \nu$ after these words without following the inferior MSS. supr. 866, èv rois ả $\gamma \rho 0$ ỗlv aủroús. See note ad loc.
 i.e. plebeian. Cf. Soph. Ant. 690, Aj. 1071. Perhaps the reference is rather to the country demes, in which case kal has its explanatory force. Elsewhere in Aristophanes the word (with or without $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho)$ means a "fellow demesman."

921 'Y $\pi \varepsilon^{\rho} \beta$ ßodov. Cf. supr. 68ı, n.
922 èv $\tau \in \cup \in \epsilon v$ i. "Next," like the tragic $\tau$ oivv $\tau \in \hat{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu$.
923 xúrpals. The custom of offering pots of cooked pulse (Schol. Eै $\psi$ ovies бббтрıa à $\pi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \chi$ оуто тои́т $\omega \nu$ ) at the dedication of a statue is mentioned also in Plat. 1197, тàs $\chi u ́ \tau p a s$, ais тòv $\theta \in \grave{\nu} \nu \mid$ iôpvбó $\mu \in \theta a$,
 dedication of an altar) Schol. quotes from

 iópúध $\eta$ потє́. As the god in Plut. l.c. is Hermes, who is immediately suggested here ('Epuńdov, 924), we gather that the terminal 'Epuaî were thus honoured, and Paley points out that the Romans offered liba to the Termini. According to Schol. on both passages, the $\chi$ útpal were thankofferings for the original food of
man; but this applies rather to the similar offerings made to Hermes on the last day of the Anthesteria.
 the pots of pulse, as being a shabby substitute for beef (iєpei $\omega$, infr. 1091).
 382, n.
$925 \lambda a p เ v \omega \uparrow$ ßot. The adjective, with whatever meaning (see Schol.), was specially applied to oxen : cf. Athen. ix. 376 B, 'Epatoottvps . . . toùs vúas

 $a p$. Athen. ix. 368 F, tavpoû $\lambda a \rho \iota v o u ̂$. In
 mighty, beefy phrase."
$926 \beta$ ot . . . $\beta_{\text {on }} \theta_{\text {eiv. F }}$ For the pun, cf. sulpr. $453, \pi \alpha \iota \omega \nu$. . . $\pi a l \epsilon \iota v$.
$\delta$ ©́n. This correction of $\delta$ tot is necessary, as there can be no reference to the past.

928 ©єоү'́vous. For his character, see Starkie on Vesp. 1183 . All MSS. in Av. 822, 1127,1295, Lys. 63 , as here, give the unmetrical form in $\alpha$, which was a common name in later times: cf. Meisterhans, Gramm. Inschr. p. 117, note 1079.
 аบ่тоิ.
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XO.
ờ; $\quad$ vaì $\mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta i^{\prime}$.

$93^{\circ}$
TP. òt;
XO. $\quad \nu a i ̀ \mu \grave{a} \Delta i^{\prime}$. тò ${ }_{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu$ '.




XO.
$\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \alpha ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ グтเoь.
 935




 тои́тш кат $\alpha$ каı $\rho o ̀ \nu ~ \alpha ̀ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\alpha}$.


 add. Mein. $932 \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \eta$ V (sec. edd. Oxon.): $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{R}$ vulg. $939 \chi \dot{\eta}$ тúх $\eta$ seclusi. катор $\theta$ ố» Blaydes: катор $\theta 0 \hat{\imath}$ codd. $943 ~ d \gamma^{\prime}$ add. Richter.

929 obt. The Attic form was oil.

\$v $v^{\prime}$, órav. Meineke's reading is tolerably certain. It is strange to find so recent an editor as Richter printing Porson's unmetrical ${ }^{6} \dot{\alpha} \nu$.
933 vinò tov̂ סéovs. The sacrifice of a sheep is to make the assembly timid and lamb-like (935), and to incline them to cry out "ba-a!" when war is proposed. Aristophanes is parodying the extravagance of drawing omens from far-fetched cases.
 is perhaps a reflection on the timidity of the Ionian character in the later words каi $\tau \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega \nu \geqslant ้ \pi t o t: ~ b u t ~ p r o b a b l y ~$ the reference to Ionia merely justifies the lengthening of the cry oi (found in ot $\mu o t$ ) to $\dot{d t}$, with a view to the pun.
$935 \omega ̈ \sigma \tau^{3}=i$ itaque.
 $\theta e \lambda \eta$ (with its slight variations) is the form
$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ Attic. All other instances of this form which occur in Aristophanies either are paratragoedic or admit the correction ' $\theta \in \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \nu$, except Thesm. 412 (read $\epsilon^{\prime} \theta \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ) and Eq. 713 , $\partial \sigma \circ \nu \quad \theta \in \lambda \omega$ ( $\delta \sigma^{\prime}$ a $\nu \varepsilon^{z} \theta \in \lambda \omega$, Bachmann, Conj. p. 75).
[ $\chi \eta \mathfrak{\eta}$ тúx $\eta$ ]. For the bracketing of these words, and the reading kaтор $\begin{aligned} & \text { oiv, }\end{aligned}$ see note on infr. I023, in the antistrophe.
 lucky chance falls in with another in the most opportune way."
941 ámav $\hat{\alpha}$, "falls in with," for áp $\rho \dot{b} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$, "fits in with."
942 кal $\delta \dot{\eta} . \quad$ Cf. supr. 178 , n.
$943<\ddot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}>$ '̇ $\pi \in \mathfrak{l} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \tau \epsilon$. Such a correction of the MSS. is quite necessary. The syllable $\pi \hat{\omega} s$, infr. 1027, is not anacrustic (as Blaydes thinks), but part of a spondee in anapaestic metre.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mathfrak{f} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$. Intransitive, active for middle, natural in quick commands. Cf. Thesm. 783, $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau^{\prime}, \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
$\sigma_{0} \beta a \rho \grave{a}$ ӨєóӨєь катє́ $\chi$ єו
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \tau \rho о \pi о$ а aü $p a$.



 ойкочข $\dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta$ ov ; $\dot{\omega}$ s
ìv Xaipıs v́mâs ión,
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ аैк $\lambda_{\eta \tau о \varsigma} \alpha \dot{v}-$
$\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \hat{a} \tau \alpha \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ oì ${ }^{\prime}$ ö ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota$
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \delta$ ŋ́ $\pi о v$.

## 948-1011 desunt in ГВС Ald. 952 äк $\lambda_{\eta \tau o s ~ a v ̉ \lambda \omega ̂ \nu ~ B e r g k: ~ a v ̉ \lambda \eta ́ \sigma \omega \nu ~}^{\text {. }}$

 ák $^{\circ} \lambda \eta$ тos codd. $953 \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}$ otठ' Dind.: тov̂t' $\epsilon \hat{v}$ ot $\delta^{\prime}$ codd.944 катє́Xєь. Probably є́aut $\eta^{\prime}$ is to be understood, although the only known instance of this use of кaт $\chi \chi \in \iota$ is Soph.
 then predicative of $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 v$ av̈pa, "while the rushing wind of war that blew from heaven changes round and is at rest."

 It is clear that кaté $\chi \in l$ is not here "prevails" (Blaydes). We should then have to take $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ v$ after $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho o \pi o s$, and translate, "While a rushing wind from heaven prevails, its quarter changed from war." But ooßapá is then inappropriate: the breeze should be
 Moreover, катє $\chi \in t$ absolute in this sense, lit. "is in possession of things," is less appropriate with aưpa as subject than with the potent $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu$ (Thuc. iii. 89), or the abstract $\lambda$ óros (ib. i. Io).
947 's. For the use of this form (here required by metre) in cantica, see supr. 37, n.
 $\mu a \tau \alpha$.
948 ò $\lambda$ ás. The sprinkling of barleygrains from a basket on the head of a victim is a custom dating from the earliest times. oủ̉oxúrat are frequently mentioned by Homer. Cf. Od. iii. 44r, étépy $\delta^{\prime}$ éxev oủhd̀s |év кavé $\varphi$. So the Romans used mola salsa (Plaut. Ain. ii. 2, 108, Mart. vii. 54.5 , Virg. E. viii. 82).
$\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu$. The garland, for the head of
the sacrificer, not of the victim, does not figure specially in the Homeric sacrifice, but being regularly worn by the Homeric priest (II. i. 14, 28) would not require
 каi đúrрад каl $\mu$ мррьıás: ib. 893.
$949 \pi \lambda$ गेv тò $\pi \rho$ ó $^{\beta} \beta a \tau 0 v$. The sacrificial implements were specially detailed in order to lead up to the absurd climax, that there is no victim.
$950 \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \theta \circ$. So $\ddot{\mu} \mu \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha$ occurs in an ode, Eq. 556, though neither noun nor verb would occur in a comic iambic line. They are favourite words of Euripides, but we need imagine no parody ; cf. $\mu \in \tau$ а́тротоs (945), тоעou$\mu$ ย́ve (954).

951 Xaipıs. His flute-playing is ridiculed, Ach. 16, Av. 858, where Schol. quotes from Pherecrates ( $f r .6$ ), to the effect that he was the second worst $\kappa \iota \theta a \rho \omega \delta \delta{ }^{\prime} s$ who ever lived.

952 äk $\lambda \eta$ тоs av̉入ิิข. Bergk's correction makes the metre conform to that of infr. 1035 (since the first syllable of each verse is merely anacrustic), but the similarity of äк $\lambda \eta \tau 0 s$ to $a v \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu$ in the MSS. suggests deeper corruption.
954 тоขочиє́vఱ. The deponent form is almost entirely Homeric.
 mendicanti dare. Confer v. ipooauteì. Cf. 1111-1112, Eq. 1222, Joi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 Phil. 308," Blaydes. See Neil on Eq. l.c., W. Headlam in Class. Rev. xiii. p. 153 .


OI. i ioov́ $\lambda$ é $\gamma o t s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \pi \epsilon \rho t \epsilon \lambda \eta ŋ \lambda \nu \theta \alpha$.





OI. <br>OI. $\quad \nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{o} \nu{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu \hat{\eta} \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma^{\epsilon}$<br>

idoú.


#### Abstract

959 סaN(ov Bentl. (e schol. et Suida): $\delta a \delta \delta i o v ~ c o d d$. Herw.: ซeiou où codd.: $\theta$ elov ò̀ Palmer. 961 post 959 


956 Cf. $A v .958$, $\alpha \dot{v} \theta \iota s$ $\sigma \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \omega \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon$ $\lambda a \beta \omega े \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \chi \in \rho \nu \iota \beta \alpha$.

957 émiઠ́éta. "From left to right," the auspicious direction. So in Homer, of the passing of the wine, $O d$. xxi. I41,
 Hence the adverb came to mean merely "auspiciously," and that sense is present here along with the literal meaning.

959 סadiov. The same doubt between $\delta a \delta i o v$ and $\delta a \lambda i o v$ attaches to Eq. 921. But the testimony of the ancients is conclusive as to our line. Schol. V. ойт $\omega$ ठì̀ $\tau 0 \hat{0} \lambda$ ó $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \omega \mu เ \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$
 s.v., and Hesychius vouches for the word in regard to this particular rite. For the custom of dipping a torch in the lustral water and sprinking the altar with it, cf. Eur. Herc. $928, \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta a \lambda \partial \nu$
 (Schol.): Ar. Lys. 1129.

960 бeifo re. The middle $\sigma$ elou is impossible; so much is admitted by all. But $\sigma$ ú and any imperative (e. $\sigma$. Palmer's $\theta \epsilon i o u)$ raise difficulties; for $\sigma \dot{v}$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ must then refer to different persons, and yet it is plain from lines $950,96 \mathrm{r}$, and indeed the whole scene, that Trygaeus has only one assistant. The words from $\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \in \delta \dot{\eta}$ to $\tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \in \omega s$ ought to deal with the duties of Trygaeus, and, as in 959 he has dipped the torch, the following words would naturally refer to the sprinkling of the altar (see last note). This action could not be better expressed than by
$\sigma \epsilon l \epsilon!\nu(\tau o ̀ ~ \delta a \lambda(o \nu)$. As to $\theta \epsilon i o v$, the fumigation of the scene of sacrifice should rather be the first act on entrance; cf. Theocr. xxiv. 96, каөap $\hat{\text { 人 }} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi v \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$



 (quoted by Blaydes, Advers. p. 35).
961 xєpvíттоv. Cf. Hom. 11. i. 449 ,

mapaסós $\tau$ ' aủ $\boldsymbol{r} \eta$ v. Herwerden's very slight alteration restores good sense to an otherwise hopeless line. With the reading of MSS., we can only translate, "Hand the water to me, and let me sprinkle you." But $\chi \in p v i \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is always reflexive middle, never passive, and the addition of av̌rós (the word moreover coming first) makes the middle sense doubly clear. Also, кef $\rho \eta \nu$ would be required for $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu$.
 from $\chi \in p \nu i \pi t o v$.
962 It was a common stage-trick to throw figs, nuts or sweetmeats among the audience, but, considering what is here thrown, it is obvious that Aristophanes is parodying, not stooping to, a practice which he condemns. Cf.




 à $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \gamma \in \lambda \hat{a} \nu$.

TP. oủ ai $\gamma$ vuaikés $\gamma$ ' ${ }^{\text {ć }} \lambda \alpha \beta$ ºv.

TP. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \chi \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$.


TP. тои́тous à $\gamma a \theta o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu o ́ \mu ı \sigma \alpha s ~ ; ~$
$\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ v̈ $\delta \omega \rho$ тобоvтоvì єis тайтò тoû ${ }^{\prime}$ é $\sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}$ ióvтєs $\chi \omega$ рiov;
TP. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\omega}_{s} \tau \alpha \chi^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \cup \chi \chi \omega^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$.
TP. $\hat{\dot{\omega}} \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha ~ \theta \epsilon \alpha ́$,



XO. $\delta \in \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \pi o \lambda v \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$, $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i \alpha, \kappa \alpha i$ 市 $\pi о i \epsilon \iota \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho$ ai $\mu о \iota \chi є \cup о ́ \mu \epsilon v a \iota ~ \delta \rho \bar{\sigma} \iota \iota$ रuvaiккєs. 980 каì $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ éкєival $\pi \alpha \rho а к \lambda i ̀ \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota ~$

$$
966 \text { r' om. V. } \quad 969 \text { тоїб兀 V. } \quad 981 \text { aikeîval R. }
$$


 è $\lambda$ erov.

966 Supposed to be a test passage as to the presence, or absence, of women at the Old Comedy, but the inference to be drawn from the words is too uncertain to justify the raising of the question. Personally, I think that this line, taken in conjunction with 964 , strongly favours their absence. The latest addition to the controversy is an able discussion by Mr. Rogers in the Introd. to his Ecclesiazusae, pp. xxix.-xxxiii.

968 Tis $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon ;$ "Who is here?" According to Schol., this formal question was put just prior to a libation, while those present made answer $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{2}$ кdंyaOol. Trygaeus here misses the usual response.

969 тot $\delta \delta$, i.e. the Chorus, as is shown by 971-972.

971 катахєо́vтшข ४ $\delta \omega \rho$. No doubt the Chorus had already come in for much splashing from the lustral water; but here the servant proceeds to literally pour it on their heads.
 As though they had come forward on purpose to be drenched.
$978 \pi 0 \lambda v \tau \downarrow \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$. A regular epithet of the gods. Cf. Ach. 759, where the Megarian, punning on the word, says that in his country corn is $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \tau$ luatos, di $\pi \epsilon \rho$ тol $\theta \in 0$ l. So $E q$. $1390, A v .667$, etc.
 the genitive, cf. supr. 30, т $\eta \delta \mathrm{l}$ тapolgas $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oúpas. The double $\pi$ apá shows the caution and secrecy of the movement.

## EIPHNH

Tท̂s av̀̀єías таракú $\pi \tau о v \sigma \iota \nu$.
 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \chi \omega \rho o \hat{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{*}$
$\kappa \hat{a ̂} \tau^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi i \eta, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa u ́ \pi \tau о v \sigma \tau$. 985


$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\epsilon \nu \nu \alpha \iota o \pi \rho \in \pi \hat{\omega} s ~ \tau о і ̈ \sigma เ \nu ~ \epsilon} \rho a \sigma \tau \alpha i ̂ s$



990
$\lambda \hat{v} \sigma о \nu \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \mu a ́ \chi а s ~ к а i ~ к о р к о р и \gamma a ́ s, ~$
iva $\Lambda v \sigma ı \mu \alpha \chi \chi \eta \nu \sigma є к \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$.
$\pi \alpha \hat{v} \sigma o \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \dot{v} \pi o \nu o i a s$ тàs $\pi є \rho$ кко́ $\mu$ nous, ais $\sigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ є's $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda o u s^{\circ}$ 995


 кє́рабоข тò̀ voû̀.



982 тараки́ттоvбเข, к.т.入.



 nuisance," woman): Theocr. iii. 6, $\widehat{\omega}$
 ằтроу | тарки́ттоьба калєîs то̀v غ̀ $\rho$. $\omega \tau$ ú̀ $0 \nu$;

989 gov трихо́ $\mu \theta^{*}$. For the genfive, cf. Eur. Hec. 1256, ả $\lambda$ रєîs; $\tau i$ ठ $\grave{\eta}$

 important and surprising date, see Intr. pp. 12-14.

991 коркориуа́s. "Rumbling "din." Cf. Asch. The. 345, коркориуаi $\delta^{\prime}$ ad $v^{\prime}$ ar $\sigma \tau v:$ Ar. Leys. 491.
$992 \Lambda v \sigma \mu a ́ x \eta \nu$. A play on a proper name, found also $L y s$ s. 554 , offal тотє $\Lambda v \sigma \varkappa \mu a ́ x a s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ ¿ ̇ v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~$ калєîन $\theta a l$. Very similar are Eq. 570 , od

 615, Nub. 1162.
$994 \pi є \rho เ \kappa о ̊ \mu \psi o u s . \quad$ "Too clever by
half." These fanciful suspicions no doubt concern the motives of the other side when offering peace.

995 бт $\omega \mu \nu \lambda \lambda{ }_{0} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$. For the coarse termination - $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$, cf. supt. 465 , n.
$996 \mu \in i \xi \circ \nu \delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} S_{,}$к.т. $\lambda$. This is the clearest and strongest passage of those in which Aristophanes gives expression to his Panhellenic longings. See Intr. pp. 3-4.
997 фi入ías $\chi$ vice. "In a broth of kindliness." The four lines lose nothing through the homeliness of the metaphor (for which cf. Vest. 878).

999 ék $\mu \grave{v} \nu \mathrm{M} \epsilon \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \omega v$. Meineke and Blaydes adopt Hamaker's correction of line 1000 (' $\kappa$ M $\mathrm{M} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ for $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \bar{a} \lambda \omega \nu$ ). I agree so far as to think it quite certain that Aristophanes introduced Megara by name to balance $\epsilon_{\kappa}$ Bot $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o n }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ in 1003 ; the conjunction, however, of ' $k$ Meyápur $\sigma \kappa о \rho \delta \delta \omega \nu$ seems barely tolerable. But the letters of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu \dot{\alpha} y a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ correspond
 Nor is the cause of corruption far to seek : the first two letters of $\mathrm{M}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \mathrm{\alpha}_{\mathrm{\rho}} \rho \boldsymbol{\omega}$

## APIETOФANOY：

$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta$ خे $\nu \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ бкоро́д $\omega \nu$ ，
1000
$\sigma \iota \kappa ⿱ ㇒ ⿻ 二 乚 ⿱ ⿴ 囗 十 丌 \nu \nu ~ \pi \rho \dot{\varphi} \omega \nu, \mu \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ，$\dot{\rho} \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$ ，


 каi K $\omega \pi \alpha \dot{q} \delta \omega \nu$ є่ $\lambda \theta \epsilon i v$ бтирídas，

1005
каì тєрi таútas ${ }_{\eta}^{\mu} \mu \hat{a}_{S} \dot{\alpha} \theta$ póous





IOIO

 ỏ ó $_{\mu} \alpha \nu$ ，ò $\lambda o ́ \mu \alpha \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \eta \rho \omega \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$
would be dropped by a haplography （MENMET becoming MENT），and the subsequent alteration to $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ is the simplest of which the remaining letters allow．It may be added that
 anything but displeasing to a Greek ear． Cf．Jebb on Soph．Aj．6I．

1002 र $\lambda a v \iota \sigma \kappa \iota \delta i \omega v$ ．The Megarians manufactured and exported numbers of common jackets．Cf．Ach．519，єं $\quad$ кко－
 editors refer to Xen．Mem．ii．7．6，
 $\delta \iota a \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi о \nu \tau a$, ．For $\sigma เ \kappa v ์ \omega v$ and $\sigma \kappa о р о ́ \delta \omega v$ cf．Ach．l．c．
1004 Schol．points out that in Ach． 876 it is a Boeotian who，with his long list of birds，comes like a $\chi \epsilon \mu \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ óp $\nu$ tias into the market．
tpox idous．Identified with the Egyp－ tian Plover or Ziczac．See Thompson， Glossary of Greek birds，s．v．
$1005 \mathrm{~K} \omega \pi \mathrm{~d}^{2} \delta \omega \nu$ ．Eels from Lake Copais are the climax of Boeotian luxuries，as in $A c h .880$.

1007 тvp $\beta a ́ \xi ̆ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ ．Here apparently to＂jostle．＂Cf．Isocr．Antid．I30，Tìv
 The words were vulgar ；see Neil on Eq． 310.

1008 For Mópuxos，cf．Ach．886，
where the Copaic eel is addressed，$\eta \lambda \theta \epsilon$ es
 Mopúx．In Vesp．506，Bdelycleon would have his father $\zeta_{\eta} \nu$ Biov $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i ̂ o v$ $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho-M \dot{\rho} \rho v \chi o s: ~ i b . ~ 1142$ ．Schol．on Nub． 109 quotes from Plat．Com．（fr．
 （ $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ خàp oủ $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ Mein．）z＇$\phi u s$, ｜кai

 tis see Plato above；his nickname was perhaps due to the fact that the fishes $\psi \tilde{\eta} r \tau a$ and $\gamma \lambda a \hat{\kappa} \kappa 0 s$ were often coupled （e．g．Athen．vii． $295 \mathrm{~F}, 330 \mathrm{~B}$ ）．In Thesm． 1033 he is a devouring sea－
 Te $\lambda$ éas was fickle and restless（ $A$ v．168－ 170），lazy（ib．1024－1026），disingenuous （Plat．Com．fr．161），and an $\alpha \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \lambda \lambda^{2}$ $\pi i \theta \eta \kappa o s($ Phryn．fr．20）．

1009 Me入ávөıov．Cf．supr． 804.
1010 eis тो̀ $\begin{aligned} & \text { áyopáv．The article }\end{aligned}$ was inserted or omitted at will with a ropd after local prepositions，without any difference of meaning such as is suggested by Dobree，Advers．i．p． 193. See the list given by Starkie，Vesp． p． 217.

1012 èk．Cf．Vesp．580，Thesm．135， 770 （Sobolewski，Praep．p．73）．

Mndefas．No doubt a play written by Melanthius himself，containing a lament which is here parodied．


10I 5



TY．
$\alpha \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ ova $\theta$ émes．
OI．
Tin $\tau i{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} ;$



1020



$[\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \chi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \theta$ is R Ald．
Ding．：$\theta u ́ p a \iota \sigma \iota ~ c o d d$.
$1023 \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \tau \circ \iota \mathrm{RV}: \sigma \epsilon$ 就 Ald．（ $\gamma \rho$ ．V）．$\theta \dot{v} \rho a \sigma \iota$ Post $\chi$ pŋ̀ lacunam indicat Meineke．

1014 тєíт入otテt．For these eels served up in beetroot，cf．Ach． 893 （a parody of Eur．Alc．367），$\mu \eta \delta \bar{\delta}$ 人 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ nay $\hat{\nu}_{\nu}$
 three passages of Eubulus $a p$ ．Athens． vii． $300 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{C}$ ．
入охєขон́vas．＂Of the lady who is lying in among beetroot．＂This is com－ monly taken to mean＂imbedded，＂but though such a rendering preserves a specious likeness to the derivation of the word，yet $\lambda o \chi e v \in \sigma \theta a t$ ，which occurs many times in tragedy，is invariably used in connection with childbirth．Cf．Eur．
 бато｜\aт⿳亠二口丿 $\Delta$ loco $\sigma \epsilon$ картоîs：Bach． 3．Fro．598．The passive meaning， ＂brought forth＂（Soph．O．C．I322）is precluded by the present tense．It is inconceivable that in a parody of tragedy the common tragic meaning of a word should not be hinted at．We need not seek the parodist＇s motive，especially as we do not know the passage parodied ； he is probably only trying to heighten the absurdity by going great lengths in the female personification of the eel． For this，cf．Ach．883，$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \in \epsilon \rho a \quad \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta^{-}$ коута $\mathrm{K} \omega \pi d \delta \omega \nu$ корар（also a parody）．
$1016 \pi 0 \lambda v \tau\left(\mu \eta \tau^{2}\right.$ ．Here an adjective of two terminations only，as Nub． 269 and generally．But see supp． 978 ， тодитццйт
 77，n．


$1018 \sigma \phi d \xi \in$ es．A butcher＇s word （Rutherford on Babrius，xxi．7）．
Tiv oiv．The Attic form of the accusa－ five．See supt． 929.
$\tau เ \grave{~ \tau} \tau \delta \eta$ ；；A pleonasm found Vesp． 1155，Thesm．84．Cf．Nub．755，òтเทे $\tau i$ סウ̆ ；Plut．136，öт $\tau \ell$ ठ $\dot{\eta}$ ；
1019 ova $\mathfrak{y} \delta \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ．The words，as far as $\beta \omega \mu$ os，are paratragoedic，in spite of the colloquial $\delta$ शंтovetv．

1020 aipatov̀tal．Cf．Eur．And．
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \ell \sigma \varepsilon$ ．Aristophanes is fond of laughing at this tragic word．E．g． Therm，694，$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ 㸚alpg $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$
 Eur．Hel．1599）：Ran．475，тஸे עєфр
 Eur．Bach．1135）．



1021 ＇$\xi \in \in \lambda \omega \in v$ is here best rendered＂talk－ ing out＂merely，not＂having reserved＂ （Blaydes）as a $\gamma \epsilon \rho a s \epsilon \xi a i \rho \in T o v . C f$ ．Her． ii． 87 （of the embalmers），$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \hat{\prime} \dot{\nu} \tau \tau \epsilon s \tau_{\eta} \nu$


1022 For the sacrifice of stage－ illusion，cf．supt．174，n．

1023 If the corresponding line in the strophe（ 939 ）is correct，the MSS．are here short by three syllables（ $\quad$－－，supposing the gap to come after Xpí）．But reive is suspicious，if it has to be still further re－ moved from the beginning of the sentence， and Blaydes may be nearer the mark in suggesting some such line as $\sigma \epsilon$ to u
$\sigma \chi \chi^{i} \zeta a s$ devpi $\tau \iota \theta \in ́ v a \imath ~ \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon ́ \omega s$

1025

XO . $\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\mathrm{\chi}^{i}$; $\tau i{ }^{i} \gamma^{\prime} \rho \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$



1030



XO. Tis ồv äv oủk ė̃ $\pi$ àvéซet-
$\epsilon \nu$ ä $\nu \delta \rho a$ тotoûtov, ő $\sigma-$
тis $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu a \tau \lambda a ̀ s$ 'ै $\epsilon \sigma \omega-$
1035
$\sigma \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ iє $\rho \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$;

 $\nu \in \nu \eta \mu \hat{e} \eta \eta$ Verrall: $̇ \nu \eta \mu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta$-codd.

 simpler explanation of the discrepancy seems to have escaped notice; viz. that the present line is right in the MSS., while $\chi \dot{\eta} \tau u ́ \chi \eta$ should be removed from line 939, and катор $\theta$ ôv (Blaydes) adopted. $\grave{\eta}$ тúz $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ would be a very natural glossema.

1024 oxifas. Cf. Hom. Il. i. 462, ii. 425 .
$1026 \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa$ ติs. Cf. supr. IOI7, n.
1028 Хрŋ́. Sc. $\pi 0 \epsilon \epsilon i ̂ v$.
1029 óто́гa, к.т.ג. There is no reason to suspect interpolation (of $\gamma \epsilon$ ) in the Aldine, whose copyist did not understand the metre which he almost exactly preserves (cf. 945-946); else he would have written $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$. For Xpєف́v '́のтเv (instead of xpećv alone, supr. 765,
 $\chi$ र $\epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$ ("fated ").
$1031 \pi$ тор $\mu \varphi$. . . то́ $\lambda \mu \eta$ We should rather say " bold resource."

1032 vєv $\eta \mu$ év $\eta$. Dr. Verrall suggests this simple and almost certain correction of the impossible $\epsilon \nu \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$. It is incon-
 be used for the simple ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ in the sense "to light." The first letter of $\nu \in \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ would be lost after the $\nu$ of roûv. "The piling of the faggots any-
how is enough to make Stilbides depressed ": the style is so professional. $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma a t$ is regularly used of the piling of zwood: cf. Lys. 269, Eur. Herc. 243, etc. It is uncertain whether dév $\quad$ uau or $\nu \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \mu a l$ is the correct form of the perfect passive ; the sigmatic form is favoured by MSS. in Nub. 1203, Eccl. 838 ( $\epsilon \pi เ \nu \in \nu$ a $\sigma \mu \hat{\mu} \nu a \iota$, but discountenanced by them in Thuc. vii. 87. Blaydes on Eccl. l.c. quotes Phrynichus from Bekk. Anecad. p. 13. 24 in favour of $\nu \in \nu \eta \mu a l$, and we can now cite Herodas, iv, $15, \nu \in \nu \eta \mu e ́ v \eta \eta$.
$\Sigma \tau i \lambda \beta i \delta \eta \nu$. A soothsayer of considerable repute, who afterwards accompanied the Sicilian expedition (Schol. : cf. Plut. Nic. 23). Cf. Eupolis (fr. 211), ap. Schol.
 right. A comparison of this line (understood of fire) with $L y s$. $311, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota \mu \pi \rho$ ávą
 produced the renderings "choke," "make to smart." The latter meaning is quite impossible in a word which always keeps up the idea of heavy pressure, and the former (for $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma \xi \alpha i)$ is highly improbable. In Lys. l.c. the meaning is "bear heavily upon," as in


$\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ où $\chi^{i} \mu \dot{\eta} \pi a v ́ \sigma n \pi o \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\omega} \nu$

OI．тauti $\delta \in ́ \delta \rho a \tau \alpha$ ．$\tau i \theta \in \sigma o$ $\tau \grave{\omega} \mu \eta \rho \grave{\omega} \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$.

1040

OI．i¿ov́，$\pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota . \mu \overline{\omega \nu} \epsilon$ є $\pi \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon i v ~ \sigma o t ~ \delta о к \hat{\omega}$ ；
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ठá $\phi \nu \eta$ тıs є́ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi а \nu \omega \mu$ évos． тís $\alpha$ 人́ $\rho \alpha \pi о \tau^{\prime}$ є̀ $\sigma \tau i v$ ；
OI． $\dot{\omega}_{\varsigma} \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \breve{c}^{\omega} \dot{\omega} \nu$ фаivetal． 1045

TP．


OI．$\tau i \pi o \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \alpha \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \xi_{\epsilon \iota}$ ；



1037 mav́ n．The worthless G alone （as infr．1226）gives the correct reading． The second pers．sing．fut．indic．was never used after oủ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ except in prohibi－ tions．In Soph．O．C．849，ó oııторй́n̄s is undoubtedly right，and in Aeschin． 567 тoıทं $\sigma \tau \varepsilon$ should be altered．
1039 ti9tro．The middle（as supr． 1026）suggests care and arrangement． But supr． 1024 we have $\sigma \chi$ lfas $\tau t \theta \in \nu a t$.


 ă $\lambda \phi \iota \tau \alpha$ गे $\theta v \mu \iota \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ є่ $\pi i \quad \beta \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．Cf．
 $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 i$ is：Telecleides（ fr .33 ）app．Schol．

1041 тaûtá $\gamma^{\prime}$ ，i．e．the offering of the $\mu \eta \rho \omega$ ．
ぞкєเv Éxpগ̂v．＂You ought to have been back again by now＂－with the $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu a$ кal $\theta \cup \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ ．

1044 Sáфvŋ．Schol．oi iєpeís kal oi
 $\tau \eta{ }^{2} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$ ．
1046 ov $\mu a ̀ \Delta i^{\prime}, \dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ．For this ex－ pression，which denies the exact truth of a statement just made only because the fact was understated by the speaker，cf． supr．6，note．＂Why，I should think it was－it＇s Hierocles，I guess．＂
We know nothing about this sooth－
sayer apart from the present scene． Schol．quotes from Eupolis（fr．212），
 For Hermipp． 38 see infr．1125，n． His Euboean origin is a point against him，but Schol．goes too far in saying
 Euboea remained faithful to Athens from 445 to 4 I 3 B．C．
1047 oũrós $\gamma \epsilon$ ．The superiority of R＇s reading is clearly shown by $A v .1680$（ $\mu \dot{\alpha}$ тòv $\Delta i^{\prime}$ oủz oûtós $\gamma \in \pi$ apadoîval $\left.\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon\right)$ ， Eq．6，Vesp．945，953，Av．75．The confusion with auvós is a common one： e．g．Eq．75， 1277.
＇$\Omega \rho \in o \hat{v}$ ．Oreus，the $\pi 0 \lambda v \sigma \tau \dot{d} \phi u \lambda$ os ＇I $\sigma$ tiala of the Homeric Catalogue（ Il ． ii．537），would have a bad name at Athens in consequence of the prominent part which it had taken in the revolt of 445 B．C．（Thuc．i．II4，Diod．xii．7）．At that date the Euboean Hippobotae gave place to Athenian cleruchs（Plut．Per． 23），and Histiaea became Oreus；but even so there might well be a prejudice at Athens against a town whose former citizens had massacred the crew of an Athenian merchantman（Plut．l．c．）． Oreus was the only town in Euboea which did not join the revolt of 4 II B．C． （Thuc．viii．95，7，Arist．Ath．Pol． 31，1）．

## IEPOKAHE




'ТР. $\dot{\eta}$ кє́ркоs тоєí
$\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{\varsigma}$.
OI. $\kappa \alpha \lambda \bar{\omega} s \delta_{\eta} \tau^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \pi o ́ \tau v \imath^{\prime}$ Eipívn фì $\lambda$.
1055

TP. o่ ȯт $\frac{\hat{\alpha} \nu}{}$ ă $\mu \epsilon \iota \nu o \nu \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau о \nu$.
IE.
ク̋ $\delta \eta$ ' $\sigma \tau і \nu$ ò $\pi \tau \alpha$ á.
TP.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, ö $\sigma \tau \iota \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$. $\kappa а \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon . \pi о \hat{u} \tau \rho \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \zeta \Omega$; $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \pi о \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ фє́ $\rho \epsilon$. IE. $\dot{\eta} \gamma^{\lambda \hat{\omega} \tau \tau \alpha} \chi \omega p i s \tau^{\prime} \mu \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
 ing of the two common constructions
 Plut. 333.
1050 кaтd̀ тोेv кvî́av. He has come "in search of the sweet savour of sacrifice." For this use of кãd cf. supr.

 found in Homer.
$1053 \kappa \ddot{\text { a }} \pi$ ay. "Stand off from the meat." The exclamatory $\ddot{\pi} \pi a \gamma$, with $\sigma \in a v t o ̀ \nu$ understood : cf. $E q$. $115 \mathrm{I}, \alpha \pi a \gamma$ 'ss makaplav ék

 (where $\neq \downarrow a y \epsilon$, adopted by many editors from $R$, is a very doubtful improvement). We cannot, understanding aúrbv, construe " keep him away from the meat;" d $\pi a \gamma \epsilon$ is not equivalent to $\not \approx \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon$, but
could only mean "take," "conduct" him away from: cf. supr. 714, Nub. 32,
 915. Nor have we a right to infer that the sense requires "keep him off": perhaps in the middle of the line the slave takes a large mouthful, drawing from Trygaeus the angry (cf. Eq. l.c.) warning that he must keep his hands from picking and stealing as well as his lips from speaking.
1056 àtápxov. Cf. Hom. 1l. xix. 254, etc.
1059 катáтєцขє. Sc. тà кр'́a.
1060 ท่ $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{r}$. The tongue was always cut as a separate offering. Schol. compares Hom. Od. iii. 332, d $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 where the words $\dot{\eta} \quad \gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \alpha$ $\chi \omega \rho$ is $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \in \tau a \iota$ are metaphorically applied to orators.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oi $\theta^{\prime}$＇̈ $\delta \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma o \nu ;$
IE．
$\ddot{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \rho \dot{\sigma}{ }_{n} \mathrm{~s}$ ．
TY．
$\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda$ é $\gamma o u$


＇RP．
IE．
oïtıves ar $\phi \rho a \delta i n \sigma \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$ vóov ov̉k ảiovтєs
 1065
＇ГР．аißoıßoî．
IE．
TP． $\tau i{ }_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \hat{a}_{s}$ ；


To．
${ }^{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \theta \in$ gov viva

 common and perfectly natural substitute （in vigorous speech）for ole $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ on $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \rho \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ； Cf．$A v .54,80$ ，Soph．O．T．543．So

 lowing Parson）．See Job on Soph．lc．， Rutherford on Babr．xxxii． 4.

1063 The oracles burst forth as soon as Hierocles hears that Peace is the deity honoured with sacrifice．
és кєфа入خ̀vv $\sigma o l$ ．Sc．трátotтo．Cf． Ach．833，то入vт $\rho a \gamma \mu \circ \sigma$ úv $\nu \nu \nu$＇ss $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \eta \nu \nu$ тра́тоит＇ѐmol：Pluto．526，Nut， 40 ： Plat．Poon．iii．3．32，Capiti vestro istuc quidem ！

Es．Bachmann（Conj．p．87）claims the form $\epsilon$＇s for this phrase，on the analogy of es ќópaкas（supt．37，n．）．

1065 харотоїб тьӨұ́коเs．The word $\lambda$ éovolv was expected．Cf．How． Od．xi．6II，גapotoi te $\lambda$ tortes：Soph．
 first syllable is derived from the Sanskrit ghar（har），＂glow，＂with which $\chi$ alp is probably connected（Curtius，Etym． ET．i．p．234）．Thus，like ropyós（see supp． $565, \mathrm{n}_{\text {．}}$ ）it denotes a flashing eye． For the later history of the word，see Led．and Scott，s．v．Prof．Jebb（on Soph．Phil．，l．c．）says that the meaning ＂truculent＂is here implied，but，as $\lambda$ fovour is evidently expected，it is hard to see how the epithet can carry with it more than its usual suggestion．

1066 alßoıßồ．See sup．15，n．
$\eta ँ \sigma \theta \eta$ ．＂That phrase tickles me－ bright－eyed apes．＂For this momentary aorist，cf．$A v$ ．570，$\eta_{\sigma} \sigma \eta \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \rho \phi \psi$ $\sigma \phi a \gamma ı a{ }^{\circ} \rho \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$ ，＂I like the idea of a gnat being sacrificed．＂Soph．El．668，

 terels＂may do for a rendering as well as anything else．The $\kappa \in \in \pi \phi$ os was a silly sea－bird：see Thompson，Greek Birds， s．v．Cf．Plat．912，$\grave{\omega} \kappa \epsilon \in \pi \phi$ ，＂you simpleton！＂It is here substituted for $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a \ell$ ，as $\pi \iota \theta$ йкoเs above for $\lambda$ t́ovat． $\tau \rho \eta \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$ is the invariable Homeric epithet of the dove（ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon a$ ）．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ ．On the animal dimin－ utive $t-\delta \in u ́-s$ ．see Curt．Etym．（ET．ii． p．289）．Cf．$A v .1356$ ，тò̀s $\pi \epsilon \lambda a p y \iota \delta \bar{\epsilon} a s$ ， Theocr．xv．121，a $\eta \delta o \nu \iota \delta \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon s, v_{0} 38$ ，入ukı $\delta \hat{\eta} s$ ．Cratinus shows ra入ıঠeús（ $f r$ ． 265）and коршvioús（fr．179）：see Blaydes＇list．

1068 8ód lat．A constant charge against the Spartans．Cf．Leys．628，kab

 кєХทレбть：Ach．308．Schol，quotes Eur．
 єитท́p ia．

1069 oůtwol $\theta$ єpuós．Here Trygaeus burns his fingers with the meat，and suddenly changes the form of his impreca－





 à入入à тó $\gamma_{\epsilon} \pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu-$

1075





1071 ขú $\mu \neq \iota$ codd．：$\beta$ ákıঠєs Bury．
Dobr．тoîs V vulg．：roîs $\delta^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$ ：roî́ $\delta^{\prime}$ Boissonade R ．to te Ald．．Tooe


1070 The mythical Bákis is the oracle－monger par excellence in Aristo－ phanes．Cf．Eq． 123 sqq．，I003，$A v$. 970．Schol．mentions three Bacides，but the Boeotian had the chief repute． Oracles bearing his name were greatly respected during the Persian wars （Herod．viii．20， 77 ：ix．43）．The in－ fluence of vípфat upon Bacis is also mentioned by Pausanias（x．12，кала́ $\sigma \chi$ єтos $\epsilon \kappa \nu \nu \mu \phi \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ．
$1071 \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ â̂ ．．．aủróv．A sense－ less repetition from the line before． Herwerden would replace vúp $\phi$ ar by Фоїßos，Bury by Báкьঠəєs（Hermathena xxvi．p．97）．The latter correction gives a good point and half explains the cor－ ruption．But the absurdity may well be intentional．
 ¿ $\pi$ оло $\mu \mu \eta \nu$ каl $\pi \rho о \omega ́ \lambda \eta s, ~ \epsilon l . .$.
$\beta a k i \xi \omega \nu$ ．＂If you won＇t stop your everlasting Bacis，Bacis．＂Cf．Vesp．
 and the list given by Starkie ad Vesp． 609．For the flexible meanings of verbs in－l／s $\omega$ ，see Rutherford，N．P．p． 179.

1073 Ө＇َ́бфатоv．．àva入û̄al． For the construction，cf．Hom．Od．iv．


1074 тó $\gamma \epsilon$ ．The universal accept－ ance of $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \varepsilon$ shows ingratitude to $R$ ，who alone（as with $\tau \rho \eta \chi^{i \nu}$ infr．1086）has preserved the Epic form．Cf．Hom．Od．

$\tau 0 i \bar{s} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \sigma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\xi}$ ．Turning to the sacrifice， Trygaeus in an oracular voice explains the $\tau \delta \quad \gamma \in \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ as a detail of cooking． The bathos is exactly like that supplied by $\lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\dot{\theta}} \dot{\operatorname{cov}} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ to the prologues of Euripides（Ran． 1208 sqq．）．The point is lost if we take the words as a mere careless return to work．For $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ ，see note on supr． 446.
$1076 \pi \rho$ iv кєע ．．．ípєvatồ．As a matter of fact，Homer never adds $\kappa \in \nu$ or $d \nu$ to $\pi \rho i v$ with subj．For the pro－ verb，editors compare Hom．Il．xxii．263，

 a $\lambda \lambda \grave{\lambda} \lambda 0 เ \sigma \omega \nu$ ：Hor．Od．i．33，8，Epod．iv．I．

The Attic form oiv is not appropriate to Epic diction，which would require ơïv．

1077 бфovঠúdท．A kind of beetle often mentioned in Arist．H．A．But Hesychius declares that the word was used in Attica as a synonym for $\gamma a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ．Possibly he was merely guessing from the present line，where this sense would be most appropriate（cf．Ach．255，Plut．693）， without seeing that absurdity is here aimed at．

1078 Here even more absurdly áкадаv日ís is put rapà $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o x l a v ~ f o r ~$ Kú $\omega \nu$ ．＂And the bell－mouthed gold－ finch in her hurry gives birth to a blind brood．＂Hesychius again would make matters easy by vouching for $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \theta i s$ as the name of a kind of hound：but these two lines strongly support one another in









$1081 \mu \in i \hat{\zeta} \circ \nu \mathrm{RV}: \mu \in i \hat{\zeta} \omega$ Ald． $1086 \tau \rho \eta \chi^{i} \nu \mathrm{R}$ ：$\tau \rho a \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \mathrm{~V}$ vulg．
their wantonness of absurdity．The bird is identified usually（and by Thompson， Greek Birds，s．v．${ }^{\alpha} к a v \theta v \lambda \lambda(s)$ with the goldfinch；by Mr．Warde Fowler（ $A$ Year with the Birds，p． 242 sqq．）with a variety of＂warbler．＂$\kappa \omega \delta \omega \nu$ is very probably sound（Schol．入á入ov tò 广苗ov）， though it happens that we cannot parallel from extant authors what seems a very natural nickname for a foxhound． L．and S．compare $\kappa \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \alpha \lambda о \nu$ ，lit．＂rattle，＂ used of a noisy fellow（Nub．260，etc．）． Ingenious corrections are（1）$\eta \tau^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ （Lennep）：Schol．$\sigma \pi \epsilon$ údovova $\tau$ às $\dot{\text { widivas，}}$ （2）к $\dot{\delta} \delta\left(\nu \vee \cup \sigma{ }^{\prime}\right.$（Blaydes），（3）кע́́ó $\omega \nu$ ， ＂spiky＂（Bury），with a reference to the first part of $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda-\alpha \nu \theta l s$.

1079 тоита́кเs，lit．all these times over there were reasons against making peace，i．e．there was all this string of reasons against it（Verrall）．Or＂it was not then right yet，＂routákıs standing for тóte merely，as in Pind．Pyth．ix．I 5 （24）． But the word can scarcely be sound；the precision of oracular clauses requires oũ $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ s or ös to balance．Blaydes boldly as－ sumes the required meaning for tovtákis．

1081 Stakavviáal．Schol．says that
 kaîvos；from Aristophanes（fr．660），and
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ；from Cratinus（ $f$ r．194）．As both examples contain the idea of number，perhaps kaûvos was a dicing term．
1082 Cf．Intr．p． 3.
1083 A proverb which here reflects on the incurably crooked ways of the

Spartans．Cf．supr．1068，n．For the figure，cf．$\sigma \kappa 6$ deov $a p$ ．Athen．xv． 695 A ，
 е́таîpod ёццєข．
$1084 \pi \rho u \tau \alpha v$ ciew．Perhaps during the war some of the more prominent $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon t s$ enjoyed the high privilege of a seat at the public table in the Prytaneum －not among the officials in the $\theta$ ólos． Schol．refers to Lampon，the＂cloud－ fed＂$\theta$ ovpópadtis of $N u b$ ．332．On the subject of $\sigma i \tau \eta \sigma t s$ ，see Mr．Marindin in Dict．Ant．ii．s．v．Prytaneum．
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta \nu \eta \nu$ ，＂considering what has come to pass，＂i．e．peace．Cf．
 Soph．Aj．377）．The rendering＂after＂ for $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ gives the same sense with less exactness，belonging，as it does，properly to phrases where there is an idea of ac－ cumulation，e．g．Lys．1295，＇̇ $\pi i$ véq
 $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ ，etc．（lit．＂on the top of＂）．Other views are（I）＂Nor will you devise any－ thing against what has come to pass．＂ Cf．Ach．660，etc．（Herwerden）：（2） ＂Nor will you shape the prophecy to suit the event＂（Verrall）．
 ＂compose any oracles，＂or（2）＂be any good at all．＂Cf．supr．484，oưסèv тоюоิ $\mu \in \nu$ ．
1086 A parallel to the proverb of supr． 1083.
1088 yáp asks an indignant ques．
 і̇тодŋ́ба $\sigma \theta a l$ тотє ．．．；

1090


 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi \delta^{\prime}$ ' oủdeis édídou кш́ $\theta \omega \nu \alpha$ фаєıvóv.




 iктivos $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\rho} \not \psi_{n-}$
TP.
тоитi $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \sigma \grave{v} \phi u \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau о \cup$,
1100


 TP. $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \eta \eta^{\prime}$.



 Ald.: $\delta \grave{\eta}$ v̂̂v RV. 1107 tó $\gamma \epsilon$ ego (cf. 1074): $\tau 6 \delta \epsilon$ codd.

1090 Cf. Hom. Il. xij. 276, עeîkos
 $\mu \hat{e} \eta \eta$. This mock-oracle consists mostly of tags from Homer.

1091 є $\lambda$ оуто. Very ingenious is Dr. Verrall's suggestion inteovo (Aesch. Supp. 117). But $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda$ ovro is satisfactory in its most literal sense, " took to themselves."

1092 Hom. Il. i. 464.
1093 'ै $\sigma \pi \epsilon \nu$ סov. No doubt Trygaeus suits the action to the words and pours a libation, before "leading the way" by a good pull at the wine.

1094 к $勹 \theta \omega v a . \quad$ Cf. Eq. 600 (and Schol. there). The term is discussed in Athenaeus xi. 66 ( $483 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{F}$ ) : see also Lidd. and Scott, s.v.
$1095 \Sigma(\beta u \lambda \lambda a$. I borrow from Neil on Eq. 61. "The Sibyl is first mentioned by Heraclitus, fr. 12 Byw.; in Attic first here, then Pax 1095, III6. . . . Probably the Sibyl found scant honour in Athens: the misogyny of Attic feeling would dislike a female rival to poets and seers (Pax 1094-1095, Plut. mul. virt.

243 B ), and the termination $-u \lambda \lambda \alpha$ was barely respectable, see on 224 ."

1097-8 Hom. 1l. ix. 63-64.
$1099 \sigma \epsilon$ and фpévas are accusatives of the " whole and part" after $\epsilon \xi a \pi a \tau \eta \sigma a s$, the object of $\mu$ áp $\psi n$ being unexpressed owing to the interruption.

1100 Hierocles is ikrivos and кб́pa६ (infr. 1125) because he despoils altars. Cf. Aesch. Supp. 751, ко́ракєs щ̈бтє, $\beta \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\lambda \in$ 'ुovtes oúdév: Babr. lxxviii. 5 .

бvi. Addressed to the slave.
 give myself a swill." Hierocles thinks it the duty of Trygaeus to ply him with wine, as it was that of the $\beta$ a $\lambda a \nu e$ és to souse the bather with water (Plat. Rep. 334 D , and parallels $a p$. Bekker, Charicles p. 151 ET, Dict. Ant. i. p. 268). He must now be his own attendant, as the duaioxvyoos at the baths chose to be (Theophr. Char. 9 fin.).

1104 бтоvঠŋ̀ $\sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta \dot{\eta} . \quad$ Cf. supr. 433.
 For the way in which the prophet's


IE．$\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta}$ ．
 IE．oủdєis $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi^{\nu} \omega \nu$ ；

IE．vaì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \gamma o v a ́ t \omega \nu . ~$

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.


 RVI：$\delta \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Ald．$\mu 0 \iota$ ante $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ codd．：del．Bekk．$\mu 0 \iota \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$ Blaydes．


Richter：$\tau i \delta \delta \grave{\eta} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{R}$（？） V ：$\tau i \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \varepsilon$ Ald．
words are turned against himself here and below，cf．Av．986－989，入aßè тò $\beta \iota \beta \lambda$ ィov．
1109 ү入ิิтtav．Cf．supr．1060， n．
áтéveүкє．The form given by $R$ stands condemined by the occurrence of èvєүкє and compounds in ten passages of Aristophanes，in seven of which the metre demands it．

1110 It is not necessary to suppose from $\sigma \pi \frac{v \delta}{} \dot{\eta}$ that Hierocles has a cup， or from tauti ．．．日âtrov that Trygaeus throws him meat．This would weaken the effect，and indeed the next line shows that Hierocles has no meat．But he solemnly says $\sigma \pi 0 v \delta \dot{\eta}$ in hope of a drink，while Trygaeus with the words ravti－$\theta$ âtrov probably hurls something at his head．
 n．

тติv $\sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma x$ ขமv．It is hard to say whether tâv or $\mu 0$ should go．For the
frequent interpolation of the article，see Bachmann，Conj．p．36，and of the pronoun，van Ijzeren，De Vitiis，p． 34 sqq：But perhaps $\mu o t$ is less needed，and its insertion more natural．
 $\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \omega ิ \nu \quad \sigma \pi \lambda a \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi \omega \nu$ ．Cf．Av．983，
 $\epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{\eta}$ ．
$1116 \tau i \delta \notin \delta \eta\rangle$＇$\gamma \omega$ ；；An almost certain correction．Cf．supr． 227 （and note）：
 155，Thesm．608，Eccl．542，Vesp． 858 （Starkie，ad loc．）．In all these cases except Eccl．，l．c．，a pronoun goes closely with the particles．

 toùs Mєүaк入tous klovas，referring to supr． 124.
 for any one to take．＂Cf．Dem．41，Tà
 $\mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma \psi$ ．

TPP．ふे таîє таіє тòv Báкıv．
IE．$\quad$ арти́ $\rho о \mu \alpha$.

I 120




 1125



1119 тòv Вákเข．Trygaeus in his haste calls the soothsayer by the name of his chief authority．
$\mu а р т \dot{р о д а н . ~ T h e ~ r e g u l a r ~ t e r m ~ f o r ~ a ~}$ formal protest．Cf．Ran．528，Ach．926， $V$ esp．1436，etc．
1120 Here $\mu$ арт $\dot{\rho} \rho о \mu а \iota ~$ is understood in a slightly different sense．＂I protest！＂＂And I protest that you are．．．．＂
$1121 \dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon} \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \nu$ ．＂Laying on，＂from the common use of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \in \omega \nu$ for＂to aim．＂ Cf．infr．1167，Eur．Bacch．I130，
 （of the attack on Pentheus）．This seems slightly preferable to the rendering＂keep on beating him，＂ given by Blaydes，who compares Eccl． 317，Thuc．ii．101，Plat．Theaet． 165 E，

av̇rò̀v ．．．т̀̀v ả $\lambda a$ ğóva．The last two words are an exclamation in apposi－ tion to aưróv．Cf．supr．2，סòs aưT仑̂，Tஸ̂


1122 бù $\mu$ ย̇v oûv．Cf．supr．628， n．
 ＂Which he appropriated in the first place（aủrós）by knavery，＂i．e．as per－ quisites from former sacrifices．Brunck refers to Thesm．758，Plut．1185，to show that the skin of a sacrificed animal went to the priest．Plut．l．c．proves nothing：Thesm．l．c．（tovil tò $\delta \hat{\varepsilon} \rho \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} s$ iepeias $\gamma$（ $\gamma \nu \in T a t)$ certainly shows that the practice was not unusual．At the same time $\mathfrak{k} \xi a \pi a \tau \omega ิ y$ is plainly meant to imply （I）that Hierocles was a humbug， （2）that he had no real right to the skins．
${ }_{\dot{\epsilon}} \times \beta \quad \lambda \beta \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．＂I＇ll peel him，＂like an onion．Cf．Ran．577，$\left\langle\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon\left[\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \pi i ̀ \tau \partial \nu\right.\right.$
 таû́a：suppr．63，éккоккібаs．

1124 өuŋाólє．A tragic word，used contemptuously．

1125 भ̈ккovoas ；Addressed threaten－ ingly to Hierocles，who resists the peeling process．
ó кópa̧ olos $\hat{\eta} \lambda \lambda$＂．＂There＇s the crow as he came from his native Oreus ！＂i．e．naked or nearly so（Ver－ rall）．No discussion is needed to estab－ lish the correctness of this rendering，as against that hitherto given，＂What a crow it was that came．．．．＂The alteration printed by Blaydes，oios $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ o $\kappa \dot{\rho} \rho a \xi$ ，is surely a warning against rash conjecture in general．
 See supr．Iroo，n．Hesychius gives the nicknames of one Hierocleides as Kолако－ фшроклеións and Kоракофороклєiঠŋs，with a reference（under the former word）to Hermippus（ fr .38 ）and Phrynichus（ fr ． 17）．Dobree，on the strength of $\kappa \mathbf{\delta} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\xi} \xi$ here，would identify Hierocleides with Hierocles；if this guess is right，the nickname would no doubt be Корако－ $\phi \omega \rho о к \lambda \epsilon \delta \delta \eta s$（see Kock on Hermipp． l．c．）or Kоракофшроклйs．But the diver－ gence of names is at least as striking as the general coincidence．
 copated form of the future（as against є̇кттทंणєтa，Vesp．208）see supr．77，n． The verb is，of course，appropriate to a real ко́раң．
＇Eiरúpuvov．According to Schol．，either a place in Euboea or a temple＂near＂ Euboea！
1127 The six earliest plays of Aristo－ phanes have a second Parabasis，in which the ante－epirrhematic parts（the

## кра́vous à $\pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu$ évos

тчрой $\tau є к а і$ крроции́шд.
où $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ф८ $\lambda \eta \delta \bar{\omega} \mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \iota s$,
I 130
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \tilde{\nu} \rho$ dıé $\lambda-$
$\kappa \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha \nu \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha i_{-}$
$\rho \omega \nu$ фì $\lambda \omega \nu$, є̇ккє́as

סavóтата тoû Ө́́pous
є̇к $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \mu \nu \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha$,
1135
$\kappa \alpha ̀ \nu \theta \rho a \kappa i \zeta \omega \nu \tau о \dot{\nu} \rho \varepsilon \beta i \nu \theta o v$,
$\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \emptyset \eta \gamma^{o ̀ \nu} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\mu} \mu \pi \nu \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$,
 RV: $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ Ald.
$\dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{a})$ do not figure. See Zielinski, Gliederung d. a. K. p. 176 sqq. The idyll before us is the only instance of a complete Epirrhematic Syzygy as Zielinski would have it, i.e. with epirrhematic $\Pi \nu\langle\gamma \eta$ (1156-1158 and 1188-1190). On the merits of the ode, see Intr. p. 2.

1129 Soldiers' fare. Cf. supr. 368, 529.
$1130 \phi \lambda \eta \delta \omega$. "Take a fond pleasure." $\phi \lambda \lambda \eta \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ raîs $\dot{v} \sigma \boldsymbol{l} \nu$ is quoted from Antiphanes by Athenaeus (iii. 95 F ). Otherwise the verb happens not to occur in extant classical writings, though $\phi \iota \lambda \eta \delta i a$ is found in Ar. Plut. 307, 311 .
1131 трòs $\pi$ v̂p. Cf. Ach. 751,
 $\delta \iota a \pi(v) \mu \in \nu)$ : Vesp. 773. Several phrases in which $\pi \rho$ bs of rest (Lat. ad) occurs

 Nub. 198, $\pi \rho \partial ̀ s \epsilon^{i} \lambda \eta \nu \nu$ fr. 627, $\pi \rho \partial s$ גúxvoy supr. 692 (Sobolewski, Praep. Us. Ar. p. 171).
 rpuyós. A more lively word than סєamivetv, as $\epsilon \in \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Eur. Cycl. 417, Ar. Eq. 107, etc.) always implies deep draughts. óco-limits the meaning of these compounds to drinking in company.

1132 ย่тalpøv. For Ald.'s error, cf. Intr. P. 45, n. 5.
1133 ékкéas. This Old-Attic form of the aorist of $\kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (кai $\omega$ ) is found Aesch. Ag. 849, Soph. El. 757, [Eur.] Rhes. 97 (xéavtes in all places), and in inscriptions
of the fifth century. The New-Attic form (supr. Eккav́ $\alpha \tau \epsilon$ ) is that found in prose, although it happens not to occur in inscriptions until 329 B.c. See Meisterhans, Gramm. Inschr. p. 182.

1134 баvóтата. Schol. छұро́тата. The word is found in Hom. Od. xv. 322
 бavà $\kappa є \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma a \iota$.

1135 éктєттрєциเซนéva. A fine correction of Bothe's, although his further change to the genitive is unnecessary, as a dactyl may stand for a cretic at the end of a line before a pause (Ach. 218 , 225,301 ). The corresponding line in the antistrophe (I167, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \omega \quad \kappa \dot{a} \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega$ ) seems sound enough, nor could any feet but cretics or first paeons be introduced into lines 1127-1135 and 1159-1167. And, apart from metre, neither of the words given by MSS. are satisfactory in themselves. $̇ \kappa \pi \varepsilon \pi \iota \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\ell} \nu a$, "squeezed out," is plainly absurd, while in $\begin{gathered}\text { ér } \\ \text { e- }\end{gathered}$ $\pi \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{E} \nu a$ the preposition is out of place : cf. the use of $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \epsilon\end{gathered} \pi \rho t o \nu$ in Thuc. vii. 25. 6. But both readings are very naturally derived from the rare $\epsilon \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \epsilon \mu \nu / \sigma$ $\mu t \nu a$, which also gives just the sense required. Logs may be sawn in the winter, but this careful husbandman "roots up" stumps in the summer with an eye to his winter fire. For the word,



1137 фทүóv. Edible acorns were roasted like chestnuts. Cf. Plat. Rep.



$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau \text { ท̂s रuvaıкòs 入ovцévŋs. }
\end{align*}
$$

єітє́ $\mu \circ \iota, \tau i ́ \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \delta \rho \omega \mu \mu \nu, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~K} \omega \mu \alpha \rho \chi i ̊ \eta$ ；

## 1142

 $\tau \eta \nu เ \kappa a u ̂ \tau a$ Bentl．：$\tau \eta \nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon$ codd．1144 ăфave RV Ald．：đфєvє

1138 тोेע Opậtrav．Cf．Ach．273， Herodas i．I，etc．All the sixteen slaves of Cephisodorus the Hermocopid took their names from their nationalities，and three of them are called Өрâtra（CIA i． 277，Hicks and Hill，p．145）．Cf．infr． II46，í 之úpa，Lat．Davus，Geta，etc．

1139 גov $\mu$ év $\eta$ s，i．e．making herself neat for the coming feast．Cf．Lys．IO63， $\pi \rho \dot{\psi}$ ठे̀ $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \mid$ тойтo $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$（i．e．feast） $\lambda \in \lambda o v \mu \not ́ v o u s: A v . ~ 132$.

1140 ov̉ үàp évo＇．Sc．ouvóv́v．＂For there is nothing more delightful than this－to have your sowing done，with Heaven sending a spitting rain upon the fields，and to hear a neigbbour say ．．．＂
 probably，тà $\chi$ wpía．
1141 тòv $\theta$ Єóv．Cf．Vesp．26I，ט̄ $\delta \omega \rho$

1142 тๆvikaviva．＂Things being as they are．＂The word is here transferred from time（supr．338，n．）to circum－ stances．

K $\omega \mu \boldsymbol{\rho} \chi^{i \delta \eta}$ ．＂Mr．Townclerkson．＂ The кйнархоs（Xen．Anab．iv．5，10， Plaut．Curc．ii．3，7）was the head－man of a village ：a patronymic termination is here playfully added．Cf．Ach．595，

1143 द่ $\mu \pi \iota \epsilon \hat{้}$ ．＂To drink deep．＂
 ye kai фareiv．The same intensive $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ （Ruth．N．P．p．67）is added to verbs of

 Heaven＇s doing us a good turn．＂Cf．
 $\theta \in o v ̂ \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\text { cutuata．}}$ ．Not＂as the weather is fine＂（Blaydes）．

1144 dфave．For the verb，cf．Eq． 394，Tov̀s $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi v s$ ．．．áфaúel．That the simple verb was aspirated is clearly shown by Curtius（Etym．i．p． 478 ET）： so Suidas has ג́фavavөウ̇бoual（Velsen， Eq．l．c．），and avalyelv is undoubted． áфev́єıv，＂singe＂（Thesm．590，etc．），is a strange word to apply to the cooking of vegetables，but V＇s v．l．has held ground through misleading references to Simon． Amorg．24．In that corrupt passage（ $a p$ ． Athen．xiv． 659 E），where MSS．give
 of ă $\phi \in v \sigma a$（rather $\dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \hat{v} \sigma a$ ）is certainly lost：Bergk＇s ivv is highly probable． Moreover，$\mu \epsilon \hat{i} \hat{\xi} \sigma \nu$ and $\hat{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \epsilon$ in the next line show that the preparation of the materials，not their cooking，is at the moment enjoined．фaû̧e（Hesych．
 and printed by Blaydes．
$\phi a \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega v$ ．See Athen．ii． 56 A．
$1145{ }^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \xi \in \lambda \varepsilon$ ．Perhaps here of picking out the best：cf．＇$\xi$＇alpetos．But see supr．1021，n．，тà $\mu \eta \rho l^{\prime} \epsilon_{\xi} \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$.
1146 Mavŋิv．A common name for a male slave：cf．$A v .523,1311$ ， 1329．So Mavía，of a female，Ran． 1345.
ß $\omega \sigma$ тр $\eta \sigma a ́ \tau \omega$ ．Cf．Ach．959，тi $\mu \varepsilon$ $\beta \omega \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon i s$ ；For the formation（from $\beta \circ a ̂ \nu)$ ，cf．é $\lambda a \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ from énaúvetv．

1147 oivapif̌tv．Schol．т̀̀ à $\pi о ф \nu \lambda \lambda$－ $i \zeta \in \epsilon v$ ．Blaydes compares fr．II $9, \epsilon \lambda a t \bar{i}\} \in v$ ．



I I50 є้ $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \xi \dot{\eta} \nu \in \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ aùт $\omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ є́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ p a s$.



 I 15.5
 єiv. Cf. Photius (ter).

тapסakóv. "Dripping wet." But the word is quite obscure, except for the Schol.'s explanation $\delta / v \gamma \rho o v$, and citation of Simon. Amorg., бѝv $\pi а \rho \delta а к о і ̈ \sigma \iota \nu ~$ єí $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \sigma \epsilon \sigma a \gamma \mu \in \in \nu 0 t s$. See Curtius, Etymı, ET. ii. p. 158.

1149 'ُ $\xi$ Eُभov̂. "From my house." So probably $\epsilon \xi$ Alo $\chi \iota \nu a ́ \delta o v$, infr. II54. Cf. Plut. 84, ধ́к Патроклє́ous є́p $\rho о \mu а \iota$. See Sobolewski, Praep. pp. 71-72. The neighbour who says all this has dropped in on " $\mathrm{K} \omega \mu \alpha \rho \chi$ i $\delta \eta s^{\prime}$," and after ordering the latter's wife about sends for food out of his own larder.
$\kappa โ \chi \lambda \eta v$. Cf. supr. 531, n.
$\sigma \pi i v \omega$. Cf. fr. 387. 7, $\sigma \pi i v i \delta l o L s ~ \tau \epsilon$ xal кix入aıs. The $\sigma \pi i v o s$ is identified with the chaffinch (Thompson, Greek Birds, s.v.).

1150 тvós. "Beestings," the first milk given by a cow after calving, was much esteemed. Cf. Vesp. 710, fr. 318. 5, 569. 4, Cratin. fr. 142. For the accent see Schol., and Chandler, Greek Accent. § 327.
$\lambda a ү \omega ิ a$ тє́ттара. "Four portions of hare." That т́́ттарa is not here indefinite (Blaydes on Ach.2) is shown by infr. II53. In Eq. II92 hare is the climax of good dishes: cf. Vesp. 709,
 $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta o i s)$, and the section in Athen. ix. 399 D-401 B. The animals were im ported (during peace) from Megara (Ach. 520) and Boeotia (ib. 878).

1151 ทं $\gamma a \lambda \hat{\eta}$. For the suspicion, cf.
 I rпpov̂ous: Thesm. 559, supr. 794, Herodas, vii. 90.
 233, $\theta$ opv $\beta \in \hat{\imath}$ रoûv " $\bar{\nu} \delta \delta_{o v . ~ " A n y h o w ~ s h e ~}^{\text {. }}$ was making a clatter, heaven knows what about, inside the larder, and up-
setting things." The chief idea of kuסot $\delta 0 \pi a ̂ y$ seems to be confusion, not noise. Cf. Nub. 615, ن̉ $\mu$ âs $\delta^{\prime}$ oủk à $\gamma \in \iota v$
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega ~ \kappa v \delta o \iota \delta o \pi a ̂ \nu$.

1153 Soûvai. Infin. for imperative, a use common in Homer and in Sophocles, with whom it is "sententious " (Jebb on O.T. I529). So here it is slightly pompous, in Vesp. 386 absurdly impressive.
$\tau \hat{\uparrow} \pi a \tau \rho l$. "My father," who had stayed at home.

1154 uvppivas . . . т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ картt $\mu \omega \nu$. "Myrtle-boughs - with berries on them." The boughs were passed from hand to hand at $\sigma v \mu \pi \delta \sigma \iota a$ during the capping of $\sigma к б \boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota a$ : cf. Nub. 1364, fr. 430. The berries may be wanted (I) as adding grace to myrtle-garlands (Athen. 675 E , 678 A) ; thus in Soph. O. T. 82 Creon comes ка́ $\rho a \mid \pi о \lambda v \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \eta े s ~ . . . \pi a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \pi о \nu ~$ $\delta \dot{\alpha} \phi \nu \eta s:(2)$ for dessert (Athen. 50 E, $52 \mathrm{~F}, 75 \mathrm{C}$ ): (3) as mere ornaments to the boughs, as with our holly.
alitnoov. More polite than the parallel in Vesp. 680, $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ Eúxapiôov каưтds т $\tau \in i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \gamma \lambda ı \theta a s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ т \tau \epsilon \mu \psi a$, where possibly Eucharides may be a tradesman quartered in ai $\mu$ uppival (Thesm. 448).

AloxıváSov. The lengthening of the second syllable is strange, but no correction is justified, in face of the unalterable ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi$ o $\delta \bar{a} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ of $E q \cdot 327$. Cf. Aesch. Theb.
 In all these cases the lengthened vowel is followed by a liquid.

1155 тทิऽ au่тทิS ธ̊ov. "While you are about it." See other examples in Blaydes, and cf. Herodas v. 66, $\mu \ell \hat{\eta} \delta \varepsilon i$ $\sigma \epsilon \mid \dot{\delta} \delta \hat{\psi} \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ токкi入ov, Soph. El. I3I4.

Xapıvá $\eta \eta$. The same name is given to an old juryman, Vesp. 232.
$\beta \omega \sigma a ́ \tau \omega$. An Ionic contraction:

| ìs ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i ́ \eta \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| єi $\pi \epsilon \pi$ aivovoı ${ }^{\text {bl }}$－ |  |
| $\delta \eta^{*}$ тò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ фítv $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\lambda \eta \chi^{\prime}$ óo $\omega$ ข oiđóvovt＇， 1165 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 1170 |
|  |  |
|  |  |




Homer has ̇̇ँı $\beta \dot{\omega} \sigma о \mu a \iota$, Herodotus Z̀v $\omega \sigma, \quad \nu \in \nu \omega \mu$ évos．Suid．cites $\beta \hat{\omega} \sigma 0 \nu$ from Cratinus（fr．396）．Cf．Herodas， iii．23，$\beta \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$ ，iv． $4 \mathrm{I}, \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \circ \nu:$ Theocr． v． 64 ，xvii． 60 ．
1156 єُ $\pi$ in．Cf．supr．II43，n．
1158 та́рш́цата．Schol．т̀̀ d́potplá－ mara：so Hesychius．See Kock on Eupolis，fr：304．The late form dpoua （Ald．Td́оó ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha$ ）is perhaps an attempt at conformity with そ户口oनa，aporpov，but in the papyri＂nouns in $-\mu a$ are con－ stantly showing short penult．Thus $\theta \epsilon \mu a$ ．．$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu a$ ．．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \theta \epsilon \mu a$ ．．． т $\rho \dot{\delta} \delta о \mu$ а．＂－J．H．Moulton in Class．Rev． xviii．（I904），p． 108.
1159 áxétas．Properly an adjective， ＂noisy＂（Hes．Op．580，グхє́тa тє́т $\tau \grave{\xi}$ ）， then used as a special name for the cicala ：cf．фєре́ockos，àvóoтeos．Cf．Av．
 ｜$\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \pi \epsilon \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \beta \rho \iota \nu$ oís $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o \mu a \nu \eta े s$ 及oğ．
1163 тєтalivouct\％．Elsewhere tran－ sitive．
1164 фìv．Cf．Eupolis fr． 49 （see Kock），Pherecr．fr．244，Ar．fr． 297.

The form is due to dissimilation of＊$\phi \hat{\imath} v$ （Curt．Etym．ET．ii．367）．
$1165 \phi \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \kappa a$ ．The $\phi \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \xi$ is said by Schol．to be a name for the $\delta \lambda v \nu \theta o s$, a kind of wild fig which deceives（ $\phi \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ ） the eye by swelling without ripening． Cf．Bekk．Anecd．ap．Kock，Ar．fr． 527．The derivation suggested above is improbable．
1167 кáméx $\omega$ ．＂And have a good go at it．＂See supr．II2I， $\begin{aligned} & \pi \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \omega \nu \\ & \tau\end{aligned}$ $\xi \bullet \lambda \omega$ ，and note．
$1168{ }^{2} \Omega$ pat $\phi i \lambda a l . " B l e s s e d ~ g o d-$ desses of the Seasons．＂A phrase of thanksgiving to the personified＂ $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{pa}}$ （supr．456）．
 bruise some thyme and mix myself a draught，＂а кvкє由́v（supr．712）．
$\kappa ข \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \mathrm{a}$ ．For the literal use，cf． Vesp．1515，ä $\lambda \mu \eta \nu$ ки́ка тои́тоюби（тоїs каркірогs）．
 Plat．Rep． 329 в，$̇ \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta a \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a s$.
$1172 \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{7}$ ．The antecedent is
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 （potius $\pi \nu \varepsilon \epsilon \omega \nu) \mu \epsilon ́ v o s$ Herw．
from 1．1161．But the logical force of $\mu \bar{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov ${ }_{3}^{\prime}$ is flatly adversative rather than comparative；＂which is by no means the case when I have to gaze ．．．＂
$\theta \in 0$ îбเv éx $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { póv．A slang epithet，not }\end{aligned}$ unlike our＂god－forsaken．＂The sub－ stantive $\theta \in o \sigma \sigma \epsilon \chi \theta \rho i ́ a$ occurs Vesp． 418.
1173 入óфous．Cf．supr． 395.
фоเvเкi（X＇öģiav．＂A bright scarlet uniform．＂Cf．Lys．II40，Ach． 320. One Scholiast explains фotvotis as a scarlet helmet！$\dot{j} \dot{\xi} \dot{s}$ s is here transferred from what hurts the touch to what hurts the sight ；Blaydes compares Pind．Pyth．
 $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\eta} \nu a$ ．

1174 ßápца इapઠıavıkóv．Cf．Ach．

 Cf．Plat．Com．fr．208，Blaydes on Ach．l．c．
 words is vindicated by Eq．571，Av． 1113 ．

1176 aủtós．In contrast to his фо⿱亠䒑⿱幺小心is．
$\beta a ́ \mu \mu \alpha$ Kv乌̆ıкๆขเкóv．Schol，refers to the cowardice and effeminacy of the Cyzicenes，but obviously there is a pun on $\chi \bar{\epsilon} \zeta_{\epsilon \in L}$ and the effect of panic on the stomach．Cf．Eq．1057，Juv．xiv．199， Trepidum solvunt tibi cornua ventrem．
1177 छัouӨ̀̀s imтa入єктриต́v．＂A buff horse－cock．＂From the Mupuióóves of Aeschylus（Schol．）．Cf．Rann．932， where Dionysus backs up an attack on Aeschylus by saying that he has lain awake
 öpvis：Aeschylus replies that it was the figure－head of a ship．A $i \pi \pi a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ is painted on a fifth century vase，now at Florence（Harrison and MacColl，Greek Vase Paintings，pl．viii．）；it is a winged horse with a cock＇s tail．The appl＇ca－ tion to an officer is perhaps suggested by
the strut and the $\lambda 6 \phi 0$ of a cock，added to the fact that the taxiarch，though in command of infantry，would himself be on horseback．See the close parallel in

 $\xi$ Gov $\partial$ oेs $i \pi \pi a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \nu \omega{ }^{\prime}$ ．For the prefixes $i \pi \pi 0$－and $\beta$ ou－denoting unwieldiness， see Starkie on Vesp．1206．The mean－ ing of $\xi$ gou日ós is very uncertain；see Rutherford on Babr．cxviii．I．
 with $\phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ：the private soldier has to show more courage than his officer． Blaydes wrongly（whatever view is taken of $\lambda \iota \nu 0 \pi \tau \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ оs）understands a contrast between rustic idleness and war．
$\lambda เ v o \pi \tau \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 s$ ．The length of the first syllable is quite fatal to the explanation supported by the Scholiast，＂watching the hunting－nets，＂hence＂keeping guard at my post，＂though there is no reason to doubt the existence of a noun $\lambda \iota \nu \delta \dot{\pi} \eta \eta$ s in such a sense，which Schol． attributes to Aristotle（comparing oivóm $\tau \eta s$ ），and which Photius explains in his Lexicon．The sense required is ＂like a hero．＂Herwerden＇s suggestion $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$（rather $\pi \nu \epsilon i \omega \nu)$ ）$\mu \hat{\nu} \nu 0$, which Blaydes（Advers．p．37）finds＂parum felix，＂seems highly probable．＂The Epic phrase comes in very fittingly， much like the tragic $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \bar{\partial} \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \dot{v} \nu \bar{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta \iota$, suppr．357．Cf．Hom．Od．xxii．203，
 phrase ending in $-\omega \nu \mu \in \nu o s$ almost invites corruption into a participle．As to $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ， the confusion between $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ has often been noticed，while that between H and I is the commonest of all errors．The remaining letters（INNEI as against NOHT）scarcely justify a theory of anagrammatism，but they are not alto－ gether dissimilar．







$1181 \delta^{\prime}$ RV ：$\gamma^{\prime}$ Ald． 1183 rрooraràs Lenting：$\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ codd． 1184


1180 The names of citizens of mili－ tary age who were possessed of a certain amount of property were down on the кaтádoyos or register of hoplites，in accordance with which they were re－ quisitioned in rotation．Aristophanes complains that those in authority tampered with the list；cf．Eq． 1369 ，


 A taxiarch was largely responsible for that part of the kaco $\lambda^{\prime}$ oyos which con－ cerned his own tribe．
 ＂anyhow．＂An extension of the use with verbs like $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \notin \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and $\sigma v \gamma \chi \in i v$ ．

1181 éja入é́qovtes．Cf．Arist．Ath．Pol．
 үєүраццќvшข，i6．49，2，where both words are again used．
${ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \theta^{3}$ ．Blaydes takes this for ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau a \iota$ ，but $\xi \sigma \tau i$ is far more vivid and natural．For

 strangely declares that these four words are spoken by the $\tau \alpha \xi$ 保义os．Rather， they go closely with the next line．The sad plight of the conscript is pictured briefly：the expedition starts to－morrow； he has bought no provisions，for he knew nothing about it；and then， behold ！he sees his name up．

 ойтє тиро́v．
＇$\epsilon \omega \omega \eta \tau^{\prime}$ ．The elided syllable is－at， not -0 ，since the perfect，not pluperfect， is suited to the parallel $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell$ ．
 third pers．sing．pluperf．act．，see Rutherford，N．P．p． 229 sqq．

1183 троб⿱宀тás．A necessary cor－ rection of $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau$ d́s．The mistake is very
common；thus in Soph．O．T．79，O．C．
 Blaydes cr．n．，and Jebb on Soph．O．C． 986.

Tòv ảvסpiayta tòv Mavסlovos．In front of the statues of the eponymous heroes of the ten tribes were regularly posted certain public notices，e．g．pro－ posals for new laws（Aeschin．Ctes．39， Suidas s．v．$\dot{\epsilon}\left(\dot{\omega} v \nu \mu_{0} t\right.$ ），and，as here，lists of those required for military service．




 $\delta \in i ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．Cf．ib．ch．53，4．The statues stood $\dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ tov̂ $\theta$ b 5,1 ），and their site is as uncertain as that of the $\theta$ bios and M Mrp $\hat{0} \boldsymbol{0}$ ．See Harrison and Verrall，Alyth．and Mon．， p． 57 sqq．
$1184{ }^{6} \mathrm{i} \delta \epsilon \mathrm{v}$ ．Momentary aorist，in contrast to $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} y \eta \tau a l$ ．
aũtóv．Sc．Є̇ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu$ évov．
$\theta \in i$. Prof．Tyrrell（Class．Rev．i． p．131）would emend to $\check{\varepsilon \in \hat{L}, \text { remarking }}$ that Schol．（eis áunरaviav каì ámopiav $\pi \epsilon p(i \sigma t a \tau a l)$ shows no trace of $\theta \in \hat{\text { in }}$ ．This is true，but Schol．＇s paraphrase is most unsuitable to $\zeta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ ，and evidently concerns $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{2} \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ only．Further，$\check{\zeta} \epsilon \hat{i}$ would pro－ bably have drawn a note，while $\theta \in \hat{\imath}$ was very properly left to explain itself．
$\tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\varphi}$, Causal dative，going with $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\beta \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu$ ỏ $\pi \dot{\delta} \nu$ ，or with $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ only．
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu$ óто́v．For this colloquial use of $\beta \lambda \in \pi \epsilon \omega \nu$ with an internal accusative，cf． Eq．631，ё $\beta \lambda \varepsilon \psi \epsilon ~ \nu a ̂ \pi v, ~ V e s p . ~ 643, ~ A c h . ~$ 95 （and Blaydes there）．It can also be used with more dignity，e．g．Aesch． Theb．498，ф́́vov $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu$ ．





є̀ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \grave{\omega} \tau \tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon$.
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1195



" $\alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ is the only form found in stone records, and though there are many lines in poetry which require $\alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, there are none in which äवтєos must be read." Rutherford, Greek Gramm. p. 134. Cf. Meisterhans, Gramm. Inschr. p. 138, note 1203.
$1186 \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \dot{\sigma}$ t. The insertion of $\nu$ єфє $\lambda \kappa \cup \sigma \tau<\kappa \delta \nu$ is a mistake, since the Athenians objected to $\nu \rho$. Aristophanes regularly lengthens a short vowel before $\dot{\rho}$ both in arsi (e.g. Nub. 416, $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho}$ ' $\gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$, Vesp. 982, тो jopeiv, etc.), and in thesi, $N u b$. 344. The only exception is Vesp. 1066 (lyric).

 éx | pot. |
| :--- |

1187 ิิv. Genitive of compensation, after єủ่úvas $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \sigma เ v$, as after $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ ōoûval.
 used in threats. Cf. Eur. Alc. 731,

$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{\wedge} \lambda \mathrm{n} \text {. For the form, see supr. 939, n., }\end{aligned}$ Rutherford, N. P. p. 416, Meisterhans, Gramm. Inschr. p. 178, Starkie, Vesp. p. 41 i.
 the Spartans is quoted by Schol., otko
 do not know of any Spartan expedition that had landed in Asia up to this time; that of 525 B.C. was only against Samos. So it seems likely that the proverb arose in later time with the help of this line of Aristophanes. Cf. Dobree Advers. ad loc. (II. p. 212).

1192 öซov тò xp̂̂n". "What a quantity of people." Sc. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$. When $\ddot{\delta} \sigma o \nu$ or $\mu \epsilon ' \gamma a$ is added to the
 the phrase denotes (I) size, e.g. Eccl. 394, тобоиิтоข $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu$ ' ö $\chi$ 入ou: Lys. IO3I, Eq. 1219: (2) quantity, as here, Ach. 150, Kan. 1278, тò хр $\mu \alpha$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ко́тну
 ขטкт $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ öбоу.

1193 тavtni. Trygaeus hands the slave something with which to clean the tables. Schol. is probably right in supposing the duster to be a plumed helmet, as the next line is thus explained. Herwerden understands $\phi$ otv $\kappa$ í $\delta \iota$.

1194 тávтшs, к.т. $\lambda$. = omnino non iam opus est illa.

1195 е̇тіфєрє. All editors follow Dobree in reading ėाıфópєt, but the verb is only used in heaping earth or stones upon something, and though èтьфор $\mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ is quoted of dishes put upon the table (fr. 774), that word carries the meaning of $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \iota \phi \dot{\phi} \rho \epsilon t \nu$, not of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi о \rho \in \hat{v}$. More probable is $\dot{e \pi}$ iф $\epsilon \rho \epsilon$, which Blaydes
 quoting from Mnesimachus $a p$. Athen, $x$.
 $\mu \in \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \delta \epsilon i \pi \nu \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa i \delta a s$ K $\rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́ s$.
ảpúdous. Schol. $\pi$ गакоî̀tés tives. Ach. 1092, Athen. xiv. 648 E.
1196 тஸ̂v $\lambda \alpha \nleftarrow \dot{\varphi} \omega v$. Cf. supr. 1150, ${ }^{11} 53$.
ko $\lambda \lambda a ́ ß o u s . ~ L o a v e s ~ o f ~ d i m i n u t i v e ~$ size. Cf. Schol, here and on Ran. 507 ;


## $\triangle$ PEIIANOXPTOE

$\pi o u ̂ \pi o ̂ ̂ ~ T \rho u \gamma \alpha i ̂ o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau ו \nu ;$
TP． àvaßó́т $\tau \omega$ кí $\chi \lambda$ ．
$\Delta \mathrm{P}$ 。
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 $\dot{o} \delta \grave{\imath} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \rho \iota \delta \rho \alpha ́ \chi \mu$ ous $\tau o u ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha ́ \delta o u s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̀ ~ \gamma \rho o u ́ s . ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}$ T $\rho \nu \gamma \alpha \hat{\imath} \epsilon, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon$


 this instance we seem to catch the ordinary conditional $\partial \nu$ with indic．in the act of becoming frequentative．

ко入入úß̧ou．＂Teste Polluce ix．72，
 particula ignoramus＂（Herwerden）． There is，however，good reason for supposing that the $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa o u ̂ s ~(~} 8$ to the obol，Pollux．ix．65）was divided into 4 $\kappa \delta \lambda \lambda \nu \beta o \iota$ ．See Head，Historia Nzem－ orum（1887），p．328，Hultsch，Métrologie， p．228，n． 2.
$1201 \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \theta^{\prime}$ E！кабтоv．The MSS，with $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\kappa} \kappa \frac{\nu \tau a}{}$ can scarcely be right；for though the scythe－maker is free to exag－ gerate the price he gets，no point，funny or otherwise，can be extracted from the descent to the rational $\tau \rho t \delta \rho \sigma^{\chi} \chi \mu$ ous in the next line．For corrections see next note（fin．）and Blaydes，cr．n．
$\delta p a x \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ．It is still held by some that Aristophanes allowed himself to lengthen a vowel before a mute and liquid（supr． $26 \mathbf{I}$, n．）in this word；thus the Oxford editors follow the MSS．here and in Plut．1019．$\delta \rho a \check{\chi} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is found in Aristophanes twenty－one times（including Ach．16I，where the second foot is a tribrach，the division being defended by the fact that $\delta \dot{v} o ~ \delta \rho a \chi \mu \dot{a} s$ form a single word；cf．Ach．66，90，159，Ran．173， 176，fr．6I4）；$\delta \rho \bar{a} \chi \mu \dot{\eta}$ three times （Bachmann，Lex．Spec．s．v．）．These are

 the lengthening may be conceded to anapaests（cf．Av．553，579，591）．（2）
 єikoolv．This is the crucial instance；it defies alteration．The first three words are obviously right，$\gamma \epsilon$ being necessary in view of the preceding line；tikoбル is a plain reference to line 982 ；and nothing can he added to $\gamma \varepsilon$ which will
 possible．I can only suggest that the poet wrote $\tau$ às òктஸ́ $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a ́ s$（cf．line 983），and that a meddlesome copyist preferred the larger of the two sums possible．（3）The present line，which is otherwise suspect，since almost all critics condemn $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta^{\prime} к о \nu \tau a$ ．Plainly the phrase which was ousted by $\pi \in \nu \tau \eta^{\prime} к о \nu \tau a$ ended in a consonant，and the hiatus caused by the usurping word was ob－ viated by the transposition of $\epsilon \mu \pi 0 \lambda \hat{\omega}$ $\delta р a \chi \mu \hat{\nu}$ ．Bachmann＇s correction is neat and satisfactory，while Elmsley＇s line in no way explains the corrup－ tion．
 250 says，＂＇$\mu \pi \pi 0 \lambda a ̈ ้ \nu$ as＝＇to sell＇lacks classical evidence．＂Here，however，we have a clear instance of the use；even with the reading of MSS．aúrá must be understood，to account for the genitive of exchange．（L．and S．＇s rendering，＂to the amount of fifty drachmae，＂is impos－ sible．）Another case is Thesm．452，$\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$
 oú ${ }^{\prime}$＇$\epsilon$ is $\eta \mu \mu \sigma v$ should be taken as the object of the verb；＂we don＇t sell half the number．＂

1202 тpípóx $\mu$ ovs．A variation for $\tau \rho \iota \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

## OПлOHOIOL
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ОП．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \alpha ́ s ~ \mu o v ~ \tau \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \tau \tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \beta i o \nu, ~$

 singulis mercatorum personis vulgo traditis vide Comm．：corr．Bergk．
$1204 \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ，i．e．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu$ 。
ravtl，explained by what follows， refers to presents unconnected with their own special trades，which they have bought out of their gains．

1205 ＂Out of our own sales and profits．＂à are $\delta \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ of actual selling， $\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i v($ infr．1253）of offering for sale． This distinction is always observed．
 447，546－549．

1210－64 OПムOHOIO乏．Trad－ ition gives us five interlocutors，（I）入oфотotbs，who converses with Trygaeus 1210－1223，（2）өшракоты́入 $\eta s, 1224-1239$, （3）$\sigma \alpha \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma$ оооб́s，1240－1249，（4）краро－ тotós，1250－1254，and 1260，（5）סopuそós， 1255－1264．But internal evidence favours Bergk＇s arrangement．We see from line 1213 that three traders are on the stage，one of whom is a öm $\pi \omega \nu$
 The third（ （ourout）is clearly the крavo－ motos（1255），who is also associated with the dopu＇́s in lines $1255-1260$ ．It is absurd to suppose that these two postpone their business until two other traders have come upon the scene and inter－ viewed Trygaeus．This consideration alone would dispose of the $\theta \omega \rho \alpha к о \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta$ s and $\sigma a \lambda \pi เ \gamma \gamma \circ \pi o t o ́ s$, but it mayalso be noted that nothing is said of their entrance，and that their opening words，$\tau i \delta \mathrm{Cal}$（1224），$\tau i$ $\dot{o}^{\prime}$＇$p \alpha$（ 1240 ），and the absence of $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ or ка் $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ ，are unsuited to a fresh character．

Besides，if the first trader deals in crests only，why is he called a ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ кá $\pi \eta$ خोos （1209）？It is equally clear that lines 1250－1252 are spoken by the general dealer ；for the words oìtos $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̀ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi 0 \nu$－ $\theta \epsilon \nu$ ovót（1256）show that the attention of Trygaeus is then for the first time directed to the крауотotós．Finally，in the last lines（1255－1264）Trygaeus dis－ tinctly（avoids speaking to either the крадотоós or the סopuگ́ós direct；cf． $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \ell(1259)$ ，тои́т $(1261)$ ；this can only be because they are mute．It has been urged that $\dot{\omega}$ סopu竄（1260）is a reply to $\hat{\ddot{\omega}}$ крадотоi＇（1255）；but it is clear from what follows in each case that the names are mentioned by the general dealer merely as a cue for Trygaeus．
$1210 \pi \rho \circ \theta \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda \nu \mu \nu 0 v . \quad$＂Root and branch，＂a Homeric word．Cf．Eq．528，
 $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o i ̀ s \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 u s$.

1211 oú $\tau i$ тou doфậs；＂Surely you haven＇t got plume－onia？＂The form of the question shows that Schol，is right in comparing modaypãy and other verbs of disease with the termination－tây and $-\hat{\alpha} \nu$ ，of which Blaydes gives a list，as does Rutherford，N．P．p．153．入oфâv is absurdly adopted into this class ；pro－ perly it would mean＂to have a crest，＂ as коцâv，to have long hair．

1212 ròv $\beta$ lov．＂My livelihood．＂
1213 точтоvใ．Sc．тồ краעотоเồ．

OII．aùzòs $\sigma \grave{v} ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta i o ̂ \omega s ; ~$
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 oűk äv $\pi \rho \iota \alpha i \mu \eta \nu$ ovd＂äv i $\sigma \chi \alpha ́ \delta o s ~ \mu i \alpha \widehat{s}$ ．




 more vivid than $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v$. Cf．Ranz．176，
 almost＂plank down＂；so in Soph． O．C． 227 ，of ready payment．

1215 aí $\quad$ Xúvopar．Sc．єimeiv．
1216 то̀ $\sigma \phi \eta \kappa \omega \mu \mu^{\prime}$ ．＂The narrow part＂，or＂fastening．＂Properly of a wasp＇s waist，hence applied to that part of anything which is contracted by pinching or binding，as here the junc－ tion of the two crests．Schol．quotes a close parallel in Hom．Il．xvii．52，
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \phi \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega \nu \tau о$ ．

Éx $\in$ L．＂Shows．＂
1218 १ท＇àтока日aipш，к．т．д．Every－ thing points to an interpolation from supr．I193．The repetition is lame；the table has been dusted already；and zouteí after aủzoîv in the preceding line is intolerable．

1222 трıхорриєîtov．Cf．Av．106，






1224 This and the next line are tragic，as the periphrasis $\theta$＇úpakos kúrєє
alone would show，if the sounding metre were not enough．
$\delta_{\epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu \omega}$ ．$\mu \nu \hat{a}$ can form $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu o v s$ because it is a contraction of $\mu \nu \in a$ ． Pollux has $\tau \rho \ell \mu \nu 0 u s$, Athenaeus $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \dot{-}$ $\mu \nu$ ous．For such short forms，existing side by side with the normal forms in－ataios （the ponderous $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \nu \alpha \iota \hat{t o s}$ is quoted by Hesychius），cf．note on $\tau \in \tau \rho \omega \dot{\beta} \beta \circ \lambda_{0 \nu}$ supr． 254.
Өஸ́paкоs кข́тєt．＂Rounded breast－ plate．＂Cf．Eur．Supp．I202，трimoסos $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ кої入ч кúret，Aesch．Theb．495．The same tragic periphrasis is parodied by Plat．Com．fr．189，кú入ıкоs єis коî̀ov ки́тоs．
 tragedy，the word may well bear its natural meaning，＂fitted on＂to the body．If not，perhaps Paley is right in translating＂lined，＂lit．＂fitted on＂to some material inside．Meineke suggests and Blaydes prints $\bar{\xi} v v \eta \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$, ，＂joined，＂ on the strength of Schol．＇s note，ovyze日－ $\epsilon \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi, \dot{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \rho а \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$.

1226 For $\mu \hat{\ell} \varphi$ solitarium see Starkie on Vesp．77．It is very common after －û̃os，e．g．inffr．1256，Eq．1216，Vesp．369， 453．Here the force given is consolatory and encouraging．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' aîpé $\mu$ on тойтóv $\gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \bar{s}$ i $\sigma \omega \nu i a s$.




 каі io'.
OIl. $\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu$ фoì $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$;
TY.

$$
{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \text { in } \Delta i \alpha,
$$ iva Min $\gamma^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \hat{\omega} \tau \rho u ́ \pi \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \tau \omega \nu \tau \bar{\eta} s \nu \epsilon \omega ́ s$.

 1235
 т̀̀v $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau \grave{o} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma o \delta o ́ \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \epsilon \chi^{\iota \lambda} \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$;

TP.

$$
\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \gamma \alpha \theta^{\prime},
$$


OII. Ti $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ тй $\sigma \alpha ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \gamma^{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \chi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha$,
$1229{ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \beta \rho i j \omega \nu$ Elms. : $\mu$ ' $\dot{\nu} \beta i^{\prime} i^{\prime} \omega \nu$ cod.

1227 dipl. "Hand over." Cf. sup. I, n.

Tท̂S i, $\sigma \omega v i a s . ~ " A t ~ t h e ~ p r i c e ~ m e n-~$ toned," ie. סéккa $\mu \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$. Not "at a fair price" (L. and S.), or "at cost price" (Blaydes). The largeness of the sum is no difficulty, as Trygaeus has no intertimon of buying. Besides, $\chi \iota_{\lambda} \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, info. 1237, proves that he had consented to the price.

1228 є̀vaтотатєîv. Cf. Eur. Bach.


 $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \nu a, ~ \mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \eta \eta^{\nu}$. The rational and indeed necessary omission of $\epsilon^{i} \nu$ ait $\hat{\psi}$ ( $a \dot{u} T \hat{\eta}$ ) with these explanatory infinitives does not justify H. vo Bamberg (Exec. cr. in Plat. nov., p. 5) in assuming that
 $845(\mathrm{R})$, is bad Greek.

1229 'vußplyผv. If we keep $\mu$ ' $\dot{v} \beta \rho i \xi \omega \nu$, the dative is inexplicable. Blaydes strangely suggests "una cum mercibus meis," as though aurrois had preceded. The reading adopted violates Rutherford's rule ( $N . \quad P$. pp. 67-68) which excludes from Attic such construe-
lions as $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau \nu$. But the metre of the line is tragic.
Xри́щабเv. "Merchandise," a use not found elsewhere in Aristophanes, except in the more explicit $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi о р ь к \grave{\alpha} ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau a, ~$ Ache. 974.

1231 mola. "How ?" on the analogy of $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon, \tau \alpha u u^{\prime} \eta$ : a rare use. Cf. $A v, 1219$,
 where it takes up out (as here $\dot{\omega} \delta i$ ) and is answered as here by $\tau \hat{\partial} \bar{\delta} \epsilon$. moía cannot stand for $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon \in \rho(\chi \in \rho \ell)$, as Richter takes it.

1232 т $\delta$ gl . . . kail т $\mathrm{n} \delta$ ". "Thusand thus," as he puts first one hand through one armhole of the breastplate, then the other through the other.
1233 ar $\mu$ xiv. Sc. xєpoîv.
 "Concealing an oarhole in my ship." The Scholiast tells us that this is a hit at the trierarch, who used to block up some of the oarholes in the ship for which they were responsible, in order that they might have less men to pay.

1237 xiлıิิข. For the accent, when $\delta \rho a \chi \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ is understood, see Chandler, Greek Accent. § 757.

TP．uó̀ußోov єis тоuтi тò коî̀ov є́ $\gamma \chi$ Є́as，



¿̀ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є́ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha เ \nu \in ́ \sigma \omega$.
1245




ОП．$\hat{\dot{\omega}} \delta \nu \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \theta \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \alpha i ̂ \mu о \nu, ~ \check{\omega} \varsigma \mu^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ，





TP．oûtos $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ où $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi o \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ oưסév．

## $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \tau i$


1248 кâtá Flor．Christ．：кaủtó codd． 1249 iotáva，Ald．：ṫotávą

 words évxeas and év $\theta$ el＇s are nominativi perzdentes．Instead of being taken up by a verb such as ${ }^{*} \xi \in \epsilon เ s$ ，they are abandoned， and the object expected becomes another subject with a verb of its own．Cf．Ranz． 1437－1438，Ach．1165－1166，Aesch． Eum．100－101，Supp．446－447．
1244 тิิข катакт $\omega \nu$ котта́ $\beta \omega \nu$ ．See Dr．Merry＇s admirable note，and Dr． M．R．James，s．v．Cottabos in Dict．Ant． i．p． 558 ．
1248 кdíra．It is time that this almost certain correction was introduced into texts．The pronoun is quite inde－ fensible，and the change very slight．
 do for weighing．＂Blaydes compares
 （sc．т̀े $\beta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha)$ ．
1250 бvбко́Өapтє．＂Deaf to atone－ ment，＂not to be appeased byany $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \mu о$ ．

 one could say ка日alp（for $i \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma к о \mu \alpha \iota)$
$\theta \in 6 \nu$ ：but that construction does not occur．Cf．O．C． 466 ，кадар $\mu \grave{\nu}$ ．．．$\delta a \iota-$ $\mu \delta \nu \omega \nu$（ n ．），such an atonement as belongs （is due）to them．＂Jebb，ad loc．The strange word，taken literally，probably suggests the ovppaia to Trygaeus．The line isperhaps taken bodily from a tragedy．
 as in Ran．1189，Ach．647，etc．But in such cases the idea of a definite point of time is always present in the speaker＇s mind．
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$＇，though omitted by RV，need not be suspected as an interpolation metri gratia；Herwerden compares $L y$＇s． 421 ， and（less forcibly）Nub． 1217 ．It is tempting to suggest that ä $\nu$（iterative）has fallen out before àvil，but the following line shows that davte $\delta \omega \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ refers to one definite transaction．
$\tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon . \quad$ Sc．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho \alpha \nu \omega ิ \nu$.
1253 Aipuittois ．．ouppalav． Possibly a reference to Herod．ii．77，
 éxáatov．Cf．ii． 125.


ОП. $\dot{\alpha} \pi i \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \grave{\omega} \delta o \rho \nu \xi^{\prime}$.
TP.
$\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \bar{s} \gamma^{\prime}, \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon i$
1260

TP.
єi $\delta_{\imath} a \pi \rho \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i e ̀ \nu$ סí $\chi \alpha$,





$1258 \mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$ Ald.: $\mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta s$ RV. $1261 \gamma^{\prime}$ om. R. 1262 б $\iota \alpha-$ $\pi \rho \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta$ Dind. $1263 \tau \rho \epsilon i$ is loco $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ R. 1266 о $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \dot{\delta} \mu \in \nu \alpha$ Mein. 1267 троаvaßá入ทтat $\mu$ ot codd. : corr. Blaydes. סокєî̀ Bergk.


#### Abstract

1258 тоtavtaci. Trygaeus touches or points to the helmet-maker's ears, bidding him make " handles like those" for his helmets, so that they may be used as wine-jars, the handles of which were naturally called Êra or む̈rd́pıa (Hom. 11. xviii. 378, oஜ̆aта). Such is the explanation of the Scholiast, who vouches for a $\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi เ \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta}$ to this effect. $1.260 \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{s} \gamma^{3}$. For the addition of $\gamma \epsilon$, facilitating the ellipse of the verb in a negative reply, cf. Vesp. 79, Nub. 688 ( $0 \hat{\delta} \delta \partial \mu \omega \hat{s} \gamma^{\prime}, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon l .$. ) : Ach. 176, $E q .1100, N z b .196,267$ ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ).

1261 тои́тч. "From him," for $\pi a \rho a ́$ with gen., as often after verbs of buying. It was probably a dative of advantage   $1263 \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \not \mu$ " àv aüт". "I would take them," i.e. buy them. Cf. Nub.   tis xápakas. "To serve as vineprops." For єis, cf. supr. 37, 1202, though the difference is considerable. In all three passages cis denotes destined use, but in the first two the sphere of use is pointed out, in the last the useful articles whose place is filled.  ovjp $\quad$. (Paley). This is shown by the attachment of Epoi סokei to the second and real motive: "I believe they really want to practise." None of the corrections proposed seem to be on the side of improvement.  stood of supernumerary guests, umbrae. But, if sound, it surely means "the invited guests." $\overline{\epsilon \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s ~ i s ~ n o t ~ e l s e-~}$ where used of hospitality (nor is $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa а \lambda$ $\epsilon i v)$, being usually applied to helpers for war or counsel called in, in emergency. Hence $\dot{\epsilon \pi i \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s ~} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{i}$, a speciallyconvened meeting, the extra nature of which has led to the rendering "extra guests," umbrae, here. But the idea of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi\{\kappa \lambda \eta 70$ is not "extra" but "summoned hastily," whereas "extra guests" in the sense of umbrae are not summoned at all. The use of the odd word (half metaphorical) is satisfactorily explained if we remember the short notice given by Trygaeus.  is to "strike up," without any idea of practising: this is given here by $\pi \rho 0$-, in 1269 by $\pi \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$. '́pol סokê̂. Many editors give סoкeîv, but we can scarcely disregard the evidence of MSS. here and $A v .1225$,  Cf. Plut. 736, ©̈s $\gamma$ ' $\epsilon \mu 0 l$ ठокє $\ell$ (Ald. ठокєiv). Moreover, one is tempted to infer from Nub . 1252, Eccl. 350, sutpr: 857, that Aristophanes did not use this infinitival construction without $\dot{\alpha} s, 8 \sigma o v$, or the like.





ПIAIZ $\Lambda A M A X O Y$















1270 AAMAXOX add．Brunck． ä $\delta o \nu($ sic $) \mathrm{V}^{2}$（sec．edd．Oxon．）： dotios loco diatiôos V Ald eloov Ald．：de R1 incertum est． 1275
$1269 \pi a p$＇＇g̀k̀ $\sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{2} v$ ．A blending of
 claimed indeed by Sobolewski（Praep． pp．192－193）that，in all passages of Aris－ tophanes where mapá with acc．follows
 （3），$\pi$ apa is apud，not $a d$ ．He points out that Aristophanes never uses $\varepsilon$ is or $\epsilon \pi i$ with accus．after these verbs，and that avtoû is hic，not huc．But aủtov̂ is found after verbs which combine the ideas of motion and rest exactly as $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ ＇$\mu \mathrm{k}$ è $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu$ does here：e．g．Av． 663 ，
 152，кá $\theta \in \lambda^{\prime}$ aủtoû тoủ入єóv，Eccl． 512. The idea of motion was certainly nol lost．

1270 First enter the son of Lamachus， singing martial lays．The first line，said by Schol．to be from the＇Enlyovor of Antimachus，but，no doubt，as Brunck pointed out，really from the old epic of
that name（Herod．iv．32），is introduced merely for the pun between o $\pi \lambda \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ ， ＂younger，＂and öт $\pi \lambda$ ，＂arms．＂

1272 dua日és．Not＂ignorant，＂but ＂rude，＂＂indelicate＂；cf．Verrall on Eur．Med．223．The neuter agrees with $\pi \alpha i \delta l o v$ understood from 1268 ．
1273－4 Made up out of Hom，11．iv． 446－449（ $=$ viii．60－63）．
$1276 \mathrm{Hom}. \mathrm{Il}. \mathrm{iv}$.450 （ $=$ viii．64）．
1278 ö óфалоє́ $\sigma$ бas．Absurdly trans． ferred from $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \bar{a} a s$ to oi $\mu \omega \gamma \dot{\alpha}$ s．

1280 kaì rà totavti．＂＂And so on，＂Trygaeus＇s own words，distinct from the Homeric phrases of these two lines．
1282 ßoŵv suggests i $\pi \pi \omega \nu$ ，which suggests war．But as it is cessation from war，Trygaeus is satisfied．
$1283 \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \lambda \nu 0 v$ ．Epic for $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \in \lambda v o \nu$ ．The $v$ of $\lambda \dot{v} \omega, \quad \hat{\epsilon} \lambda v o v$ ，is always long in Attic．



1285
 TP．
$\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \in \nu o t$ ，oì $\mu \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．

TP．ка́кı $\sigma \tau^{\prime}$ à $\pi o ́ \lambda o \iota o, ~ \pi \alpha u \delta \alpha ́ \rho ı o v, ~ a v ̀ т \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \alpha \iota s . ~$

ПА．$\Lambda . \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ；
TP．

ПА．$\Lambda$ ．
viòs $\Lambda a \mu a ́ \chi o v$.
$129^{\circ}$
TP．aißoî．




 oủ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\sigma \epsilon \iota \cdot \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o v o s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon \hat{i} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ s . ~$

 （sec．Cobetum）：đôv RB ：vióv Ald． 1297 व̈ $\sigma \in \notin s$ codd．：corr．Dawes．
exóper日ev．For the Epic form，cf． Vesp．662，кađ＇́vaб日ev（in a poetical tag）．
1284 єîєv．Cf．supr．663，n．
 biguous．Besides its literal Epic meaning， the verb was used of＂fortifying oneself＂ with strong drink，as often in Theog－ nis．So $\pi \epsilon \pi a \cup \mu$ évol may mean either ＂resting from battle＂or＂after resting．＂ Trygaeus，understanding the verbs in the senses mentioned first，adds approvingly， ＂Jolly glad too，I guess，＂but the next line shows his mistake．A similar play on $\theta \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \neq \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha L$ is found Ach． $1134-1135$ ， where Lamachus，equipping himself for battle，cries $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \pi \rho o े s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o u s$ $\theta \omega \rho \eta^{\prime}=\mu \alpha, 6$ ，and Dicaeopolis，equipping himself for a good dinner，rejoins $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \varepsilon$


1288 ảmó入olo ．．aủtaîs $\mu$ áXals． ＂Perdition take you－you and your battles．＂Cf．Rar．226，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\sigma} \lambda o \tau \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ aưTஸ̂ коđ́s．

1289 то仑̂ каi $\pi$ тот＇єโ；＂Whose son， now，may you be？＂kal emphasises the verb，while $\pi 0 \tau \in \dot{\varepsilon}$ makes tô̂ more inde－ finite（cf．supr．39）．

1290 ＂$\mu$＇́vtot is so used，like vero，in an answer to a question of astonishment； Eq．168，Lys．498，Eccl．1130，Av． 1651．＂Starkie on Vesp． 665.
1291 aißoî．Cf．supr．15，n．

＂Battle－eager and battle－accursed，＂with a reference to the name $\Lambda \alpha-\mu a ́ \chi o v$（1290）． Both words are fantastically invented． ßoúnouac does not form the first part of any compound words：in the rare cases where it is compounded it comes second，
 $\kappa \lambda a v \sigma o ̋ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ ，in the sense of＂likely to suffer＂（cf．supt．255）．
$1297 \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \tau$ ．＂Commotion．＂Cf．

$\sigma \omega \dot{\phi} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{2} \mathrm{vos}$. It is implied that prudence was a virtue which Cleonymus carried to excess．

## ПAİ K


IIA．K．$\psi v \chi^{\grave{\nu} \nu} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \sigma \alpha ́ \omega \sigma \alpha-$
TP．
$\kappa а \tau!\eta \sigma \chi u \nu a \varsigma ~ \delta є ~ \tau о к \grave{\eta} а s$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma i \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．$\epsilon \hat{v} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oîd＇є’ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \phi \overline{\omega s}$



 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho ו \kappa \omega \varsigma \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \ddot{\omega}$,

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1298 This confession of cowardice on the part of the peace－loving Archilochus is imitated（through Alcaeus）by Horace， Od．ii．7， 10.
 $\kappa \eta$ s．
$1299{ }^{\epsilon}$ हैтоs．The singular seems to be found only in this quotation．

1300 乞ै $\pi$ óotwy．＂My little man．＂ For similar terms，see Blaydes and Herwerden．
tis．＂In honour of，＂the object
 （Nub．1204，fr． 491 ：cf．Lys．1244）． See Sobolewski，Praep．pp．58－59．
 каі тойто＇Apxi入óxov．Grammarians quote the first words of the couplet




 ov какiш．See Herwerden＇s note．
karńoxuvas．Ironical，as though his father was a brave man．
 satisfied that the boy need not rehearse his part（cf．supp．1267－1269）－another hit at Cleonymus．

1305 ípêv，i．e．the Chorus，who＂still linger here，＂instead of going in to the feast．

ėvzav̂日a $\mu \in \nu o ́ v t \omega \nu . ~ C f . ~ A v . ~ 1418, ~$
 $\mu$ évous；

1306 ф $\lambda \hat{a} v$ ．．．кaì $\sigma \pi 0 \delta$ єîv． ＂Crunch and munch＂（Paley）．Both words are used of laying on hard to anything or any one：e．g．of beat－
 $\sigma \pi \delta \delta \epsilon \iota$.

кєvàs тapé̀kкเ上．Sc．vaûs．＂And not to tow empty boats．＂Cf．Herod．ii． 96，тaûta тà $\pi \lambda 0 i ̂ a ~ a ̉ v a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi о т а \mu \grave{̀ \nu}$ ．．．$\epsilon^{\kappa \kappa} \gamma^{\hat{\eta} s}$ ．．таре́ $\lambda \kappa \in \tau a l$. The application of the phrase to an empty stomach is obvious．Many editors follow Bergler in understanding $\gamma \nu \alpha ́-$ Oous：this is explaining a metaphor by denying that it is a metaphor at all． Schol．would understand кїmas，of those who row without dipping their oars in the water；but кevás will not suit this view．Dr．Rutherford（on Babr．vii．2） argues from a proverb preserved by Phrynichus，$\kappa \epsilon \nu \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\nu} \dot{\theta} \theta o v \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \chi \omega p l a$, taken in conjunction with Babr．l．c．，
 ellipse is of $\tau$ às $i \pi \pi \pi o u s$ ，but that $\tau$ às $\gamma \nu \dot{\theta}$ ous is at once suggested．
$1307{ }^{\wedge} \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{`}$ ฝ̈．Incumbite．The nautical metaphor is here continued． $\epsilon \mu \beta d \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ \nu$ is quite impossible．No con－ fusion is more common，both in MSS． and inscriptions，than that of 0 and $\omega$ ． Cf．supr．469，cr．n．and Comm．



 ís oủxi $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ทi $\mu$ é $\rho \alpha$





 $\alpha^{\alpha} \tau \alpha \varsigma$,

 1318 vขvi Kuster ：vî̀ R：om．V．
$1309 \sigma \mu \omega \in \chi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ．A lost word．Schol．
 $\sigma \mu \dot{\omega} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ．But this is an explana－ tion of $\sigma \mu \dot{\eta} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu(\sigma \mu \hat{\eta} \nu)$ ，with which there is not likely to be any connection．

roiv yvá日otv．Inscriptions prove that the forms $\tau$ á，$\tau a i v$ ，for the fem．dual of the article，are post－classical．See Meis－ terhans，Gramm．Inschr．p．123，note 1113．Cf．Cobet，V．L．p． 69.
$\pi$ пovnpol．For the accent，see supr． 263，n．
 Schol．quotes two proverbs，（I）oưȯ̀v

 $\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma к ө \tau о т о \mu \epsilon i \nu$ ．In the first，$\lambda \in \cup к \hat{\omega} \nu$ is suspicious；with this word omitted，two such proverbs might easily be blended into these words．

1311 єنิ тоєîs ．．．фраí̧нv．Cf．supr． 271，n．＂Thank you kindly for the hint．＂
 ＂Cram yourselves with hare＂（Blaydes）， lit．precipitate some hare into you．Cf． Lys． 562 ，єls тò̀ $\chi а \lambda к о \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda 6 \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$


1313 ws ov̉xて．．．Ép $\mu \mu \mathrm{ots}$ ．＂For it isn＇t every day that one has the luck to meet cheesecakes roaming about unpro－ tected．＂For a similar personification of

 are not uncommonly used without any distinct idea of duration．Cf．Jebb on

Soph．O．7： 113 S，Jannaris，Hist．GK． Gramm．§ 1275．Good examples are

 Herodas vii．100，фo $|\tau \hat{a}| \dot{\eta} \psi \dot{d} \lambda \tau \rho \epsilon^{\prime}$


1315 ßри́кєт＇．Hesych．тò 入áßpows
 is fairly common as a slang term in this sense，and is used twice by Sophocles of a＂gnawing＂pain．Curtius connects the word with $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa \omega$ and vorare（Etym． ET．i．p．69）．The identification with Bpúxecy is somewhat doubtful：Ruther－ ford on Babr．xcv． 45 points out that ＂except in late writers，$\beta \rho$ 白 $\chi \omega$ means ＇gnash，＇and $\beta$ рйк＇bite，＇＇devour．＇＂
 коршyis（Schol．）or＂flourish＂at the end of the play．

1317 кả $\pi$ เкє $\lambda$ єv́єเข．No doubt the first hand of $V$ gave this reading，but a corrector thought $\epsilon \pi i \chi$ Øopev́ $\epsilon \nu$ more fitted to the situation．For the absolute use of the verb，cf．Eur．Bacth． 1088.
 oкeún of supr．552．
tòv áypóv．The singular of áypós is found without the article twenty－one times in Aristophanes，and twenty－one times in the other comedians，but with it only here and Ach． 32 （Bachmann，Conj． p．41）．This fact，however，scarcely justifies Bachmann＇s corrections．Cf． supr．536，n．



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| крь $\theta$ ás $\tau \varepsilon$ тоєì $\dot{\eta \mu a ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau a \varsigma$ ópoíws oîvóv $\tau \epsilon \pi$ тòúv， бûка́ $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | 1330 |
| $\lambda$ ¢̂s катакєíбє． |  |

HMIX．A．＇$\Upsilon_{\mu \nu ̀ \nu}$＇$\Upsilon \mu \in ́ v a l ' ~ \hat{\omega}$.
HMIX．B．$\dot{\dot{\omega}} \tau \rho i \sigma \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho, \dot{\omega} s \delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha i-}$

HMIX．A．＇$\Upsilon \mu \dot{\nu} \nu{ }^{\prime} \Upsilon \mu \in ́ v a l ' ~ \grave{\omega}$.


HMIX．B．тi $\delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ aúтív；
HMIX．A．т $\rho \cup \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu e \nu$ aủтท́v．

1332－1350 eam hemichoriorum discriptionem plerumque secutus sum， quam edd．Oxon．（a Cobeto non nihil discrepantes）Veneto asserunt．

1322 тоєiv．＂Produce．＂As applied to the fruits of the earth，the use is rare ； Paley compares Dem．1045，$\pi \lambda$ routeis

 окктакобiovs．Cf．Arist．Ath．Pol．7， 4.
1325 So in Aesch．Supp．674，the Chorus pray first that the ground，then the women，may be fruitful：$\tau i \kappa \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a$,

 є́форєט́єเข．
$1327 \xi \nu \lambda \lambda \xi \xi^{\xi} \alpha \sigma$ Oal．$\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ is resumed as subject from 1322.


＂And abate the flashing steel．＂$\dot{\eta} \mu \bar{a} s$ is still subject，the transitive use of $\lambda \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ （found only in Homer）being appropriate to the Epic phrase which follows，for



 supr．77，n．

ка入خ̀ ка入ิิs．＂Prettily，like a pretty girl．＂So Ach．253，Eccl．730，and Plaut．Curc．iv．2，35，Sequere istum bella belle．Cf．Nub．554，Ë̛тo入ıs ．．．
 каки̂s：Soph．El．198，סєєvà̀ סєเขติs


1332 With this processional marriage－ song may be compared the ending of the Birds，1720－1765．

1336 Schol．ėv $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ oủ $\phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \tau a l$ ótà $\tau \grave{a}$ $\mu_{\text {ét }}$ pa．Very interesting and probable is Dobree＇s＂ס̊ı̀̀ $\Delta \mu$ ย́тpa，z．e．om．alii I 337 － 1340＂（1336－1339）．

1338 трчүทัซорєข aن่งๆ์ข．＂We will reap her harvest．＂Both the verb and
 （I）we will all reap the fruits of autumn and gather in the vintage，（2）we，in the person of T $\rho v \gamma$－aios，will have the lady to wife．Cf．supr．710，n．

HMIX. A. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ àpá ${ }^{\prime} \in \nu=\iota$ ф'́p $\omega-$
$\mu \epsilon \nu$ oi $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \in ́ \nu о \iota$
${ }^{1} 340$
тò̀ vu $\mu \phi i o v, \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \in s$.
' $\Upsilon \mu \dot{\eta \nu}$ ' $\Upsilon_{\mu \epsilon ́ v a i ' ~}^{\omega}$.
 TP.
oiкท่бєтє $\gamma^{\circ}{ }^{2} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ S oủ $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \notin \chi \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \dot{\alpha}^{\lambda}-$ I 345
$\lambda \grave{\alpha}$ бикодоүои̂̀тєs.
HMIX. A. ' $\Upsilon_{\mu i \nu \nu}{ }^{\prime} \Upsilon_{\mu \epsilon ́ v a i ' ~}^{\omega}$.
HMIX. B. 'Y ìv $^{\prime} \Upsilon \mu \in ́ v a \imath ' ~ \hat{\omega}$.
HMIX. A. тô̂ $\mu \in ̀ \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \kappa a i ̀ \pi a \chi u ́$,
HMIX. B. $\tau \hat{\eta} \bar{s} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ тò $\sigma \hat{\kappa} \kappa о \nu$.
${ }^{1} 35^{\circ}$
TP.

oîvóv $\tau \epsilon \pi i \not \eta s$ тo入úv.
HMIX. A. ' $\Upsilon_{\mu \dot{\eta} \nu}{ }^{\prime} \Upsilon_{\mu \epsilon ́ v a i ' ~}^{\hat{\omega}}$. HMIX. B. ' $\Upsilon_{\mu \nu \nu \nu}{ }^{\prime} \Upsilon_{\mu \in ́ v a i ' ~}^{\hat{\omega}}$. TP. $\hat{\dot{\omega}} \chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau^{\prime},{ }^{\text { }} \nu-$ I 355


 corr. Bentl. 1343 Post hunc versum lacunam esse in C, sex vel septem versibus idoneam, monet Brunck. 1344 TP. Dawes: X0. vulg.
 Bentley's simple correction of the unmetrical reading of MSS. It will then be "the van" only who are called upon to "chair" the bridegroom. Cf. Xen.
 $\pi \rho о т \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ oi'vaivt' $\mathrm{a} \nu$. But, when correction has done its best, it must be admitted that oi $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \in y_{0}$ ot in the MSS. looks very like a gloss, in which case the word which it explains is irrecoverable.

1346 бuкодоүov̂vтєs. Schol, $\gamma \in \omega \rho$. रoûvтes.
$1349 \mu$ ќүa кai тaxú. For the phrase in this connection, cf. Ach. 7S7, Lys. 23, Eccl. 1048.
1350 тò бûkov. Schol. тò $\tau \eta$ ท̂s


1351 фทंनєเs Ү', öтav. Cf. supr. 916.
1355 \&«ठрєs. Trygaeus probably
addresses both spectators and Chorus with a double sense, as often, in Xalpєrє. The farewell must be addressed to the former, the invitation to the latter, for Aristophanes generally gets the Chorus out of the orchestra in some such way.
 compare Ach. 123 I : $\triangle \mathrm{I}$. " $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{y} v$
 Plut. 1208, where the Chorus follow the retiring actors with the words oúxétc Tolvvy $\epsilon i र o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ovơ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mid \epsilon i s$

 ขûv $\gamma \dot{\mu} \mu o \tau \sigma \iota \nu$ (to the Chorus), Vesp. 1535, Nub. 1510, $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\xi}^{\prime} \xi \omega$ (said by the Chorus to the actors). Still, though the object of the words is to provide a retreat for the Chorus, the spectators may be jestingly included in the invitation, as in Eccl. 1141-1148.

## I N D E X

## I．GREEK

The figures refer to the line－numbering of the notes．

áyopáv，લis（ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu)$ ， 1010
ảץopev́єเข，aorist of， 107
áypós，oi áypol，＂the country，＂ 536 ， 1318
＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { nvá，use of the short form，} 218\end{aligned}$
＇A日ŋvaiou，with article， 503
al and $\in$ confused， 874, p． 45, n． 5
－al medial，quantity of， 145,233
aißô̂，I5，544，I29I
aictós，spelling of， 133

aipatov̂v， 1020
aiví $\sigma \in \tau \alpha$ ，Ionic form， 47
aivitтtec $\theta$ al，with cognate acc．， 47
－aios，termination，of coins，etc．， 254
al้рєเv，1，1227；al้pєเv $\mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \rho о v, 80$


áка入аข日ís， 1078
ảkov́єтє $\lambda \in \dot{\varphi}, 551$
ả入єछ̧iкакоs， 422
ả入入á，＂but come now，＂49；＂at least，＂ 660 ；$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} . . . \gamma \alpha \alpha^{\rho}$, in a question， 222；$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}, 476$
á入фเта，449；＂bread and cheese，＂477， 636
ả $\lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \mathrm{K}$ เठєús， 1067
á $\mu \alpha$ ท่s， 1272
ả $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ठข́vєเv， 380
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda(\alpha, 415$
đ« $\mu \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha, 950$
ä $\mu v \lambda$ дı，I 195
－âv，verbs in， 1211
áv，in strong position， 137 ；iterative， 67 ， 627，639，1200；with subj．，not omitted in Comedy， 32 ；with subj．， position of， 32 ；$\pi \hat{\omega} s \not \alpha^{\nu} \nu$ ，in a wish， 68
ảvaßalvetv，of actors，p． 24
ảvaßá $\lambda \lambda \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 1267$
ávaßo入ai， 830
ảvaıઠ́éws，Ionic form， 47

ảvappıxâбӨai， 70
ảváppuots， 890
a่vaтрє́тєเv， 537
a่ขєเสยโิ， 550
ảvéX $\in \sigma \theta$ al，augment of， 347
ảvทेp $\pi 0 \lambda(\tau \eta s$ ，with epithet， 910
ảv $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi$ เov， 263
ảvıâv，quantity of $\iota$ in， 764

ảvонкоסоцєîv， 100
ävん тє кal кáт $\omega$ ，I 80
＇Aỗos， 836
á $\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ ，exclamatory，IO53
ả $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \in เ \nu(\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{s}), 568$
åтa入ós， 351
ả $\pi a v \tau \alpha ิ v, 941$
ả $\pi \alpha ́ \rho X \in \sigma \theta a l, 1056$

á $\pi \mathbf{o}$ ，with verb of rest， 601 ；á $\pi<$ and vinó confused，p．34，n． 13
á $\pi \circ \beta$ о $\lambda$ ıцаios，in a pun， 678
a่ $\pi \circ \delta(\delta \circ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota)$（ $\pi \omega \lambda \in \uparrow ̂ v, 1205$
＇A $\pi$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega$ ，Attic form， 16

а̉ாобт $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ éфєเv， 279

dipa，with imperfect，22， 566
âpá $\gamma \epsilon$ ）（ $\alpha_{\alpha} \rho a . . . \gamma \epsilon, 114$
＇Apıфpáסทs，883
а́р $\mu а т \omega \lambda(\alpha, 415$
âp＇olo $\theta$ ；（（l $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ ；），uses of， 371
dipoua，late form， $115^{8}$
ảppıxâoӨal， 70
ảpXıтékт $\omega v, 305$
др $\omega \mu$ а， 1158
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon v \epsilon \tau ิ, 636$
ä $\sigma \mu \in \nu_{0}$ ，construction in dat．of， 582 ； with participle， 600
datv，form of gen．of， 1185
ล่тนกิิ้， 743
＇Aтtıкinv， 214
av̉raîs $\mu$ áxaıs， 1288
av̉гo8ág， 607
aข̉токра́тшр， 359
aúrós，for aủtóuatos， 638
ảфavétv， 1144
áфєย́ยเข，II44
áXéras，II 59
$\beta$ and $\kappa$ ，confusion between， 34 I ， 536
ßак\｛̧tเv， 1072
ßa入avev́ยเข， 1103
Barls， 809
$\beta \delta \in \lambda$ úvt $\boldsymbol{\beta} \sigma$ OL， 395
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon เ \nu$ ，transitive，208；$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \pi \epsilon เ ท$ ỏmóv， 1184
$\beta \lambda \eta \chi \omega \nu, \beta \lambda \eta \chi \omega \nu\{\alpha, 712$
ßорßорóधिиоs， 753
ßov－prefix， 1177
ßоико入єiv，ßouкодєīヲal， 153
ßovגóraxos， 1293
Bpév $\begin{aligned} & \text { etov，a perfume，} 26\end{aligned}$
ßpévOos，a bird， 26
ßpevӨv́єซ日al， 26
Bрúкєเv，$\beta$ рúxยเข， 1315
ßuveiv， 645
$\beta \omega \hat{\sigma} \alpha$, the form，II55
$\beta \omega \sigma \tau \rho \in$ โิv，II46
$\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{M}$ ，confusion between，in majus－ cules， 631
ya $\bar{\eta}$ ，thievish habits of， 1151

үáp，implying negative answer， 268 ；of surprise，in a question，5，222；in indignant question，Io88 ；introducing a new question， 838 ；after $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a ́$ ，in a question， 222
$\gamma \epsilon$ ，in completing a prayer， 446 ；restric－ tive，after 8 oot， 479 ；giving emphasis， 20，103， 150 ；after negative，in reply， 1260；immediately following $\epsilon l, 712$ ； after $\hat{a} p a, 114 ; \delta \epsilon \gamma \in, 20,150,546$ ； $\gamma$ ย́ $\tau 0 \iota, 820$ ；каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ．．．$\gamma \epsilon, 369$ ；ои̉ $\mu \eta_{\eta} \nu . . . \gamma \epsilon, 41$
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \omega \rho$ pós，used adjectively， 588
रńठเov， 570
$\gamma$ ท̂pas，special sense of， 336
$\gamma \lambda$ І $\sigma$ хр $\omega \nu$ ， 193
үขต́ $\mu \eta \nu$＇่ $\mu \eta \eta^{2}$ ，adverbial， 232
ү०үүú入ท， 28
үopyós， 565
үpaoróßal，8II
үpáфєб⿴囗⿱一兀寸，construction after， 107
үu入ıav́X $\eta$ ข， 788
$\gamma u \lambda$ ıós，accent of， 527
$\Delta$ and $T$ confused，p．43，n． 5
§akpúєเv，double sense of， 6 II
סa入iov， 959
Sauáלєเข，aorists passive of， 583
бацш́цата， 796
Ś́ $\gamma \in, 20,150,546$
8è $\delta$ f́，227， 1116
$\delta_{\text {el }}$ acos，quantity of at in， 233
8єiスакр $\uparrow \omega \nu, 193$
Sєîva（jं，тd），268， 879
$\delta \eta$ ，after $\delta \dot{\ell}, 227$, III6；after $\kappa \alpha i, 178$ ； after relative， $804 ; \delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\nu \hat{v} v(\nu v \nu)$ confused，p．34，n．I

$\delta \eta \mu$ เovp үós， 429
סпиoóтŋร， 920
סtá intensive，with non－material adj．，
 710
бเaßá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon เ \nu, 643$
סьaкаuvıáбal，1081

ठเaбтi入 $\beta \leqslant เ \nu, 567$

8เa兀трย́фєเท， 279

бเєเрんvóǧєvos， 623
סเย̂＾кєเv， 1131
8iкроиу， 637
$\Delta เ \pi \sigma \lambda l \epsilon t a$ ，the form， 420
Soкє́w，Ionic form， 47
ऽокŋбІбофоs， 44
סо́рєє ）（ Sopl， 357
Sopuそós，the form， 447
סрaणєโєเท， 62
$\delta р a x \mu \eta$ ，quantity of first syllable of， 1201
Spopaîos，tragic， 160
ઈขбкáӨартоs， 1250

E and AI confused，p．45，n． 5
E for EI，in Attic writing，37， 325
éa，use of，in tragedy， 60

$\epsilon \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ conjoined， 712 ；$\epsilon i$ 竝 $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ，after negative verb， 384
$\epsilon โ \epsilon v$ ，scansion and spelling of，663， 1284
єใvєка ）（ oüvєка， 203
cis ）（ és， 37 ；of destined use，37， 283,1263 ；temporal， 366,367 ；fol－ lowed by adverb， 367 ；＂in honour of，＂ I300
єiఠáyєเv，＂to bring home，＂73；＂to re－ present，＂ 744
єiซßá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon เ ข, 746$
єí $\sigma\left(\omega \mu \in \nu\left(a ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right), 49\right.$
€ixov，idiomatic use of， 142,522
＇6，＂from the house of，＂II49；＂out of＂a play， 1012
є́к $\beta$ о $\lambda \beta$（ $\zeta$ єเv， 1123
є́күlyvєтaL ）（ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \in \sigma \tau 兀, 346$
Ékeโ̌vo ，of the dead， 316

єккоккі出เレ， 63
е́ккорєิิv， 59
єко́рєб $\theta \in \nu$ ，the form， 1283

ÉK $\phi \theta \in\lceil\rho \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，with adv．of motion， 72
 dancing， 328
＇Eגบ́ $\mu \nu$ เov， 1126
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \in \sigma \theta a$ i，with gen．， 1312
＇$\mu \pi$ เєiv，1143， 1156
＇่ $\mu \pi о \lambda \alpha ิ \nu, 448$, ร201
Év intensive，in composition，1143；© $r$
סє́ovtı， 272 ；$\epsilon ้ \nu \ddot{\omega} \rho q, 122$
＇̇vaாoтatєโิv， 1228
єُváสтєเท，1032， 1225

＇vveүкє，the form， 1109
Є้ยтоs，in singular， 1299
＇Evvádıos， 457

${ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \xi(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa, \dot{\epsilon} \gamma)$ ，in composition， 631
ध́ $\xi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in(\phi \in เ ข, 1181$
є́g€ $\lambda \alpha$ ข́vยเv， 743


є $\xi \circ \pi \lambda(\xi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \downarrow, 566$
＇่ $\pi \epsilon i \gamma \in \tau \epsilon$ ，intransitive， 943

$\epsilon \pi i$ ，with gen．，＂in the time of，＂ 592 ； with dat．，＂against，＂ 62 I ；with dat．， of eating＂with，＂ 123 ；with dat．， meaning doubtful， 1085 ；in тà $\epsilon \pi i$ Өрq̣́кทs， 283
є่ $\pi เ \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon เ \nu, 432$

ย̇тเסเסóval， 333
ย̇ாเкє $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon$ ข́єเท， 1317
є́тік入そтоs， 1266
є́ $\pi i$ ivola， 127
є $\pi / \tau \tau \boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathrm{s}, 742$

є́ $\pi v ์ \lambda \lambda \iota a, 532$
－єрүท́s，in composition，I43
є́pei $\delta \in เ \downarrow, 3 I$
${ }^{\text {＇Epunj} \delta เ o v, ~ t h e ~ r i g h t ~ f o r m, ~} 382,924$
${ }^{`}$ Eppov̂ к入ท̂pos， 365
 накарlav，37，1063；in cantica， 37 947；in Ionic，47；used before a vowel，tragic， 140 ；є＇s кбракаs，in a pun，II7


＇＇tepos，crasis and prodelision of， 253
 462
єข่ยv́，as preposition，discussed， 68
єบี $\pi$ กเิิ้，271，285， 13 II
－Ews，termination of figs and fig－trees， 628
E＂$\omega$ s，after тÉUs， 32

## Zєv̀s катаเßáтךs， 42

ఢัิข ámó тเvos（neut．）， 850

サんкヒレV，275， 289
$\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a v \pi \alpha \hat{\sigma} \alpha v$ ，without idea of duration， 13I3
ทันерเขós， 163

Өéa，aı，synizesis of， 907
日éarpov（rò），＂the house，＂ 735
$\theta \in \lambda_{\epsilon} \in \downarrow$ ，mostly non－Attic，939， 1187
Өєоүย์ขทร， 928
Өєo入oүєіои，p．17，p．18，p． 25
$\theta$ eois é $X$ Opós， 1 I72
Opaíк $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ，тà є́ $\pi i, 283$
Opątra，II38
$\theta$ рivas， 567

Өи入ท́ $\mu a \tau a, 1040$

โธโยเข， 85
LSov́，of compliance， 2 ；in scorn， 198
－l $\zeta \omega$ ，verbs in， 1072
ᄂท่， 195
iktivos， 1100
Iva $\tau 1 ; 409$
ใov， 577
lov́，ᄂov̂， 1110,317
ใтvós， 84 I
$i \pi \pi \alpha \lambda \epsilon к т \rho \cup \omega ّ ้, i \pi \pi \alpha \lambda$ е́кт $\omega \rho, 1177$
immo－prefix， 1177
iттокávӨapos，18I
i $\sigma \omega v$ la， 1227
it and $\pi$ confused， $536,745, \mathrm{cr} . \mathrm{n}$ ．

ใผ́， 246
－$\uparrow \omega v$ ，diminutive，force of，193， 214
＇I $\omega$ vıкผิs， 933
$\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\beta$ ，confusion between， 341,536
$\kappa$ and $\mu$ ，similarity of，in cursive， 326
Kaßef $\rho \stackrel{\alpha}{ }, 277$

Káєเv，zorists of， 1133
kal，with verbs， 240 ；kal，＂and then，＂ with imperative， 328 ；каi ．．．$\delta \epsilon$ ， 250；каl $\delta \dot{\eta}, 178,327$ ；каl $\mu \eta \nu_{\nu}$ 513； каl $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu . . . \gamma \epsilon, 369$ ；каl $\nu \hat{\nu, ~ 316, ~}$ 326
какóv，as term of abuse，181
кa入خे ка入 $\omega$ s， 1330

ка́vסบ入os， 123
KávӨapos， 145
кáv日apos，I， 143
кáv $\theta \omega v, 82$
кauvós，quantity of first syllable of， 892
kará，with acc．，of quest，192，1050； with gen．，of direction， 525 ；í кат $\dot{\alpha}$ тoîv $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda 0 i ้, 241$
катаßalvєเv，of actors，p． 24
kara日єโิal，of ready payment，I2I4
катацßáтŋs Zev́s， 42
ката入ацßávєเv，＂to secure＂（seat，etc．）， 880
кататрі $\beta$ єเv， 355
катє $\lambda \alpha$ v́vєเข， 711
катє́Xєเข，absolute，two senses of， 944
катоเк $\zeta_{\zeta}$ єเข， 205
кáт $\omega$ ，uses of，p． 23
кáт $\omega \theta \epsilon v$ ）（ є́v $\theta a ́ \delta \epsilon, 313$
катшка́рa，I 53
кєโิ้os，Ionic form， 47

кєvàs тарє́ ккєเv， 1306
кєХарเб $\mu$ є́vos， 386
Kı入入เкติv， 363
кเขєโิ，in sense of $\beta \iota \nu \epsilon โ ิ$, doubtful， 341
кเтт $\alpha v, 497$

клavo（ $\mu$ ахоя， 1293
ко́ $\lambda \lambda a \beta$ оs， 1196
кó $\lambda \lambda \nu \beta$ os， 1200
коцเঠฤ̂， 820
ко́раң， 1 100， 1125
коркорчүаí，99I
kорẃvєшs， 628
ко́ттаßоц，оі катактоі， 1244
крáסท， 627
крьө́，special sense of， 965 ；крь $\theta a l, 449$

kvถoเסomâv， 1152
Kvסo七цós， 255
кขкâv， 1169
кикєผ́v， 712,1169
Kúvva， 755
кขттá\}ยเข, 731
кข́тtapos， 199
кúษas， 33
кผ์ $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ，adjectival， 1078
$\kappa \omega \theta \omega v$ ，IO94
$\kappa \omega \lambda$ v́etv，quantity of $v$ in， 499

кшนшботоๆтท่ร， 734

入āкєiv，Doric， 382
 cisms， $38 \mathrm{r}, 382$
＾аці́a， $75^{8}$
$\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ v$, adverbial， 566
入apıvós， 925
$\lambda \alpha u ̂ p a \iota, 99$
$\lambda \in \pi \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta}, 916$
$\lambda \in \omega$ s，periphrasis with， 632
入ท́үєเv，332，I328
$\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon$ เv，tragic， 63
$\lambda$（ $\theta$ os，bema of Pnyx called， 680

入ıтєрvๆ̆s， 603
入itapyl＇$\epsilon เ v, 562$
入ov̂ơar，II39
$\lambda_{0 \phi \hat{v}, 1211}$

＾úkeเov， 357

$\mathbf{M}$ and $\Gamma$ ，confusion between，in majus－ cules， 631
$\mu$ and $\kappa$ ，similarity of，in cursive， 326

$\mu \grave{\alpha}$ тòv $\Delta l^{\prime}$, ả $\lambda \lambda \alpha ́, 6$（cf．1046）
Mavๆ̂s，II46
Mavla， 1146
$\mu a v i a$, in plural， 65

$\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ，＂madly，＂ 95
 separated， 605 ；$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \nu v \nu, 497$ ；$\mu \hat{v} \nu$ oû̀， of protest， 628
$\mu \dot{\mu} \nu \tau 0$ ，in a reply， 1290
$\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \nu 0 s$ єival，＂to take the part of，＂ 765
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \omega \operatorname{\nu }$ เos， 117
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho$ окотєіे， 92
$\mu$ єто́pXtov， 568
$\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$ ，in ov $\mu \eta ̀ \nu \quad \ldots \gamma \epsilon, 41$ ；in кal $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$ （．．．$\gamma \epsilon$ ）， 369
$\mu \eta \chi$ ауท́，82，174，790，pp．21－22，p． 24 ； н $\eta$ रaval，307， 790
$\mu \eta$ Хavotolós， 174
بıкро́v，＂a word or two，＂ 660
нибо入á $\mu \mathrm{ax} 05,304$
$\mu$ нотортакьбта́тך， 662
$-\mu \nu$ ovs，the termination， 1224
норрш́， 474
нขттштós， 247
$\mu \omega ิ \nu$ อนิv， 527
$\boldsymbol{v}$ and $\pi$ ，similarity in form of， 100
$v$ and $v$ confused，p．34，n． 5
$v \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa v \sigma \tau \tau \kappa \delta \nu$ ，in pluperfect， 1182
vavaө入oûv，vavaө入ov̂бӨal， 126
$\nu \in \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v o s$, the form， 1032
$\nu \eta$ in negative sentence， 218
v $\begin{aligned} & \sigma a l, 1032 \\ & 2\end{aligned}$
$\boldsymbol{v} \eta \mathbf{\eta} \sigma$ ，ai，＂our empire，＂ 760
vov̂s，＂intention，＂ 104
vข̂v（ $\nu v \nu$ ）and $\delta \dot{\eta}$ confused，p．34，n．I
ขิิ้ $\delta \dot{\eta}, 5$ ；accentuation of， 5
گoveós， 1177
$\xi \dot{v} v, \xi \cup v-, \xi \cup \lambda \lambda-$ ，see under $\sigma$
O and $\Omega$ confused，${ }^{155}$ ， 1307
ó кaтà тoîv бкєлоîv， 241
o่ $\gamma к и ์ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{~L}, 465$

6§tt impersonal，with double gen．， 529
－ol and－ou confused，p．34，n． 8
－oiaro，Ionic ending，in optative， 209
оікоуєขท่ร， 788
oťкo日єv，sententious usé of， 522
of $\mu$ or，elision of， 173
oivapifctv，I147
oī $\epsilon, 259$

ì $\lambda a$ ， 948

ถัน๐ขิ ）（ ย่үүưs， 513
ö§ирєүнใ $\alpha$ ，the form， 529
óそús，of colour， 1173
8 $\pi$ a，400， 805
$8 \pi \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ，with future，independent， 77,562 ， 1017，1330；with future，final，309， 431；with past tense of indicative， 136；after d̈ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu, 132$
ò $\rho \theta$ ós，ỏ $\rho \theta \eta \not v$ ，ỏ $\rho \theta \omega \hat{s}$ ， 16 I
§боv какóv，parenthetical， 239
ठ̈тє causal， 1251
oủ，position of，after oủk ${ }^{\text {Eै } \sigma} \sigma$＇ö $\pi \omega \omega$ s， 42 ； ov̉ $\mu \eta$ ， 1037 ；ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ．．．$\gamma \epsilon, 4 \mathrm{I}$ ；ỡк， $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{a}$ ，coalescing， 850 ；ov̉ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \Delta \imath^{\prime}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́$, 1046 （cf．6）
－ov and－ot confused，p． 34, n． 8
－บ๋סย́v，＂worthless，＂ 1222

ov̂v，after $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu, 527$ ；often omitted in MSS．，p．34，n． 12
oย์ขєка ）（ єlขєка， 203
oürt，with $\chi a l \rho \omega \nu, 316$
ỏфpús， 395
${ }^{6} \mathrm{X} \eta \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ，in tragic phrases， 865
$\pi$ and $\tau \tau$ ，confusion between， 536,745 ， cr． n ．
$\pi$ and $v$ ，similarity in form of， 100
тайєเv， 874
$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota$ ，with imperfect，414，475；$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota$ $\pi \circ \tau \epsilon$ ，I33
тa入aîбтpal，vice in， 762
$\pi a \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \alpha$（ $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \theta \iota \alpha$ ）， 574
$\pi a \lambda$（үкотоs，of persons， 390
тауท์үบр！s， 342
тávтa тavิтa， 319
Távv $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \pi a v, 121$
mapá，with acc．，after verbs of rest， 1269 ；in composition，of madness， 90 ； in composition，of cheating， 414 ；$\pi \alpha \rho$＇ au๋тウ̀ $\nu$ тウ̀ $\nu \theta \epsilon \dot{\delta} \nu, 726$, pp．17－20，p． 30 ； тарá $\tau เ \nu \circ s \epsilon \in \sigma \theta l \epsilon เ \nu, 386$
тараßá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon เ v, 34$
тарак $\lambda(\nu \epsilon เ \nu$ ，with gen．，981
тараки์ттєเข， 982
тара入úєเv， 743
$\pi \alpha р a \pi \alpha$（єเv， 90

тарбако́s， $114^{8}$
тapoikal，with gen．， 30
таvбเкá $\pi \eta, 14$
таф入á乌ヒเv， 314
$\pi \alpha \chi$ ús， 639
Пeєpactés，spelling of， 145
$\pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon เ v, 874$
тย́vฑร ）（ $\pi \tau \omega$ Хós， 636
$\pi \epsilon \pi a$ ใขєเข， 1163
$\pi \in \rho l$ ，in pregnant construction， 216
$\pi \in р ı \alpha ́ \gamma є เ \nu, 36$
$\pi \epsilon р เ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi є เ v$, absolute， 879
$\pi \epsilon р \iota\}(\omega \nu \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota, 687$
$\pi \epsilon \rho и \delta \epsilon i v$ ，with participle， 10
$\pi \in р$ Һкон廿оs， 994
тєрикข入（баs， 7
$\pi \in ́ \tau \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ，future forms of， 77,1126
$\pi$ เヒ́\}ยเv, 1032
тเкро́s， 805
тíavvos， 84
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \mathfrak{\imath} \nu, \mu \in \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} เ \nu, 34^{1}$
$\pi \lambda$ ékos，tragic， 528
$\pi \nu v \kappa \ell$ ，late form， 680
$\pi o ́ \theta \in v$ äv，with optative，as a despairing wish， 521
IIóOos， 456
тoia；＂how？＂，1231
moteîv，$\pi$ oєiv，spelling of， $5^{8}$ ；followed by acc．and inf．， 365 ；of crop produc． tion，1322；＂to build，＂in double sense，749；жoteî̀ oú $\delta \in \nu$ ，doubtful meaning of， 1085 ；$\pi$ оtє̂ิб $\theta a \iota, 69,288$ ；

то⿺夂力 тเร， 674
$\pi 0 \lambda \in \mu(\zeta \in เ \nu$ ，epic， 759
$\pi о \lambda \in \mu เ \sigma \tau \eta \jmath^{\prime} \rho$ เos， 235
$\pi \lambda^{\prime}$ เs，of a large island， 251
то入入аі каі тткval， 8
то入入обєка́кıs， 243
то入入обто́s， 559
то入ús，ó，followed by gen．， 167
то入ขтโนๆтоя，978，Іо16
$\pi$ т $\lambda บ \tau \lambda \eta \mu \omega, 236$
тоขєіิสӨal， 954
тóvŋpos，of pity，accent of， 263,384 ， 1309
тópos， 124
то́テ＇d̈тта； 704

то́⿱日大日v， 1300
 uses of， 44
тра́үната，191， 1297
трáca，in a pun， 242
$\pi \rho i v$, adverbial，592；$\pi \rho i v \pi o \tau \epsilon$ ，＂in days gone by，＂592；$\pi \rho i \nu$ 芴， 273 ； $\pi \rho l \nu$ кev with subj．，not Homeric， 1076
$\pi р о \theta \in \lambda \nu \mu \nu \circ s, 1210$
$\pi \rho o \sigma=$ ，by mistake for $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \sigma=$, I183
трòs тá $\delta$ ，$\pi \rho \grave{s}$ тaûтa， 305 ；$\pi \rho \partial े s \pi \hat{v} \rho$ ， II3I ；$\pi \rho \delta \dot{s} \lambda u ́ \chi \nu 0 \nu, 692$
тробаүаүєîv，technical， 908
$\pi \rho о \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon เ v$ ，with acc．， 180
трог $\beta$ о入 $\boldsymbol{\text { п }}, 39$
троб反i8óval，955，IIII
$\pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa є เ v$, in double sense， 616
$\pi \rho о \sigma к \in โ ̂ \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，with acc．， 542
тро́бо80s， 397
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ，patron of metics， 684

Tuós， 1150
тบрyoûv， 749
 metaphorical， 633
$\pi \omega ิ s$ äv，with optative，as a wish， 68
$\pi \omega ิ \mathrm{~S} \delta \epsilon \hat{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{\prime}$ ávฑ̂入 $\theta \epsilon \mathrm{S}$ ； 184 （cf．193）
$\dot{\rho}$ ，lengthening of vowel before，II86
paß8oûXot， 734
р̀ $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ а́тเа， 534

คீофєโิ， 716
$\sigma$ sounded twice，in jest， 42
$\sigma a v i \delta \in \mathrm{~S}, \sigma a v \ell \delta \mathrm{~L} \alpha, 202$
батрias oivos， 554
бampós， 554
баркá\}єเv, бáp乡, 482
$\sigma \in โ \epsilon เ ข$, ＂to blackmail，＂ 639
$\Sigma(\beta u \lambda \lambda \alpha, 1095$
$\sigma เ \tau$＇＇$^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \omega \hat{\nu}$ т $\rho เ \omega ิ \nu, 312$
бка入єย́єเv， 440
бкатаıßо́тоs， 42
бкє $\lambda \in ⿺, 325$
बкєข́ทฺ，тவ̀，729，886，13I8
बкๆvai，731

бки์тท， 669
$\sigma \mu \omega ́ X \in เ \nu, 1309$
бoфós，of poets，700， 799
$\sigma \pi a r i \lambda \eta$ ，Ionic word， 48
бтivos， 1149
$\sigma \pi \lambda a \gamma X v \in \cup ́ \epsilon เ \nu, 1115$
$\sigma \pi 0 \delta \in \uparrow ิ v, 1306$
бтißás， 347
бтрє́фєเv，I74，I75
бтро́ßı入оs， 864
$\sigma \tau \omega \mu$ v่ $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a\llcorner, 995$
$\sigma$ Јßapเáఢ์єเv， 344
वûкov，special sense of， 1350
$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon เ v, 416,437$ ；$\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda a \beta \omega ́ v$, colloquial use of， 18

ซvvépı日os， 786
бvvтрıßฑิvaı，with gen．， 71
бфáधєเv，a butcher＇s word，IOI 8
бфŋ́к $\omega \mu \alpha, 1216$
бфоvбช́入 $\eta$ ， 1077
бхท́ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \tau а, 323$
$\sigma \underset{\sim}{\text { úctv，iota in aorist of，} 866}$
$T$ and $\Delta$ confused，p．43，n． 5


тa入aúpเvos，24I
тapixıov，hypocoristic diminutive， 563
тápıXos， 563
rav̂ra，causal，414，617；of ready re－ sponse， 275
тaXv̀ $\pi \alpha ́ v v, 261$
тaXù тaXv́，26I
тєтор $\eta$ б $\omega$ ，the form， 381
téws，uses of， 687 ；as antecedent to $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { E＇山s，}\end{gathered}$ 32
$\tau \eta \delta i$ ，of place whither， 726
т $\eta$ นเкаиิта， 338 ， 1142
тí фท́s； 872

$\boldsymbol{\tau}$（ $\theta \in \sigma \theta$ al， 1039
тіктєเข，Aeschylean use of， 757
ris，added to substantive with article， 831
тó $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ ，epic， 1074
тoे $\delta \in i ̂ v a, 268,879$

тóre，idiomatic use of， 694 ；after $\eta \check{\delta} \eta \eta$ ， 339
тоuтákเs， 1079
T๐ิิт＇Ėкยโิvo，289， 516
траүเко́s， 136
траүора́бхалоя，813
трєîs кal 8éka，idiomatic，p． 14
тр $\eta \rho \omega \nu, 1067$

тplXoppueiv， 1222

т $\rho v \gamma a ̂ v$ ，double sense of， 1338

т $\rho \omega$ үá入ıa， 772
тขขт $\lambda$ á $\xi \in เ \nu, 1148$
тúmтєเv，forms supplied to， 493
тupßá̧̧GӨal， 1007
$\tau \grave{\omega} \sigma$ เढ́（ $\tau \dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon \omega \dot{\prime}), 214$
$v$ and $v$ confused，p． 34, n． 5
$-v$ ，quantity of，in $\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota \nu, 499$ ；in $\lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ， 1283
ข̂ทvía， 928
－vì $\lambda$ єเข suffix，force of， 465,995 ；－v $\lambda \lambda a$ ， 1095
ขiтєрย́ $\chi$ เนข， 17
ข่тєрๆขорє́ตv， 53
intéptatos， 53

ข่mó and ảmó confused，P．34，n． 13
і่тотєтшкผ์ร， 874
фaıסpós， 156
фáซŋ入ol， 1144
фav̂̀os ）（ $\phi \lambda \alpha \hat{p} p o s, 96$ ；$\phi a u ̃ \lambda \omega s, 25$
$\phi \eta \gamma_{0}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{1137}$
фウ่ $\lambda \eta \xi$ ， 1165
фөє́чна， 235
$\phi \theta$ eipes， 740

фเa入oûpєv（sic）， 432

$\phi \quad \lambda \eta \delta \in i v,{ }_{11}{ }_{3} 0$
фîv， 1164
ф入âv，I306
$\phi \lambda a v ิ p o s, 96$
фоเขıкıкós， 303
фоเขเк\｛s，303， 1173
фovvikov̂s， 303
фо́pros， $74^{8}$
Xaîpıs， 951
Xalporar，a solecism， 291
характи́р， 220
Xapotós， 1065
Xарш́viol к入iцakes，p．20，р．26， p． 30
Xєpviтt
$X^{i}$ ípa， 595
$\chi^{\iota \lambda} \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}(\delta \rho a \chi \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ，accent of， 1237
xо入й， 66
Xoós ）（ Xoŵs， 537


＂merchandise，＂ 1229
Xúтpal， 923
X wpia，＂farms，＂ 562

$\Omega$ and $\mathbf{O}$ confused， 155,1307
§ $\mu \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon$ ，$I_{3} 7$
©̈pa，ėv， 122
${ }^{\text {² }} \Omega \rho a \mathrm{~L}, 456$ ， 1168
ஸ்pakเสิv， 702
ẃs，with imperative， 320 ；as preposition， restrictions of， 174
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，idiomatic use of， 234
©ँ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ ，double construction after，646； conditional， 333 ；＂itaque，＂ 935

## II. ENGLISH

Accusative, cognate, after aivicteб $\theta a \ell$, 47; cognate, after $\xi v \mu \pi a l \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 8 \mathrm{r} 8$; temporal, without idea of duration, 1313; adverbial ( $\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu \quad \epsilon \mu \dot{\gamma} \nu$ ), 232 ; accus. sing. of adjective as adverb, 566
Achaeus, tragedian, 357
acorns, roasted, II37
Adonia, 420
adverb, transposition of, 1305 ; combined with adjective, 82 ; with $\epsilon\lceil\nu \alpha l$, as predicate, 118
Aeolus of Euripides, parodied, 1I4, II9
Aesop's fables, 129
"agon," not found in the Peace, p. 4, n. 2, p. 14

Amphipolis, battle of, 281, p. 1
anacoluthon, 1242
analysis of the plot, pp. 4-7
anapaest, division of, 48, 233
antecedent, omission of, in dat., before os, 371
aorist, momentary, 1066, 1184
Archilochus, confession of cowardice by, 1298, 1301
Argos, 475, 477
arguments of the Peace, ancient, p. 53
Aristophanes, political ideas of, p. 3; his Panhellenism, p. 3 ; his patriotism, p. 4 ; his dislike of the new learning, 46; his baldness, 767
article, dual forms of, 1309 ; omitted with names of countries, 282, 503 ; interpolated in MSS., IIII, p. 34, n. 6
Aspasia, 608
Athenaeus, citations of the Peace by, p. 49

Athenians, political scares of, 108 ; their love of litigation, io8
audience, plot told direct to, 50 ; food thrown to, 962 ; abused, 9

## Bacis, 1070

barley-grains sprinkled on victim, 948
beetles, I; fable about, I30; of Etna, 73
Bellerophontes of Euripides, 76, 82, 147. 155, p. 19, p. 21, p. 25
Boeotia, birds from, 1004; hares from. 1150
Boeotians, 466, p. 4
Brasidas, 281, 640, p. 1
Brauronia, 874, 876

CAbeIRI, mysteries of the, 277
calendar, Greek, 414 ; Persian, 408
Cantharus, harbour of, 145
Carcinus, 782, 791 ; sons of, 782, 784. 788, 790, 864
Cerberus, nickname of Cleon, 313,754
Chaeris, 95 I
Charinades, 1155
cheese, Sicilian, 25 I
Chios, 171
chorus, division of, into two bodies, p. 27 ; double character of, p. 28

## Cillicon, 363

Cleon, 48, 269, 313, 314, 669, 754, 757' p. I ; attacked by Aristophanes, p. 3

Cleonymus, 446, 681
collar worn by corn-grinders, 14
Copais, Lake, eels from, 1005
cottabos, 1244
Council, at Athens, 714, 716
Cratinus, 700, 701; his death, 701 ; his
love of wine, 701, 703
Cyzicus, 1176
Datis, 289
dative, after verb of buying, 1261
desiderative verbs in $-\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega, 62$
diminutives, hypocoristic, 563,570, 596
Diodorus, citation from the Peace by, 603, p. 50
Dionysia, country, 530
Dionysus, statue of, 267, 442
Dipolia, 420
dithyrambists, S29, 830
dramalis personae, p. 56
Droysen, E., his scenic theory, p. 17
dual of vowel stems, 325 ; dual subject with plural verb, 325

## Eclipses, 414

eels from Lake Copais, 1005
Egyptians, purging habit of, 1253
Eratosthenes, p. 8, p. 15
Eretria, passage.in theatre at, p. 20, p. 26
Etna, beetles and horses of, 73
Eupolis, 740, 741, 762
Euripides parodied, 76, 82, 114, 119, 126, 131, 135, 155, 528, 722, 1014

Figs, dried, 634 ; cakes of pounded, 574 fig-trees, names of, 628
fish, salt, 563
formal division of Comedy, p. 4, n. z
free speaking to the audience, 9
fumigation before sacrifice, 960

Garland, worn by sacrificer, 948
genitive, of wonder, 238 ; of compensation, 1 I 87 ; causal, after verb denoting anger, 659 ; local, after $\sigma v \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$, катєaүéval, 7 I ; after ö $\mu о \iota \nu \geqslant \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, impersonal, 527; double, after ơ $¢ \in \iota$ impersonal, 529 ; after $\mu \epsilon \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta a \lambda \epsilon$, 180; after $\tau \rho u ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, ~ 989$; after тарак入lขєเv, 981
ghosts, in tragedy, entrance of, p. 26
Glaucetes, 1008
Gorgon, Gorgoneion, 474
grape-stones, 634
Hare, a favourite dish, 1150
Harpocration, citation from the Peace by, p. 50

Heracles, as glutton, 741 ; as destroyer of monsters, 752, 756
Hermes, as glutton, 192; as god of thieves, 402 ; as $\psi v \chi o \pi$ ourtos, 650
heroes, eponymous, statues of, 1183
Herwerden (H. van), his scenic theory, p. 18

Hesiod, 756

Hesychius, citation from the Peace by, p. $5^{\circ}$

## Hierocles, 1046, II 25

homoioteleuton, omissions caused by, 187, 402, 896
honey, Attic, 254
hoplites, register of, 1180
Hyperbolus, 681, 690 ; attacked by Aristophanes, p. 3

Illusion, stage, purposely sacrificed, 174, 1022
imperative, active for middle, 943
indicative mood, in general suppositions, 441
infinitive, for imperative, 1153
initiated, privileges of the, 375
Ion, 835
Ionia, home of new learning, 46
Ionic forms, 47, 929, 933
ivy, 535

## Laches, 250

Lamachus, 473, 474
lengthening of vowel before mute and liquid, 26I, 344, 1201
libations, 300
lots cast for execution, 364
Lucian, citation from the Peace by, p. 50
Lyceum, 357
Magnesia, passage in theatre at, p. 20 p. 27
manuscripts containing the Peace, pp. 31-48
mechanism, use of, in tragedy, p. 21
medism, comic charge of, 108
Megara, 246, 481, 608, p. 4 ; exports of, 1002, 1150
Melanthius, 804, 1012
Meredith, George, on Aristophanes, p. 2
Merry, W. W., his scenic theory, p. 19
metics, 296, 684
middle forms used passively, 246
middle party at Athens, p. 3
money carried in the mouth, 645
Morsimus, 801
Morychus, 1008

Murray, G., on the Peace, p. 2
myrtle, boughs and berries of, 1154
mysteries, Eleusinian, 374

Nicras, not named in the Peace, p. 3 ;
Peace of, p. I
Nieiahr, J., his scenic theory, p. 18
nominativus pendens, 1242
notices, public, posting of, II83

Oligarchy, p. 3
olive-tree, typical of Attica, 578
optative, shorter forms of, in aorist, 405 ; in present of contracted verbs, 640; assimilation of, 32 ; for subjunctive, in final clause, 413,522
Oreus, 1047

Pan-hellenism, 996, p. 3
parabasis, divisions of, 729 ; second, 1127 parechesis, 139,865
participle, present, with imperfect time, 212, 407
peace, negotiations for, $219,638,665$
Peisander, 395
Pericles, 608, p. 3
periphrasis, tragic, parodied, 76, $\mathbf{1 3 5}$
Phaeax, 250
Pheidias, 605
Phlyakes paintings, p. 20
Phormio, 347, 348
pig, mystic, 374
Plato Comicus, the Laconians of, 7oI
pnyx, 680
Prasiae, 242
prolepsis, 160
pronoun, interpolated in MSS., IIII; possessive, for objective gen., 583
Prytaneum, dinners in the, 1084
pulse, offered to gods, 923
Pylos, 219 ; the prisoners from, 479

Qualls, 788
question, retorted, with direct interrogative, 847

Reisch, E., his scenic theory, p. 18
Richter, J., his scenic theory, p. 16
Robert, C., his scenic theory, p. 19

## Saians, 1298

Samothrace, mysteries of, 277
Sardis, dyes of, II74
second edition of the Peace, question of, pp. 7-15
sesame cake at weddings, 869
Sibyl, the, 1095
Sicily, 250; cheese of, 25 I
Sicyon, passage in the theatre at, p. 20, p. 27
sigmatism, 139, 865
Simonides, 697 ; adapted, 736
skin of victim, a perquisite, I123
slaves, desertion of, 451 ; named after nationality, 1138
Socrates, at Delium, 26
Sophocles, 698
Spartans, 219, 1190, p. 4; regarded as perfidious, 1068,1083 ; as avaricious, 623 ; proverb against, II90
Sphacteria, 219 ; the prisoners from, 479
statue, Peace represented by a, 682, p. 29

Stesichorus, quotations from, 775, 796, 800
Stilbides, 1032
substantive, adjectival use of, 588
Suidas, citations of the Peace by, p. 49
superlatives, comic, 662
symposium, 300, 772, II 54
synizesis, 907
Syracuse, 250, 251
syzygy, epirrhematic, 729, 1127

Teleas, 1008
theatre, keeping order in, 734
thrushes, a dainty, 531
Thucydides, possible allusion to, 640
tongue cut separately, at sacrifice, 1060
tribute, attitude of Athenian allies | Xenocles, 289, 790 towards, 62I
trierarchs, tricks of, 1234
Violets, 577
Winnowing-FANs, 567
women, presence of, at Old Comedy, 966

Zielinski, T., his divisions of Comedy, p. 4, n. 2 ; his division of the parabasis, 729 ; his theory of a second edition, p. 10; his theory of a dedication play, p. 12, n. I, p. 14
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Arg. I. fin., द̇ $\nu$ ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon \ell$.
     is discussed below, p. 7 .
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Schol. ad Pac. 48 (Eratosthenes) ; cf. Thuc. v. 12.
    ${ }^{5}$ Arg. I. fin.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Autient Greek Literature (1897), p. 285 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Essay' on Comedy', p. 74.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lines 47-48, 270, 313 -315, 651-654.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lines 681-687, 921, 1319.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lines 606 sqq.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Whibley, Political Parties in Athens during the Pel. War (1889), p. 98.
    5 Whibley, op. cit., p. 91 sqq. and passim.
    

[^3]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ The principles of formal division laid down by Zielinski have not been adopted here, chiefly because the absence of an Ason makes their application difficult. For Zielinski's own division of the Peace, see his Glicderung der altattischen Komodie, pp. 137-140, 179-180, 188-189, 204-206. On the other hand it is clearly absurd to follow the old plan of grouping several scenes and odes (e.g. 361-728) into a single $\dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma o \delta \delta o v . ~ N o ~ f o r m a l ~ d i v i s i o n ~ h a s ~ t h e r e f o r e ~ b e e n ~ a t t e m p t e d, ~ b u t ~ i t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ t o ~ b e ~}$ supposed that the editor rejects the theory of epirrhematic composition as applied to a whole play.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the composition of the Chorus and other controversial points as to scenic arrangements, see infro. iii.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exerc. Crit., p. 742.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Thuc. v. 17, 2 ; v. 22, 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Especially in the case of Argos, who became the ally of Athens in 420 B.C.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr. Zielinski's strange hypothesis (op. cit., pp. 74-79), that the play as we have it was written for the dedication of a statue of Peace begun by Pheidias, needs no discussion here.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. v. 20. 1, and 25 . I.
    ${ }^{3}$ These scholia are discussed in full by II. Helmbold, Ar. Pax superstes utrrum prior sit an retractata (1890), pp. 65-69.
    ${ }^{4}$ Praef., p. 23.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ueber die Eirene des Ar. (1888), p. 5.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gliedernung, pp. 137 sqq., 204 sqq.
    ${ }^{2}$ Op. cit., pp. 204 sqq.
    ${ }^{3}$ Op. cit., pp. 338, 342 sqq.

[^10]:    1 Wasps (1897), p. xxii. Cf. G. Murray, Ancient Greek Lit., p. 277.
    2 As the presence of the word Eip $\dot{y} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ in the didascaliae is the one important fact, Fritzche's suggestion, that the supposed second edition of the Eipn'r $\eta$ was really the「є $\omega$ prol, is irrelevant.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Droysen, Quaestiones de Ar. re scaenica, p. 52.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ar. Pax (1860), Praef., pp. 28-37.
    ${ }^{3}$ Die Skene der Hellenen (1858).
    ${ }^{4}$ Richter boldly takes eiotbvies as equivalent to àvaßávtes.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quaest. de Ar. re scaen. (1868), pp. 48-54.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Geppert, Die altgriechische Bühne (1843), pp. 166-167.
    3 "Nusquam enim apud Aristophanem chorum scaenam intrantem videmus," op. cit., P. II.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Quaestiones Aristophaneae Scaenicae (1877), pp. 20-26.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dörpfeld and Reisch, Das griechische Theater (1896), p. 208.
    ${ }^{3}$ Op. cit., p. 225.
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Op. cit., p. 227.
    ${ }^{6}$ Op. cit., p. I82.
    

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lines 466, 475, 478, 48 I, 503.
    ${ }^{2}$ Aristophanes, Peace (1900), Introd., pp. 10-16.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ C. Robert in Hermes, xxxi. (1896), pp. 551-557.
    ${ }^{2}$ Baumeister's Denkmäler, Fig. 1828.
    
    

    4 Dörpfeld, Griech. Theat., p. 116.
    © Op. cit., p. 156.
    ${ }^{6}$ Op. cit., p. 120.

[^16]:    1 Pollux iv. 128.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dörpfeld and Reisch, Griech. Theat., pp. 228-229.
    ${ }^{3}$ Nub. 225.
    ${ }^{4}$ All the plays mentioned are prior to this date (the year of the Peace), except Andromeda (4I2 B.c.), for there can be little doubt about the Andromache. See G. Murray, Eur., vol. i. (Bibl. Ox.).

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Merry, Peace, p. II.
    ${ }^{2}$ Griech. Theat., p. 225.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ach. 732 ; Eq. 149 ; Vesp. 1342, 1514 ; Eccl. 1152.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 18, n. 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ Griech. Theat., pp. 218, 225-229.
    ${ }^{3}$ Especially as gods appear imṫ $\delta \delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$ in Eur. E!. 1233, Herc. 817. Cf. Ion, 1549.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ Haigh, Attic Theatre, p. 245.
    ${ }^{2}$ Robert (Hermes, xxxi. p. 538 sqq.) claims the death-scene in the Ajax as a clear instance.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dörpfeld, Griech. Theat., p. 116.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Haigh, Attic Theatre, p. 139.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dörpfeld, op. cit., p. 116.
    ${ }^{3}$ Dōrpfeld, op. cit., p. 120.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ If this is not so, the appeal to Hermes (1.429) is quite unnecessary.
    ${ }^{2}$ Robert thinks that the Megarians retire at 1.500 , and the Athenians at 11. 503-50\%. But the phrase in 1. 500 is an oath, not a dismissal, and at I. 503 the Athenians are merely told to change their method of pulling. And what of the Argives, Boeotians, and Laconians?

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nieiahr, Quaest. Ar. scaen., pp. 6-7.
    
    

[^24]:    ${ }_{1}$ The identification with the Xapúvtot $\kappa \lambda$ iцакєs of Pollux is not essential.

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first two of the three editions printed by Junta (1515 and 1525 A.D.) have also some slight claims to consideration.
    ${ }^{2}$ W. G. Clark, Journal of Philology, iii. pp. 153-160; T. W. Allen, Academy, r889, p. 59, and Journal of Philology, xxiv. p. 300 sqq.; A. Martin, Les scholics ibu manuscrit d'Aristophane à Ravenne.
    ${ }^{3}$ Zacher-Velsen, Equites, p. viii.

    - Zacher, Handschriften zend Classen der Aristophanesscholien, p. 535.
    ${ }^{5}$ Sandys, Leptines, p. xliii.
    ${ }^{6}$ Op. cit., pp. 532-534. Cf. Allen, Journ. Phil., xxiv. p. 325.
    ${ }^{7}$ Op. cit., p. 536.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zacher, Handschriften, pp. 542-543.
    ${ }^{2}$ Zacher, op. cit., p. 508, correcting Velsen (Eq., ed. I, p. vi).
    ${ }^{3}$ Zacher, op. cit., pp. 505-512.
    ${ }^{4}$ De Rav. et Ven. Ar. codicibus, pp. 10-12.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Bursian's Tahresbericht, 1892, p. 5.
    ${ }^{6}$ Hands $\cdot$ hriften, pp. 507, 512.

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since it is universally admitted that V is not a copy of R .
    ${ }^{2}$ De Rav. et Ven. codd., p. 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the lists which follow no place is given to such minor errors as are common
     confusion of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$ and $\dot{v} \mu i \nu$ : of $-\epsilon \sigma \theta a$ and $-\epsilon \theta \alpha$ : false spellings; false accents. Also the commoner cases of itacism are mostly omitted.
    ${ }^{4}$ See 610, n.
    ${ }^{5}$ So Vesp. 298 (V), Lys. 24 (BC).
    ${ }^{6}$ Sec. Bekk., but not mentioned by Herwerden or edd. Oxon.
    ${ }^{7}$ Attempt to avoid hiatus. So Eq. 1021 (R), 1100 (all MSS., not Ald.) ; Ran. 33 (all MSS., not Ald.).

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ So $N u t .340$ (RV). Perhaps a dittography; there is confusion between on and עûv (vuv), Rar. 891, Lys. 941, Eq. 8, Vesp. 211.
    ${ }^{2}$ V had $\epsilon \operatorname{\epsilon } \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$ originally. See Herwerden's cr. n.
    ${ }^{3}$ So in Eq. 268, é $\sigma$ ával for iotával (all MSS.).
    ${ }^{4}$ See note ad loc.
    ${ }^{5}$ Confusion between $v$ and $v$ very common indeed: see Starkie, Vesp., p. Ivi.
    ${ }^{6}$ Insertion of article very common : see Bachmann, Coniecturarum observationumque Aristophanearum, p. 36. Here it may be due to dittography.
    ${ }^{7}$ Fairly common. Cf. Eccl. 1114, Eq. 1172.
    ${ }^{5}$ ov for ot very common. See Jebb on Soph. O.C. 383, van Ijzeren, De Vitios quibusdam principum codicum Aristophaneorum, p. 10.
    ${ }^{9}$ Marginal note to $\tau a \hat{u} \tau^{\prime}$. $\quad{ }^{10}$ Cf. Cobet, V. L., p. 264.
    ${ }^{11}$ A wanton correction. The copyist thought that Hermes was sulking.
    ${ }_{12}$ Haplography before ctval, as after - $\eta \nu$ Plut. 733, $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ib. 845, -wע Eq. 544. But oüv is often omitted otherwise : see van Ijzeren, op. cit., p. 107, and add Vesp. 953, Ach. 1195.
    ${ }^{13}$ aं $\pi \dot{\prime}$ and $\dot{v} \pi \dot{b}$ constantly confused owing to their similar abbreviations. See Cobet, V. L., pp. 274-277: examples in van Ijzeren, op. cit., p. 80, and add Plut. 562, Eccl. 4, Lys. 398.
    ${ }^{14}$ So in Eq. 201 MSS. vary between $\kappa \varepsilon \nu, \kappa \epsilon$, and $\kappa \alpha$.
    ${ }^{15} \mathrm{R}$ (and probably his archetype) uses the same abbreviation for - $\eta \mathrm{s}$ and - ets. Zacher, Handschriften, p. 543.
    ${ }^{16} \Gamma$ cannot be considered, as it owes much to R.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ The copyist took örous as exclamatory.
    ${ }^{2}$ Haplography after oúrool.
    ${ }^{3}$ A very common itacism.
    ${ }^{4}$ Misunderstanding of какò oí (sic R pr, m.) in archetype.
    ${ }^{5}$ The copyist did not understand the crasis. Cf. Vesp. 1307, кattrumte for кйтитте (V).

    6 Perhaps a dictation error.
    ${ }^{7}$ A common omission. Cf. Plut. 422, 819, 1118 (van Ijzeren, p. 11).
    ${ }^{8}$ Haplography after äтavtas.
    ${ }^{9}$ Cf. Eq. 75, 1277. See infr. ad loc.
    ${ }^{10}$ Haplography after $\dot{a} \gamma p \partial \nu$.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Bamberg, De Rav. et Ver. codd., p. Io.
    ${ }^{2}$ See note ad loc. But cf. Bamberg, op. cit., p. II ; Velsen ap. Bursian's Jahresbericht, 1892, p. 5.
    ${ }^{3}$ Zacher, Handschriften, pp. 538, 543.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Another strong point is that they nowhere show the same omissions.
    ${ }^{3}$ In 1.882 V may of course be wrong.

[^32]:    ${ }_{1}$ Vespae, p. xlix. ${ }^{2}$ Zacher, Handschriften, p. 544.
    3 The last 270 lines of the Aves and the whole of the Lysistrata have been discovered in the Codex Vossianus 52 at Leyden. Zacher, op. cit., p. 549.

[^33]:    1 Zacher, op. cil., p. 552.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf．p．33，2． 5.
     $\lambda \breve{\beta} \beta \bar{\nu} \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ．
    ${ }^{3}$ B Ald．have $\epsilon i \epsilon \nu$ in 1284 ，only because they scanned the first foot as a dactyl．
    ${ }^{4}$ So A日 Ald．in Eq． 1109 ，I 137.
    ${ }^{5}$ Due to ignorance of the lengthening power of initial $\dot{\rho}$ ．
    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~B}$ rounds off the verse as an anapaestic tetrameter．
    7 So Eq． 289 （RV）．
    ${ }^{8}$ A medley（through an adscript）of 859 and 1351 ．
    ${ }^{9} \gamma \in$ was inserted to make the line an iambic tetrameter．
    10 An attempt at anapaests．

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since any theory must account for the close alliance in the scholia between $\Gamma$ and Ald. See Zacher, Handschiriften, pp. 724-728.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ed. Oxon., 1837 , iii. p. xv.
    ${ }^{3}$ Zuretti, Analecta Aristophanea, p. 20.
    ${ }^{4}$ Dobree ap. Porson's Notae in Ar., p. viii.
    ${ }^{5}$ Müller, Acharn., Praef., p. iv.
    ${ }^{6}$ Kühne, De codd. qui Ar. Eccl. et Lys. exhibent, p. 42; Zacher, Bursian's Jahresbericht, 1892, p. 56.

    7 Analet ta Avistophanea, p. 21.
    ${ }^{3}$ Kühne, op. cit., p. 26, questions the source of citations from $P$ in Blaydes.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Decimam Lysistraten ideo praetermisimus, quia vix dimidiata haberi a nobis potuit." Aldus, Praef.
    ${ }^{2}$ Zacher, Handschriften, p. 558; Zuretti, op. cit., pp. 36, 68.
    ${ }^{3}$ Zacher, Bursian's Jahresbericht, 1892, p. 23.

    - But it is hard to believe that these two plays were copied from the same MS. For in Pax Ald. is close to B and far from R, while in Eccl. it is allied to N, which is close to R and opposed to Br .
    ${ }^{5}$ Zacher, Handschriften, p. 726.
    ${ }^{6}$ Zacher, op. cit., p. 557.
    ${ }^{7} \gamma \in$ was added to help the metre when the first part of ' $\tau \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in p a s$ (for ${ }^{\prime} \theta \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \rho a s$, cf. Blaydes on Nuh. 557) had fallen out through haplography.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ So $\gamma o u ̂ \nu$ for $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$, Eccl． 72 （Ald．），Eq． 87 （A Ald．）．
    2 Due to the double mistake of scanning thus：$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \bar{\lambda} \tau \rho \check{\iota} \beta$ avos．
    ${ }^{3}$ The last words are from an adscript ；cf．schol．
    ${ }^{4}$ Haplography after $\gamma \delta \partial \eta$ ．
    ${ }^{5}$ Dittography，$\Delta=T$ being a common error．

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is constant confusion in MSS．between $\delta^{\prime} a \bar{a} v, a^{2} v \delta^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime} a \partial v, a^{2} \nu \mu^{\prime}, \delta c$ ．Cf． supr．，351．
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf．Eq．874，where Ald．has $\gamma$ àp for кal equally absurdly．
    ${ }^{3}$ The same confusion is found $E_{q .}$ 728，Nub．1296．See Cobet，V．L．，p． 278.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf．supr．， 1037.
    ${ }^{5}$ In all these instances B agrees with Ald．
    ${ }^{6}$ There must always be some doubt here；see note ad loc．

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ The cases in which Ald. agrees with R against V and with V against R have been discussed, supro, p. 36 .
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Ecclesiazusae B constantly agrees with $\Gamma$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Zacher, Bursian's Jahresbericht, 1892, p. 51.
    ${ }^{4}$ Add 63 бєautov̂ (with R), 1281 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l($ with V).

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ In $703 \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu, 860 \gamma \hat{\gamma} \rho \omega \nu$ ，are possible，but unsupported．
    ${ }^{2}$ Not from $\Gamma$ itself，or from $\Gamma$＇s immediate original，since these had gaps which are not found in C．
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf．Kühne，De codd．qui Eccl．et Lys．exhibent，p． 37.
    4 Analecta Aristophanea，p． 23.

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf．Zacher，Handschriften，pp．724－735．
    ${ }^{2}$ In the citation of 1307，the best MSS．give $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau о \nu$（not $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ） S．v．$\sigma \mu \dot{\omega} \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ，as S．v．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ s．

[^42]:    Arg. I. Exstat in V (itaque etiam in G) : pars prior in Ald.
    
    
    

[^43]:    835 ＂I $\omega$ v ó Xios．See Bentley， Epist．Cr，ad Millium，for a full and masterly account of all that is known of this tragedian．He seems to have returned to Athens（whither he had come as a young man）after the death of his enemy，Pericles，and the present passage shows plainly that he was himself dead in 42 I B．C．

    пы́入at．．．$\pi^{\circ} \theta^{\prime}$ ．Cf．supr．133，n．
    836 ròv＇Aoîov．Schol．quotes the beginning of this ode：áoîov dं $\in$ рофоítav
     Suid．for $\lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \rho v \gamma \iota) \pi \rho \delta \delta \rho о \mu о \nu$ ． Possibly Ion was nicknamed＂Morning－ star＂；compare＂Satan＂Montgomery， ＂Night－Thoughts＂Young．But the joke is explicable enough if we suppose that his song was constantly spoken of by this name．

    838 тives үáp．＂Now，who ．．．？＂ yáp introduces a newv question．Cf．
     saeptiov；

    Starpé X оитє̧．＂Shooting．＂

